

US Lies and Excuses for Bombing Hospital in Kunduz, Afghanistan

By [Jonathan Cook](#)

Global Research, October 07, 2015

[Jonathan Cook: The Blog From Nazareth](#) 7

October 2015

Region: [Asia](#)

Theme: [Crimes against Humanity](#), [US](#)

[NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [AFGHANISTAN](#)

Here is the US changing its story for the FOURTH time of why it launched an air strike on the Doctors without Borders hospital in the Afghan town of Kunduz at the weekend, massacring at least 22 patients and hospital staff.

As Glenn Greenwald has doggedly pointed out, the western media have been faithfully [changing their account](#) repeatedly and largely uncritically of what happened to keep in line with US claims. CNN and the New York Times have been particularly egregious [offenders](#). The media monitoring group FAIR has also produced a [revealing overview](#) of the NYT's coverage of the strikes on the hospital.

Almost all of the corporate media began by distancing the US from the attack, with some indicating that it was possible the hospital's destruction simply coincided with US air strikes in that area. The BBC used the painfully evasive "Afghan air strike" in an early [headline](#), suggesting the possibility of an illusory Afghan air force, to keep the US out of the picture.

Then, the US admitted it was responsible but claimed the strike was an accident. The problem, however, was that this story too was not credible: Doctors without Borders (Médecins Sans Frontières) had given the US and Afghan forces the GPS coordinates of the hospital and called the US military to tell them of the attack during the strike to no avail.

Kenneth Roth, director of Human Rights Watch, exemplified the western liberal community's torturous efforts to avoid considering US responsibility for such a serious war crime. He wanted us to think about Assad rather than Kunduz in this astonishing, deflectionary tweet (since deleted).



Kenneth Roth ✓
@KenRoth



Follow

Kunduz attack is awful, but recall that Assad attacks hospitals deliberately all the time.
bit.ly/1ObwFvQ



RETWEETS

295

FAVORITES

128



1:46 AM - 4 Oct 2015



(Note that this is a familiar practice by the HRW team: I wrote at length about [similar efforts](#) by their investigators to try to accuse Hizbollah of more serious breaches of international law than Israel when considering the *same* war crimes.)

Next, the US admitted it had intentionally targeted the medical facility, but did so because, it claimed, there were Taliban fighters using it as a base, even though no evidence was produced and Doctors without Borders staff absolutely denied that had been the case.

Now a US general is [blaming](#) Afghan forces for directing the US to strike the hospital.

This slipperiness by the US is visible only because Doctors without Borders, a western organisation, ran the hospital, their staff were among those killed, and they have been waging a relentless campaign exposing the US authorities' mendacity, forcing the army - and its media stenographers - to keep changing tack.

Had this been a local Afghan-run hospital, or a wedding party, the US claims would have gone entirely unchallenged, and the media would have treated them unquestioningly, as they initially tried to do here.

Thanks to the Doctors without Borders, we have now reached the point where the US has been forced both to admit and *justify* a very serious war crime.

The intense reluctance of the western media to use the same language of outright condemnation faced with the *fact* of a US war crime that it regularly employs when offered (usually by the same US authorities) an *allegation* of a similar war crime by an official enemy – say, Russia or Syria’s Assad – exposes quite how much of a propaganda role our media willingly fulfils.

War crimes are war crimes, except, it seems, when they are committed by us and reported by our media.

The original source of this article is [Jonathan Cook: The Blog From Nazareth](#)
Copyright © [Jonathan Cook](#), [Jonathan Cook: The Blog From Nazareth](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jonathan Cook](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca