

# US Mulls Resumption of Nuclear Weapons Testing. U.S Strategy of “Nuclear Primacy”. WWII Scenario?

"US is planning to trap Russia by positioning its nuclear missiles about 7 minutes of missile-flying distance from the Kremlin"

By [Drago Bosnic](#)

Global Research, September 01, 2023  
[InfoBrics](#) 31 August 2023

Region: [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Military](#) and  
[WMD](#)

In-depth Report: [Nuclear War](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*While the troubled Biden administration keeps parroting the same narrative about the CO2 emissions, even insisting that a [ban on gas stoves would “help fight global warming”](#), **it's also actively working on the return of the ever so “climate-friendly” live nuclear weapons testing.***

*The Russian Foreign Ministry has been warning about this for months, [although this is usually decried as “Russian disinformation” by the mainstream propaganda machine](#). It's important to note that thanks to the efforts of the United States, the CTBT (Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty) never entered into force and its implementation is largely dependent on the goodwill of the signatories.*

“The situation involving the CTBT is causing increasing concern. The responsibility for the situation in which the treaty has not entered into force over more than a quarter of a century of its existence lies squarely with the United States, which defiantly refused to ratify it and is now demonstrating its clear intention to resume testing,” [Russia's Deputy Foreign Minister Sergey Ryabkov warned back in early March, adding](#): “We cannot afford to remain idle spectators to what is happening. If the United States nevertheless decides to take such a step and be the first to conduct nuclear tests, we will be forced to respond proportionately. No one should have dangerous illusions that global strategic parity can be upset.”

However, it seems that's exactly what the US is trying to accomplish. Its intention to [restart nuclear weapons testing isn't subsiding in the slightest](#). Quite the contrary it would seem, as the belligerent thalassocracy is now actively working on restoring and improving its nuclear

test sites. **Andrey Belousov**, Deputy Permanent Representative of Russia to the UN and International Organizations in Geneva, stated that the US is still engaged in covert activities in Nevada, which shows that it doesn't intend to stop testing new nuclear warheads. Belousov warned that Washington DC is working to improve and maintain the nuclear test site in a state of full operational readiness.

"The United States does not hide the development of new types of nuclear warheads, the effectiveness of which will sooner or later have to be tested in practice," [Belousov stated](#).

What the high-ranking Russian diplomat is most likely referring to is the so-called "nuclear super-fuse" technology that the US has been testing for decades, particularly under the Obama administration (and ever since). [Investigative historian Eric Zuesse wrote extensively on the topic](#), warning that the sole purpose of this controversial technology is to exponentially amplify the effectiveness of America's first-strike capabilities, meaning that the belligerent thalassocracy is contemplating a direct attack on Russia. Zuesse's warnings are not to be discarded or ignored, as he argues that [Finland's NATO accession is a crucial part of this sinister plan](#).

It's estimated that the **US is planning to trap Russia by [positioning its nuclear missiles about 500 miles or 7 minutes of missile-flying distance from the Kremlin](#)**.

The Pentagon believes this would be a "checkmate move" as it would allow a decapitation strike on Russia's central command so that it doesn't have enough time to launch retaliatory missiles. The goal is to demand Russia's surrender on the assumption there would be no way for Moscow to assess the situation within only 7 minutes and respond accordingly. The plan was set in motion no later than 2006 when the relations between Russia and the US were cordial and years before there were major tensions between them.

Namely, at the time, America's two most prestigious national security academic journals, *Foreign Affairs* and *National Security*, both recommended **replacing the idea of mutually assured destruction (MAD) with the new strategy of so-called "nuclear Primacy"** that involves **America's dominance in first-strike capabilities**, all in order to win a nuclear war against Russia. Zuesse argues that [positioning missiles in Ukraine was supposed to be the way to achieve "nuclear primacy"](#), but since that's no longer a possibility, Finland is to take the central role in this plan. It should be noted that Moscow will certainly know if the deployment of American nuclear weapons in Finland ever takes place.

This is precisely the reason why Russia has [rearmed half of its ICBMs \(intercontinental ballistic missiles\) with maneuvering hypersonic warheads](#) and why it has the "Perimeter" system. Namely, this system allows the Russian military to respond even in the extremely unlikely case that the entire Russian leadership is killed in a [decapitation strike that Washington DC is openly contemplating at this point](#). Apart from its [second-to-none strategic arsenal](#), world-class SSBNs (ballistic missile submarines) and unrivaled strategic bombers/missile carriers, Moscow has also deployed a number of "Poseidon" nuclear-tipped underwater drones [that are so destructive they can cause literal radioactive tsunamis](#).

In addition, Russian **President Vladimir Putin** has repeatedly warned that Moscow is in the late stages of developing weapons based on new physical principles. [These have been in testing for several years now](#). Such Russian claims are usually [discarded by the mainstream](#)


[propaganda machine](#), as the political West still believes that Moscow is supposedly “incapable” of fielding such high-tech weapons. However, Russia has been proving this notion wrong for centuries now. For instance, when [Putin announced that Moscow was developing hypersonic weapons back in 2004](#), this was met with [ridicule in the West](#). However, nobody was laughing when Russia inducted its first hypersonic weapons several years later.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**[Drago Bosnic](#)** is an independent geopolitical and military analyst.

Featured image is from Nevada State Museum



**[“Towards a World War III Scenario: The Dangers of Nuclear War”](#)**

by Michel Chossudovsky

**Available to order from Global Research!**

ISBN Number: 978-0-9737147-5-3

Year: 2012

Pages: 102

**[PDF Edition](#)**: \$6.50 (sent directly to your email account!)

[Michel Chossudovsky](#) is Professor of Economics at the University of Ottawa and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), which hosts the critically acclaimed website [www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca). He is a contributor to the Encyclopedia Britannica. His writings have been translated into more than 20 languages.

## Reviews

“This book is a ‘must’ resource – a richly documented and systematic diagnosis of the supremely pathological geo-strategic planning of US wars since ‘9-11’ against non-nuclear

countries to seize their oil fields and resources under cover of 'freedom and democracy'." **-John McMurtry**, *Professor of Philosophy, Guelph University*

"In a world where engineered, pre-emptive, or more fashionably "humanitarian" wars of aggression have become the norm, this challenging book may be our final wake-up call." **-Denis Halliday**, *Former Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations*

Michel Chossudovsky exposes the insanity of our privatized war machine. Iran is being targeted with nuclear weapons as part of a war agenda built on distortions and lies for the purpose of private profit. The real aims are oil, financial hegemony and global control. The price could be nuclear holocaust. When weapons become the hottest export of the world's only superpower, and diplomats work as salesmen for the defense industry, the whole world is recklessly endangered. If we must have a military, it belongs entirely in the public sector. No one should profit from mass death and destruction.

**-Ellen Brown**, *author of 'Web of Debt' and president of the Public Banking Institute*

The original source of this article is [InfoBrics](#)

Copyright © [Drago Bosnic](#), [InfoBrics](#), 2023

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Drago Bosnic](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)