

## US Media Framing Israel's Attacks on Palestinians as Retaliation

By Peter Hart

Global Research, July 04, 2014

**FAIR** 2 July 2014

Region: Asia, Middle East & North Africa,

USA

Theme: Crimes against Humanity, Media

**Disinformation** 

In-depth Report: PALESTINE

When Israel attacked Palestinian targets following the discovery that three kidnapped Israeli teenagers had been murdered, the way TV outlets characterized the Israel actions on their July 1 newscasts was instructive.

ABC World News and NBC Nightly News adopted the same language:



Framing Israel's actions as a form of retaliation is problematic, since the airstrikes, arrests and house raids are directed at people who had nothing to do with the murders. Israel has named two suspects in the crime, Marwan Qawasmeh and Amer Abu Aisha. As the New York Times (7/1/14) and other outlets have reported, these two West Bank residents may not have a significant connection with Hamas, despite the official Israeli insistence that the Hamas government in Gaza should be held responsible for the killings.

It should go without saying that the killings of the Israeli youths do not justify the killing of innocent Palestinians, any more than the <u>six Palestinian children</u> killed by the Israeli military so far this year legitimize the murder of the Israeli teens.

But the US networks nonetheless framed Israel's attacks as retaliation. "The response by Israel was quick and powerful," NBC anchor Brian Williams <u>declared</u>, saying the series of airstrikes in the Gaza Strip were "in apparent retaliation."

An ABC World News report (7/1/14) by correspondent Alex Marquardt began with this: "Striking back. Israel hitting hard overnight with 34 precision airstrikes on a Hamas compound."

As FAIR has noted in the past (Action Alert,  $\frac{4/4/02}{}$ ), the US media tends to overwhelmingly prefer a narrative of Palestinian *attacks* and Israeli *retaliation*. As FAIR noted:

This disparity is meaningful. The term "retaliation" suggests a defensive stance undertaken in response to someone else's aggression. It also lays responsibility for the cycle of violence at the doorstep of the party being "retaliated" against, since they presumably initiated the conflict.

In this case, the brunt of the Israeli "reaction" is being borne by Palestinians who had nothing to do with the crimes that were committed.

The original source of this article is <u>FAIR</u> Copyright © <u>Peter Hart</u>, <u>FAIR</u>, 2014

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Peter Hart

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>