

# US Illegally Evicts Protectors from Venezuelan Embassy

By Prof. Marjorie Cohn

Global Research, May 17, 2019

Region: <u>Latin America & Caribbean</u>, <u>USA</u>
Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Police State & Civil Rights</u>

Today, law enforcement agents broke into the Venezuelan Embassy in Washington, D.C., and <u>arrested</u> the four remaining members of the Embassy Protection Collective.

"We denounce these arrests, as the people inside were there with our permission, and we consider it a violation of the Vienna Conventions," Venezuelan **Deputy Foreign Minister Carlos Ron** said.

For 36 days, the protectors had lived in the embassy to shield it from a raid by U.S. authorities working in concert with opponents of Venezuela's <u>lawfully elected president</u>, **Nicolás Maduro**. Since U.S. officers had refused to allow food into the embassy, only four of the some 50 members of the collective had stayed in order to conserve supplies.

The Trump administration has been trying to engineer an <u>unlawful coup</u> and <u>regime change</u> in Venezuela. After U.S. puppet **Juan Guaidó** declared himself "interim president" of Venezuela on January 23, high Trump officials quickly ratified his declaration. The U.S. government seeks to illegally install Guaidó and a new ambassador in the D.C. embassy as part of its coup attempt.

After cutting off electricity and refusing to allow food and water to the protectors, agents from the Secret Service, State Department and Washington, D.C., police tried to raid the embassy on May 13. They read from an unsigned piece of paper titled "<u>Trespassing Notice</u>," which stated that the U.S. government recognized Guaidó as president of Venezuela and Carlos Vecchio as Venezuelan ambassador to the United States.

The paper, which had no official letterhead or insignia, threatened that "anyone who refuses to obey the demands and orders to vacate the property will be in violation of federal and District of Columbia law, and could be arrested and criminally prosecuted." After speaking with the protectors' attorney, who told them they needed a warrant, law enforcement authorities left the premises.

While demonstrating outside the embassy this week, retired U.S. Army Reserve **Col. Ann Wright** told *Truthout* in an email:

"The attempt of the Guaidó faction and the U.S. government to seize the embassy of the government of Venezuela should be of concern to everyone. As a former diplomat," she said, "I am particularly disturbed by the U.S. government's recognition of Guaidó, who has undertaken three failed coup attempts and is calling for military intervention in Venezuela. Guaidó and the

United States share a dangerous agenda which has little support in Venezuela."

On April 30, Guaidó tried unsuccessfully to convince the Venezuelan military to seize power from Maduro. Six days prior to Guaidó's foiled effort, the State Department <u>posted</u> a fact sheet bragging about the United States's central role in the attempted coup, but quickly removed the posting.

Since 2017, the U.S. government has imposed illegal sanctions on Venezuela, which have caused 40,000 deaths and a 36 percent reduction in oil production.

Moreover, the Trump administration is moving dangerously close to an <u>illegal military</u> intervention in Venezuela.

## U.S. Eviction of Protectors From Embassy Violates U.S. and International Law

On May 13, the National Lawyers Guild <u>posted a letter</u> to **Secretary of State Mike Pompeo**, the U.S. Secret Service, the D.C. Metropolitan Police Department and **Idriss Jazairy**, U.N. special rapporteur on the negative impact of the unilateral coercive measures on the enjoyment of human rights. The signatories, including this writer, condemned the law-breaking by U.S. agents at the Venezuelan Embassy in Washington, D.C. The letter cited violations of the U.N. Charter and the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations and demanded that immediate action be taken.

"[T]he United States government, through various law enforcement agencies, have condoned and protected violent opponents in support of an attempted siege of the Embassy," we wrote. Those actions are "creating a dangerous precedent for diplomatic relations with all nations," the letter continued, which "are not only illegal, but they put embassies around the world at risk."

Citing the Secret Service's actions in "permitting violent opposition demonstrators to physically attack the Embassy, assault the peaceful invitees and prevent them from entering the Embassy with supplies of food and water," the letter also decried "the hurling of racist, sexist and homophobic slurs at those expressing to support the peace activists inside the Embassy." The letter noted that Gerry Condon, president of Veterans for Peace, was tackled, bloodied and arrested by Secret Service agents to prevent him from delivering food to the invitees.

Today's raid of the embassy and eviction of the protectors violates two treaties the United States has ratified. When the U.S. ratifies a treaty, its provisions become part of domestic law under the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution.

Article 22 of the 1961 Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (VCDR) states,

"The premises of the mission shall be inviolable."

U.S. agents are forbidden from entering the embassy without the consent of the Maduro government. The U.S. is also "under a special duty to take all appropriate steps to protect the premises of the mission against any intrusion or damage and to prevent any disturbance

of the peace of the mission or impairment of its dignity." The premises, furniture and other property "shall be immune from search, requisition, attachment or execution."

The 1963 Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (VCCR) states in Article 33,

"The consular archives and documents shall be inviolable at all times and wherever they may be."

Article 27 provides,

"In the event of the severance of consular relations between [the U.S. and Venezuela], the [U.S.] shall ... respect and protect the consular premises, together with the property of the consular post and the consular archives."

Article 31 of the VCCR says,

"The authorities of the [U.S.] shall not enter that part of the consular premises which is used exclusively for the purpose of the work of the consular post except with the consent of the head of the consular post or of his designee or of the head of the diplomatic mission of [Venezuela]." Furthermore, "the [U.S.] is under a special duty to take all appropriate steps to protect the consular premises against any intrusion or damage and to prevent any disturbance of the peace of the consular post or impairment of its dignity."

#### **Embassy Protection Collective Proposed a Protecting Power Agreement**

On May 13, the Embassy Protection Collective wrote a <u>letter</u> to the U.S. State Department and the Venezuelan Foreign Ministry proposing a lawful resolution to the standoff: A mutual Protecting Power Agreement "would avoid a military conflict that could lead to war." The letter stated that the United States wants a Protecting Power for the U.S. embassy in Caracas and Venezuela wants a Protecting Power for its embassy in Washington, D.C. "Such agreements," the collective noted, "are not uncommon when diplomatic relations are severed."

Indeed, Article 45 of the VCDR provides that if diplomatic relations are broken off between the U.S. and Venezuela, the U.S. must respect and protect the premises of the mission, including its property and archives. It also provides that Venezuela may entrust the custody of the embassy, including its property, archives and interests, to a third country acceptable to the U.S.

There is precedent for a third party taking charge of an embassy.

"This has happened in the case of Iran, Cuba and North Korea," CODEPINK cofounder and embassy protector **Medea Benjamin** said on Democracy Now!. "The U.S. has often used the countries such as Sweden and Switzerland to protect its embassies. And this could be easily done in the case of the U.S. and Venezuela right now."

Time reports that representatives of the Maduro government and the opposition are

meeting in Oslo, Norway, to attempt a resolution of the conflict.

Meanwhile, the Trump administration is moving toward the use of military action in Venezuela. Guaidó has <u>organized a meeting</u> with the U.S. Southern Command to get "strategic and operational" cooperation in removing Maduro.

Team Trump and Guaidó are playing with fire and plotting to violate the law in the process. The United Nations Charter forbids one country from using military force against another except in self-defense or with the blessing of the Security Council, neither of which has happened in this case.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Copyright <u>Truthout</u>. Reprinted with <u>permission</u>.

**Marjorie Cohn** is professor emerita at Thomas Jefferson School of Law, former president of the National Lawyers Guild, deputy secretary general of the International Association of Democratic Lawyers and a member of the advisory board of Veterans for Peace. Her most recent book is <u>Drones and Targeted Killing: Legal, Moral, and Geopolitical Issues</u>.

Featured image is from CodePink

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <a href="Prof. Marjorie Cohn">Prof. Marjorie Cohn</a>, Global Research, 2019

### **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

#### **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: **Prof. Marjorie** 

Cohn

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca