

Targeted Assassination, Will Iran Seek “Strategic Revenge”?

US-Led Western Contempt for the Rule of Law

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, January 06, 2020

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)
Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

The US and Western partners are contemptuous of peace, stability, equity, justice, and the rule of law — waging war on humanity by hot and other means.

Where is the outrage in the West over the Trump regime’s assassination of Iranian **General Qassem Soleimani** and Iraqi deputy PMU leader **Abu Mahdi al-Muhandis**?

Under international law, killing them was Trump regime aggression.

US Supreme Court Justice/Chief Nuremberg **Prosecutor Robert Jackson** called aggression “the supreme international crime against peace...the greatest menace of our times.”

Time and again, I called the preemptive attack by one nation on another the highest of high crimes. All other wrongdoing pales in comparison.

Is greater Middle East war coming after Trump regime assassinations? Is this what its hardliners want?

Is Trump on board for hot war on Iran? Rhetoric isn’t policy. So for now take his bombast with a grain of salt, tweeting:

“Let this serve as a WARNING that if Iran strikes any Americans, or American assets, we have targeted 52 Iranian sites...some at a very high level & important to Iran & the Iranian culture, and those targets, and Iran itself, WILL BE HIT VERY FAST AND VERY HARD. The USA wants no more threats.”

Surely Iran won’t let Soleimani’s assassination go unanswered. It’ll respond in its own way at times of its own choosing — likely asymmetrically.

Clearly Iran, Iraq, and their allies want greater regional war avoided, notably not Russia and China.

On Saturday, **Sergey Lavrov** and his Chinese counterpart **Wang Yi** discussed Middle East developments.

According to Russia’s Foreign Ministry, they “particularly focused on the aftermath of the US air strike at a Baghdad airport that resulted in deaths, including the assassination of Qassem Soleimani,” adding:

They called it “unacceptable to use force in violation of the UN Charter and that all countries must respect the sovereignty and territorial integrity of other states” — stressing that “unlawful (US) actions aggravated the situation in the region.”

They and their governments “will take joint steps (for) de-escalation.”

On the same day, Lavrov and his Iranian counterpart Mohammad Javad Zarif spoke by phone.

They called Soleimani’s assassination “a grave violation of the fundamental standards of international law and does not contribute to resolving the complicated issues in the Middle East. Instead, it will trigger a new round of escalation in the region.”

The question is how to prevent things from spinning out of control while making the US pay a price for its aggression.

Asymmetrical and diplomatic approaches on the world stage are wisest, Iran taking the high road in sharp contrast to US contempt for peace and the rule of law.

Over time, its hostile actions make more enemies than allies, weakening the country while others are rising.

Its endless wars of aggression and by other means hasten its decline.

Like all other empires in history, it’s self-destructing by its arrogance, high crimes on the world stage, and unwillingness to change.

Some of the latest regional developments are as follows:

Iranian IRGC **General Hossein Salami** issued a statement, saying “the assassination of martyred General Qassem Soleimani will be followed by a strategic revenge which will definitely put an end to the US presence in the region,” adding:

Iran’s response will come “in a vast geography throughout time and with determining impacts.”

On January 4, the Pentagon’s Combined Joint Task Force Operation Inherent Resolve reported that Iraq’s “international zone took indirect fire that landed outside of coalition facilities and potentially harmed Iraqi civilians.”

On the same day, Saudi Al-Arabiya television said mortar fire struck areas near the Iraqi security staff facility in Nineveh province.

Sources in Tehran said US warplanes terror-bombed Al-Bukamal, Syria in Deir Ezzor province where Iranian military advisors are located.

On Saturday, rockets struck close to the US Baghdad embassy in the heavily fortified Green Zone.

On the same day, other rockets struck near the Pentagon’s Balad airbase north of Baghdad.

Southfront reported that “Shia groups in Iraq may be on the verge of launching a new

insurgency against US troops in response to” Pentagon attacks on the country.

Former CIA counterterrorism/intelligence officer Philip Giraldi called Soleimani’s assassination by the Trump regime “the long-awaited beginning of the end of America’s imperial ambitions.”

US war on Iran by other means turned hot. “(I)t will not end well” for the US, said Giraldi.

As of now, the region is more greatly destabilized by what happened in the past week.

Giraldi: “No American diplomat, soldier or even tourists in the region should consider him or herself to be safe, quite the contrary. It will be an ‘open season’ on Americans.”

Calling Soleimani’s assassination a “criminal” act of state terrorism by the US, “tantamount to...war,” Iranian Foreign Minister Zarif said:

“There will be harsh revenge. Where? When? How? I do not know, but there definitely there will be some retaliation.”

Separately, a US surveillance drone was reportedly downed by Iraqi Popular Mobilization Units in Anbar province west of Baghdad.

Explosions were reported around Al-Kindi base in Mosul, Iraq where US forces are based. Iraqi Kata’ib Hezbollah warned its forces to stay clear of US bases.

Reuters reported one or more explosions heard in Baghdad. In Oom, Iran, a blood-red flag, symbolizing a call for avenging Soleimani’s assassination, was raised atop the Jamkaran mosque.

Other red flags were raised elsewhere in Iran. Mass outrage over aggressive US airstrikes continues as Iranians mourn the loss of redoubtable General Soleimani.

Will Trump’s aggression on Iraq and Iran ignite the Middle East powder keg more than already?

Near a boiling point, things could explode if US state terror on both countries escalates.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Award-winning author **Stephen Lendman** lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)

His new book as editor and contributor is titled “Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III.”

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com.

Featured image is from American Herald Tribune

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Stephen Lendman](#)**

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca