

US-NATO is Losing Covert War on Syria

Recent Victories Betray Last Year's WMD Lies

By [Tony Cartalucci](#)

Global Research, March 20, 2014

landdestroyer.blogspot.com and [Global Research](#)

Theme: [Crimes against Humanity](#),
[Military and WMD](#), [US NATO War](#)
[Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

Since the beginning of 2013, Syrian troops had begun an irreversible push to take back territory overrun by foreign-backed militants, including along Syria's borders with Lebanon and Jordan. Today, cities long lost to these militants once again have the Syrian flag waving above them, their people liberated, and the deadly toll of occupation finally being tallied before the global public.

The strategic turn in Syria's favor was noted by geopolitical analysts long before the Damascus chemical weapons attack in the summer of 2013. When Western nations used "desperation" as a motive for the attack, which they squarely blamed on the Syrian government, those watching Syria's systematic victories against the West's proxy militants immediately suspected a false flag attack - one that was carried out by either the West, or one of its regional collaborators.

The now fully discredited 4 page White House "[intelligence assessment](#)" regarding the attacks, claimed specifically:

The Syrian regime has initiated an effort to rid the Damascus suburbs of opposition forces using the area as a base to stage attacks against regime targets in the capital. The regime has failed to clear dozens of Damascus neighborhoods of opposition elements, including neighborhoods targeted on August 21, despite employing nearly all of its conventional weapons systems. We assess that the regime's frustration with its inability to secure large portions of Damascus may have contributed to its decision to use chemical weapons on August 21.

The Washington Post, in its usual role disseminating and reinforcing official fabrications, claimed in its article, "[More than 1,400 killed in Syrian chemical weapons attack, U.S. says](#)," that (emphasis added):

The document proposes a possible motive for the attack — a desperate effort to push back rebels from several areas in the capital's densely packed eastern suburbs — and also suggests that the high civilian death toll surprised and panicked senior Syrian officials, who called off the attack and then tried to cover it up.



Image: Syrian forces have made irreversible gains across the country against foreign-backed militants. Such gains began long before the August 2013 “chemical weapons attack” in Damascus – exposing the pivotal event as not only a false-flag attack carried out by the West and its proxies, but as a result of not Syrian desperation, but rather Western desperation.

Of course, even at face value, nothing about the White House’s “assessment” nor the Washington Post’s analysis adds up, including why the Syrian government would attack “densely packed eastern suburbs” and then be “surprised” by a high civilian death toll. More logically, those seeking to incriminate the Syrian government picked packed suburbs specifically to exact a high civilian death toll.

Now with the Western media incapable of covering up Syria’s tactical and strategic gains against even the most entrenched militant strongholds, the tenuous nature of August 2013’s lies spun by the West, including citing “desperation” as a motive, are more apparent than ever.



Image: Chemical weapons were used extensively during the 8 year Iran-Iraq War during the 1980's. Despite vast quantities of chemical agents being used, both mustard and nerve gas, these "weapons of mass destruction" would only constitute 2-3% of all of the war's casualties. Not only had the West lied about Syria using chemical weapons, but they also lied to the world about [the threat posed by such weapons in the first place](#). With Syria clearly and irreversibly winning victories against the so-called "rebels" through the use of conventional weapons, it is clear that the supposed motive of August 2013's Damascus attack was also an overt and intentional fabrication.

-

Lessons Learned?

As the West pursues a dangerous confrontation with Russia via the Eastern European nation of Ukraine and the newly independent region of Crimea, the global public must recall how events like the August 2013 Damascus "chemical attack" were insidiously executed, lied about, and eventually buried when their political capital was spent.

With [snipers attacking both Crimean and Ukrainian troops in Crimea](#), seeking a pretext for the West to further intervene in the standoff, the public must draw from a much longer collective memory, or once again blindly grope from one crisis to the next with habitual, practiced deceivers holding their hands.

The original source of this article is [landdestroyer.blogspot.com and Global Research](#)
Copyright © [Tony Cartalucci, landdestroyer.blogspot.com and Global Research](#), 2014

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Tony Cartalucci**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca