

# US. Government Guilty of Martin Luther King's Murder - The Corporate Media Covers it Up

2014 Worldwide Wave of Action: Arrest Dr. King's killers: US government, media 'leaders'

By Carl Herman

Global Research, April 04, 2014

Washington's Blog

Region: <u>USA</u>

Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Media</u>

**Disinformation** 

"We have done what we can to reveal the truth, and we now urge you as members of the media, and we call upon elected officials, and other persons of influence to do what they can to share the revelation of this case to the widest possible audience." – Coretta Scott King, married to Martin for 15 years, worked to communicate the facts of his assassination by the US government for 31 years: King Family Press Conference, Dec. 9, 1999.

Today's US government and media "leaders" are criminally complicit among Martin's killers because they ongoingly cover-up the assassination year-after-year with known lies.

The following summary of documentation from the King Family 1999 civil trial's verdict of US government guilt for Martin's execution prove that ongoing lies from government and corporate media "leaders" were *obviously required and planned* in their conspiracy to assassinate Martin. Without ongoing cover-up, the damning facts the King family brought to trial would expose US government and corporate media oligarchs conspired to murder one of the most powerful, loving, and virtuous Americans in history.

Justice requires Americans to demand arrests of today's leaders as not merely accessories after-the-fact, but ongoing principal actors required to assassinate Dr. Kingby lying about the evidence, and also directly involved today for the reason to assassinate Martin in 1968: to stop the occupation of Washington DC until the unlawful Wars of Aggression of his day were ended, and full resources committed to economic prosperity and ending poverty.

Martin's <u>speech to end a US unlawful War of Aggression</u>, the Vietnam War, speaks to us today with our inclusion of the legal argument that these <u>US wars are not even close to lawful</u>, based on <u>lies known to be false as they were told</u>, and with <u>criminal conspiracy of corporate media</u> to both lie and hide these fundamental facts from US military and public.

Martin would be thrilled to know of <u>economic answers to fully fund economic prosperity in America</u> and around our beautiful but dominated planet: monetary reform, public banking and credit, and CAFR reform.

Today's leaders' lies extend to psychopathically "praising" Martin on the national holiday created after killing him. In these presidents' hypocrisy, we can find truths to empower the 2014 Worldwide Wave of Action (in fairness to Reagan, I conclude he was largely unaware

how he was being used):

- "During his lifelong struggle for justice and equality, the Reverend Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., gave mighty voice to the quiet hopes of millions, offered a redemptive path for oppressed and oppressors alike, and led a Nation to the mountaintop. Behind the bars of a Birmingham jail cell, he reminded us that 'injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere.'... I encourage all Americans to... appropriate civic, community, and service projects in honor of Dr. King." - Obama (2014)
- "Those who advocate a course that I have called 'cutting and running' have not studied the wisdom of the Rev. King. Peace, he understood, cannot come as the fruit of cowardice or the failure of will." -Bush (2007)
- "... we are still far from achieving the world for which Dr. King struggled, toiled, and bled. He did not live and die to create a world in which people kill each other with reckless abandon... If we are to be faithful to Dr. King's vision, we must each seize responsibility for realizing the goals he worked so tirelessly to fulfill." Clinton (1995)
- "In his words and deeds, Martin Luther King, Jr., reminded all Americans of the stern admonition issued by Abraham Lincoln in 1858, when he warned the people of Edwardsville, Illinois, of the tragic consequences that continued tolerance of slavery could hold for the United States. President Lincoln, like great Americans of all generations, knew that our Nation's strength lies in the conviction that every human being is of inestimable worth and that the only legitimate end of government is to protect the God-given rights of each individual. 'Destroy this spirit,' Lincoln warned, 'and you have planted the seeds of despotism at your own doors. Familiarize yourselves with the chains of bondage and you prepare your own limbs to wear them. Accustomed to trample on the rights of others, you have lost the genius of your own independence and become the fit subjects of the first cunning tyrant who rises among you.' Bush Senior (1990)
- "Let all Americans continue to carry forward the banner that 18 years ago fell from Dr. King's hands... Today we honor him with speeches and monuments. But let us do more. Let all Americans of every race and creed and color work together to build in this blessed land a shining city of brotherhood, justice, and harmony. This is the monument Dr. King would have wanted most of all. - Reagan (1986)

The <u>2014 Worldwide Wave of Action</u> (and <u>here</u>) begins on the April 4 anniversary of <u>Martin King's assassination by the US government</u> (civil court trial verdict), with this operation completing ~July 4 (<u>Martin' 2-minute plea to you</u>).

### **Purpose of this operation:**

- Expose 1% oligarchs in the US and elsewhere as OBVIOUS criminals centering in war, money, and media (also in ~100 other crucial areas).
- Cause their surrender through arrests or Truth & Reconciliation.
- Initiate true freedom for all Earth's inhabitants to explore ready breakthroughs in economics (links <a href="here">here</a>) and <a href="technology">technology</a>, and discover what it is to be human without <a href="psychopathic criminals">psychopathic criminals</a> whojoke about killing millions, harming billions, and looting trillions.

Dr. Martin Luther King's family and personal friend/attorney, <u>William F. Pepper</u>, won a civil trial that found US government agencies guilty in the <u>wrongful death</u> of Martin Luther King. The 1999 trial, <u>King Family versus Jowers and Other Unknown Co-Conspirators</u>, is the only trial ever conducted on the assassination of Dr. King. <u>The King Center fully documents the case</u>, with <u>full trial transcript</u>.

The King family's attempts for a criminal trial were denied, as suspect James Ray's recant of a guilty plea were denied. Mr. Ray said that his government-appointed attorney told him to sign a guilty plea to prevent the death penalty for his part in delivering the murder weapon for Dr. King's assassination, and to prevent arrests of his father and brother as probable co-conspirators. Mr. Ray produced a letter from his attorney stating the promise that Mr. Ray would receive a trial. When Mr. Ray discovered that he was solely blamed for Dr. King's assassination and would never receive a trial, the King family's and Mr. Ray's subsequent requests for a trial were denied.

The US government also denied the King family's requests for independent investigation of the assassination.

Therefore, and importantly, **the US government has never presented any evidence** subject to challenge that substantiates their claim that Mr. Ray assassinated Dr. King.

US corporate media did not cover the trial, interview the King family, and textbooks omit this information. Journalist and author, <u>James Douglass</u>:

"I can hardly believe the fact that, apart from the courtroom participants, only Memphis TV reporter Wendell Stacy and I attended from beginning to end this historic three-and-one-half week trial. Because of journalistic neglect scarcely anyone else in this land of ours even knows what went on in it. After critical testimony was given in the trial's second week before an almost empty gallery, Barbara Reis, U.S. correspondent for the Lisbon daily *Publico* who was there several days, turned to me and said, "Everything in the U.S. is the trial of the century. O.J. Simpson's trial was the trial of the century. Clinton's trial was the trial of the century. But this *is* the trial of the century, and who's here?" "

For comparison, please consider the <u>media coverage</u> of O.J. Simpson's trials:

"Media coverage of the Simpson trial, which began in January 1995, was unlike any other. Over two thousand reporters covered the trial, and 80 miles of cable was required to allow nineteen television stations to cover the trial live to 91 percent of the American viewing audience. When the verdict was finally read on October 3, 1995, some 142 million people listened or watched. It seemed the nation stood still, divided along racial lines as to the defendant's guilt or innocence. During and after the trial, over eighty books were published about the event by most everyone involved in the Simpson case."

**The overwhelming evidence** of government complicity introduced and agreed as comprehensively valid by the jury includes:

- US 111th Military Intelligence Group were at Dr. King's location during the assassination.
- 20th Special Forces Group had an 8-man sniper team at the assassination location on that day.

- Usual Memphis Police special body guards were advised they "weren't needed" on the day of the assassination.
- Regular and constant police protection for Dr. King was removed from protecting Dr. King an hour before the assassination.
- Military Intelligence set-up photographers on a roof of a fire station with a clear view to Dr. King's balcony.
- Dr. King's room was changed from a secure 1st-floor room to an exposed balcony room.
- Memphis police ordered the scene where multiple witnesses reported as the source of shooting cut down of their bushes that would have hid a sniper.
- Along with sanitizing a crime scene, police abandoned investigative procedure to interview witnesses who lived by the scene of the shooting.
- The rifle Mr. Ray delivered was not matched to the bullet that killed Dr. King, and was not sighted to accurately shoot

The King family believes the **government's motivation to murder Dr. King** was to prevent his imminent camp-in/Occupy at Washington, D.C. until the Vietnam War was ended and those resources directed to end poverty and invest in US hard and soft infrastructure.

This conclusion is <u>consistent with US history</u>, <u>past and recent</u>, for US "leaders" to lie and kill for wars of choice. These lies are then <u>"covered" by corporate media</u> in news and history texts.

Please watch <u>this six-minute video</u> of the evidence from the trial, and this <u>eight-minute</u> <u>video</u> on the FBI's disclosures of covert operations against Dr. King, including confirmation from his closest friends and advisors.

Coretta Scott King, Dr. King's wife, is certain of the evidence after 30 years of consideration from the 1968 assassination to the 1999 trial:

"For a quarter of a century, Bill Pepper conducted an independent investigation of the assassination of Martin Luther King, Jr. He opened his files to our family, encouraged us to speak with the witnesses, and represented our family in the civil trial against the conspirators. The jury affirmed his findings, providing our family with a long-sought sense of closure and peace, which had been denied by official disinformation and cover-ups. Now the findings of his exhaustive investigation and additional revelations from the trial are presented in the pages of this important book. We recommend it highly to everyone who seeks the truth about Dr. King's assassination." — Coretta Scott King.

The US Department of Justice issued <u>a report in 2000</u> that explains their investigation into their own possible guilt in the assassination found no evidence to warrant further investigation. Dr. King's son issued the following <u>statement</u> rebuking a "self-study" rather than the independent investigation the King family assert the evidence demands:

"We learned only hours before the Justice Department press conference that they were releasing the report of their results of their "limited investigation," which covered only two areas of new evidence concerning the assassination of Dr. King. We had requested that we be given a copy of the report a few days in advance so that we might have had the opportunity to review it in detail. Since that courtesy was not extended to us, we are only able at this time to state the following:

- 1. We initially requested that a comprehensive investigation be conducted by a Truth and Reconciliation Commission, independent of the government, because we do not believe that, in such a politically-sensitive matter, the government is capable of investigating itself.
- 2. The type of independent investigation we sought was denied by the federal government. But in our view, it was carried out, in a Memphis courtroom, during a month-long trial by a jury of 12 American citizens who had no interest other than ascertaining the truth. (Kings v. Jowers)
- 3. After hearing and reviewing the extensive testimony and evidence, which had never before been tested under oath in a court of law, it took the Memphis jury only one (1) hour to find that a conspiracy to kill Dr. King did exist. Most significantly, this conspiracy involved agents of the governments of the City of Memphis, the state of Tennessee and the United States of America. The overwhelming weight of the evidence also indicated that James Earl Ray was not the triggerman and, in fact, was an unknowing patsy.
- 4. We stand by that verdict and have no doubt that the truth about this terrible event has finally been revealed.
- 5. We urge all interested Americans to read the <u>transcript of the trial</u> on the King Center website and consider the evidence, so they can form their own unbiased conclusions.

Although we cooperated fully with this limited investigation, we never really expected that the government report would be any more objective than that which has resulted from any previous official investigation."

**Let's summarize**: Under US Civil Law, covert US government agencies were found guilty of the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Dr. King was the leading figure of the Civil Rights Movement, a Nobel Peace Prize winner, and widely recognized as one of the world's greatest speakers for what it means to be human. The family's conclusion as to motive was to prevent Dr. King from ending the Vietnam War because the government wanted to continue its ongoing covert and overt military operations to control foreign governments and their resources.

It is therefore a factual statement that under US Civil Law, the US government assassinated Dr. King.

People of sufficient intellectual integrity and moral courage to apply critical thinking skills will embrace the trial evidence and testimony, jury conclusion, and King family analysis as appropriate and helpful information in seeking the facts.

People who at least temporarily reject challenging information out of fear might say something like, "The government killed Dr. King? That's a crazy conspiracy theory!"

Let's consider that statement.

When someone says that a body of evidence is "crazy," or a "conspiracy theory" (meaning an irrational claim easily refuted by the evidence) that's a claim. With a claim comes a burden of proof. In this case, the person would have to demonstrate command of the facts to explain and prove why the evidence from the civil trial is somehow "crazy" and refute the evidence.

If the person can do this, it would be tremendously helpful in understanding the facts. However, we know from our experience that such statements almost always have zero factual support, and that the person making such a claim literally doesn't know what they're talking about.

We also know from our experience, a person making such a statement is really voicing an emotional reaction something closer to the spirit of, "The government killed Dr. King? Ok, I read and understood the paragraphs about the trial and evidence. I read Mrs. King's and her son's statement. I haven't invested the time to verify how valid that information is. I'm not stupid, but because the implications of what that means is so disturbing, I'm going to deny anything about it could possibly be true as my first response. If I'm going to continue being in denial and refuse to discuss the evidence, I'll attack the messenger."

We also need to consider the lack of coverage by US corporate media of this compelling evidence, trial verdict, and King family testimony from over 30 years' analysis of the facts. Recall the <u>evidence of US corporate media reporting being infiltrated by CIA agents to propagandize Americans' access to information</u>. This included the Director of the CIA's admission to Congress that they have over 400 agents working in corporate media to make the US public believe what the CIA wants them to believe.

In 2006, George Washington University used a Freedom of Information Act request to obtain the US military's "Information Operations Roadmap." This formerly secret and approved document details present US government strategies to generate propaganda, and then attack Internet alternative media that provides dangerous facts and discussion. The military promoted the term, "Fight the net."

Although I won't enter the burden of proof here, you may know that there are similar and related bodies of evidence that the US government assassinated other American leaders. The 1975 <u>Senate Church Committee</u> disclosed that the US government initiated and helped assassination attempts on multiple foreign heads of state.

If we were discussing how the population of *some other nation* could employ critical thinking skills to understand current events from anytime in history, we would certainly understand the importance to anticipate disinformation from government, danger of controlled media, and assassination as a political weapon.

Failure to do so would appropriately elicit the label attributed to the first dictator of the Soviet Union, Vladimir Lenin. Such people who believe what their government tells them when the history and present have overwhelming objective evidence to explain, document, and prove that the government is typical of so many other historical self-serving oligarchies are:

"Useful idiots."

To the extent the United States today is any different from all other nations and all other times is up to your exercise of critical thinking skills. And that said, think and choose carefully: choices have consequences, especially our most important ones.

Consider the <u>power of your choices</u> during the April 4 to July 4 initial window of the 2014 Worldwide Wave of Action.

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

### **Become a Member of Global Research**

## Articles by: Carl Herman

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>