

US Denies UN Arms Trade Treaty in Favor of Providing Weapons to Insurgents

By Susanne Posel

Global Research, July 29, 2012

Occupy Corporatism 29 July 2012

Theme: Militarization and WMD

Last week, the delegates who attended the Arms Trade Treaty Conference (ATT) reportedly did not come to a consensus to ratify the ATT, but rather will come back to the issue later this year.

Further talks will likely take place at a UN General Assembly (UNGA) meeting wherein 192 nations could achieve the 2/3rd majority vote for ratification of the ATT.

According to the British delegation: "We feel that we could have agreed (a treaty). It is disappointing that more time is needed. But an arms-trade treaty is coming – not today – but soon. We've taken a big step forward."

Although activists in support of a convention for global gun control advocated the need to prevent illicit trade of guns into conflicted zones, such as in Syria, those same activists blamed the US and Russia for causing a stalemate during the negotiations process.

Victoria Nuland, US Ambassador to the UN <u>released a statement</u> on the US State Department website wherein it was admitted that "the illicit trafficking of conventional arms is an important national security concern for the United States."

The US has committed to an ATT that works towards a contribution "to international security, protect the sovereign right of states to conduct legitimate arms trade, and meet the objectives and concerns that we have been articulating throughout the negotiation" and the US government believes that the ATT "will make a valuable contribution to global security by helping to stem illicit arms transfers, and we will continue to look for ways for the international community to work together to improve the international arms transfer regime so that weapons aren't transferred to people who would abuse them."

The stance of the US government, in rejecting signature of the ATT over the trafficking of illicit arms directs the purpose of the CIA and Obama administration's involvement in arming terrorist groups in order to facilitate foreign policy objectives in the Middle East with regard to the current situation in Syria and ultimately Iran.

Of recent, the Obama administration is <u>focusing on increasing</u> proliferation of arms, intelligence and training to the Free Syrian Army and other oppositional factions to facilitate a forced regime change in Syria.

Obama has denied that the US government is arming oppositional forces, yet the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) have been in Turkey offering intelligence and training to factions

aiding in the destruction of the Syrian government.

Andrew Tabler, a Syria expert at the Washington Institute for Near East Policy, admits that the US government "[is] looking at the controlled demolition [of the Syrian government]. But like any controlled demolition, anything can go wrong."

Bassma Kodmani, front woman for the Syrian National Council and globalist infiltrator, has had the closest relations to the Obama administration and called specifically for a military strike against Syria by <u>stating</u>: "No dialogue with the ruling regime is possible. We can only discuss how to move on to a different political system. The next step needs to be a resolution under Chapter VII, which allows for the use of all legitimate means, coercive means, embargo on arms, as well as the use of force to oblige the regime to comply."

In Turkey, while the CIA trains oppositional forces against Assad, there has developed an element of instability within the Kurds against Erdogan, who supports the CIA operatives.

In a UN document entitled <u>"Situation of human rights in the Islamic Republic of Iran"</u>, the UN resolved to reject prior resolutions and treaties in order to give the US and Israel international authority to attack Iran as they are planning to do.

By claiming with international support, that Iran is indeed in violation of human rights treaties as well as redirecting actions taken by the Free Syrian Army (FSA) against the Iranian people and attributing them to the Syrian government under Assad's control, the UN has paved the way for military action and ultimate usurpation of the region by the US and Israel for the global Elite.

Ultimately with the US being the largest supplier of arms to terrorist organizations worldwide, it makes sense that they refused to sign the ATT.

In the <u>draft</u> that was leaked out, it clearly states in Article 1 that countries must establish UN standards "for regulating or improving regulation of the international trade in conventional arms." It goes on to assert that this is to "prevent, combat and eradicate the illicit trade in conventional arms and their diversion to illegal and unauthorized end use."

The <u>Fast and Furious scandal</u> is just one example of how the US government arms specific cartels in order to facilitate instability in targeted regions for their own geopolitical gains.

The attack on the 2nd Amendment is not averted, as some in the alternative media would have us believe. It has simply been halted until the globalists can come to an agreement as to how to restrict gun procurement globally while still allowing the US and Israel to continue to fund the fake terrorist organizations in the Middle East that are providing the justification for WW III and ultimate occupation of Israel in the entirety of the region.

The original source of this article is <u>Occupy Corporatism</u> Copyright © <u>Susanne Posel</u>, <u>Occupy Corporatism</u>, 2012

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Susanne Posel

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca