

US Intelligence Insiders - Not Russia - Leaked Clinton Emails

By Washington's Blog

Global Research, November 03, 2016

Washington's Blog 2 November 2016

Region: Russia and FSU, USA

Theme: Intelligence

In-depth Report: **U.S. Elections**

We've <u>repeatedly shown</u> that it's much more likely that American insiders – not Russian hackers – leaked the Clinton emails.

Today, the NSA executive who *created* the agency's mass surveillance program for digital information, who served as the *senior* technical director within the agency, who managed *six thousand* NSA employees, the 36-year NSA veteran widely regarded as a "legend" within the agency and the NSA's *best-ever* analyst and code-breaker, who mapped out the Soviet command-and-control structure before anyone else knew how, and so predicted Soviet invasions before they happened ("in the 1970s, he decrypted the Soviet Union's command system, which provided the US and its allies with real-time surveillance of all Soviet troop movements and Russian atomic weapons") – told Washington's Blog:

My vote all along has been on an insider passing all these emails to Wikileaks.

If it were the Russians, NSA would have a trace route to them and not equivocate on who did it. It's like using "Trace Route" to map the path of all the packets on the network. In the program Treasuremap NSA has hundreds of trace route programs embedded in switches in Europe and hundreds more around the world. So, this set-up should have detected where the packets went and when they went there.

Binney has previously <u>explained to us</u> that a Russian hack would have looked very different, and that he thought the hack may have been conducted by an NSA employee who was upset at Clinton's careless handling of America's most sensitive intelligence.

The former intelligence analyst, British Ambassador to Uzbekistan, and chancellor of the University of Dundee (Craig Murray) – who is close friends with Wikileaks' Julian Assange – <u>said</u> he knows with 100% certainty that the Russians aren't behind the leaks.

Murray <u>said</u> today:

"The source of these emails and leaks has nothing to do with Russia at all. I discovered what the source was when I attended [a] whistleblower award in Washington. The source of these emails comes from within official circles in Washington DC. You should look to Washington not to Moscow."

Prominent investment advisor and economic forecaster Martin Armstrong writes today:

All our indications from behind the curtain are suggesting that there are many within the "intelligence" sector and "law enforcement" sector who are deeply troubled with the Clintons. They are trying to release documents and info to stop the Clinton Inc. Machine. That's all we can say on this topic right now. Suffice it to say, there is a real internal battle going on in Washington.

And the <u>Deputy Assistant Secretary of State under numerous administrations</u> – both Democratic and Republican – (Steve Pieczenik) said recently that a group of officers from various U.S. intelligence and military agencies have staged a "counter-coup" to save America from corruption, and are the source of the leaked emails:

Interesting times, indeed ...

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2016

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Washington's

Blog

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca