

US Downing of Iranian Airliner Gone From History

Today is the 34th anniversary of the downing of Iran Air Flight 655 by an American vessel.

By <u>Shane Quinn</u> Global Research, July 04, 2022 Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u> Theme: <u>History</u>, <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Media</u> <u>Disinformation</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Visit and follow us on <u>Instagram</u>, <u>Twitter</u> and <u>Facebook</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was first published on July 2, 2018, to commemorate the 30th anniversary of the downing of Iran Air Flight 655 on July 3, 1988.

Having found <u>no evidence</u>, Western powers continue to blame Russia for the downing of Malaysia Airlines Flight MH17. Virtually erased from record are incidents like the blatant 1988 shooting down of Iran Air Flight 655, by a decorated American warship.

On 3 July, 1988, Iran Air Flight 655, flying from Tehran to Dubai, was shot down by the USS Vincennes, a huge United States missile cruiser. All 290 people aboard the Iranian airliner were killed, including 66 children, and 38 people of non-Iranian nationality. The Vincennes was rewarded shortly after for this act of mass killing, having received presidential honours upon return to American shores.

Almost 30 years ago, the large civilian aircraft was shot down over Iranian territorial waters, on its normal flight path. In doing so, the *Vincennes* had <u>illegally</u> incurred into Iranian territory before firing two surface-to-air missiles at the ascending airliner, both direct hits. US President Ronald Reagan <u>described</u> the killing of almost 300 civilians as "a proper defensive action". The heavily armed warship, it seems, was in mortal peril at the hands of the unsuspecting passenger plane.

Then **Vice-President George H. W. Bush** (impending president) <u>said</u> of the incident a month later:

"I will never apologise for the United States – I don't care what the facts are... I'm not an apologise-for-America kind of guy."

Bush also <u>said</u> that it was "just an unhappy incident" and "life goes on". It seems implausible that "life goes on" for the families of the victims, or indeed, for the 290 who lost

their lives.

While there is irrefutable evidence the Iranian aircraft was downed by US forces, the missile that destroyed Malaysia Airlines Flight MH17 was "not fired by Russian soldiers". As a result, the taking down of the Malaysian aircraft on July 17, 2014, killing 298, cannot be directly linked to the Russian military, <u>even</u> by the White House.

In fact, "no suspects have been named" over three years later. The absence of evidence did not prevent the European Union from joining the US in imposing sanctions on Russia, just weeks after the Malaysian airliner was destroyed. Glance back in time and we can see that the double standards and hypocrisy of the West are striking. The EU failed to impose sanctions on the US when its naval ship destroyed the Iranian airplane in 1988, despite overwhelming proof. This is hardly surprising, considering the EU has shamefully performed its role as a virtual US client entity for decades.

In June this year, the EU imposed further <u>sanctions</u> against Russia in relation to the Westerninitiated conflict in the Ukraine. Again, there is no record of the EU placing economic measures on the US when the superpower (with allies) illegally intervened in Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, and so on.

According to US government sources, the *Vincennes* mistook the Iranian <u>Airbus</u> A300 (177 feet long) for an F-14 <u>Tomcat</u> fighter (62 feet long), an American-made warplane used by the Iranian Air Force. This pretext falls further to pieces upon examination of the vastly different flight shapes of the respective aircraft: one a passenger plane, the other a fighter plane designed for stealth.



The Vincennes' captain, **William C. Rogers III** (image on the right), <u>received</u> the prestigious Legion of Merit award two years later for his "sterling performance without a blotch of tarnish", and "his heroic achievement as air warfare coordinator in USS Vincennes on July 3, 1988". The Vincennes' entire crew were also <u>awarded</u> the combat-action ribbon.

Imagine the hysterical global reaction had the Russian (or Soviet) leadership behaved in such fashion under similar circumstances. When the US commits a heinous crime, then commends itself afterwards, the muted reaction across the West is something to behold.

The background to this forgotten war crime may prove insightful. The Iranian airliner was blasted out of the sky near the end of the Iran-Iraq war (1980-88), in which hundreds of thousands died on each side. President Reagan had been strongly <u>supporting</u> Iraqi dictator **Saddam Hussein**, following the 1979 Iranian Revolution in which the US had <u>"lost</u>"

control" of Iran.

To the present day, the US government has refused to apologise for the incident, despite being compelled to pay over \$130 million in compensation (under the Clinton administration). The mainstream media in the US, as usual, played a key role in downplaying the aggression, ensuring it remained largely concealed from the American public's view.

Two days after the Iranian airliner's shooting down, the *New York Times* ("paper of record") <u>asked</u> its readers to put themselves "in Captain Rogers's shoes". The *Times*'editors called it "an accident" and that it was "hard to see what the Navy could have done to avoid it". If we believe the *Times*, then, it was difficult for trained navy personnel (with radar) to distinguish between a near 180-foot long passenger plane, and a fighter plane a third of that size. In fact, the *Vincennes*' Aegis radar system was "the most technologically advanced in the world" at the time. It was also <u>designed</u> to "track scores of incoming missiles and aircraft in a major sea battle".

On the 25th anniversary of the tragedy in 2013, Max Fisher of *The Washington Post* stuck <u>dutifully</u> to the line that the *Vincennes* mistook the large civilian aircraft for the F-14 fighter – as it "exchang[ed] fire with small Iranian ships in the Persian Gulf". Fisher excused the Vincennes' war crime as having been in "the heat of battle", and that "the horrible incident brought Tehran closer to ending the war". A war started by Hussein's Iraq with vital American support.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was first published on The Duran in August 2017.

Shane Quinn obtained an honors journalism degree. He is interested in writing primarily on foreign affairs, having been inspired by authors like Noam Chomsky. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from The Duran

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Shane Quinn</u>, Global Research, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Shane Quinn

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <u>publications@globalresearch.ca</u>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca