

US Centers for Disease Control Issues Flu Vaccine Apology: This Year's Vaccine Doesn't Work!

By Mike Adams

Global Research, December 05, 2014

Natural News

Theme: Science and Medicine

The following video from Gary Franchi of NextNewsNetwork reveals the shocking admission by the CDC that this year's flu vaccine doesn't work.

From the video:

For the first time we can remember, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention are going on the record, saying the flu vaccine won't work this year. The warning comes just before the busiest part of flu season, in January and February. Unfortunately, there won't be any refund for any of the patients or insurance companies who spent money on flu shots earlier this fall.

But don't worry. Just when you thought perhaps the CDC could boost their credibility, they found a way to put a sales pitch on the end of their warning. The CDC says if you do come down with the flu, there's a cure. It's just going to cost more money. Money that will end up profiting pharmaceutical giants, GlaxoSmithKline and Roche. CDC officials are urging doctors to prescribe two specific antiviral medications for any patients who come in with flu symptoms.

Just last week, the CDC issued a warning, prompting Americans to take the flu vaccine if they haven't already. Health officials said they had 160 million flu shots on the shelves and ready to go. But just earlier this week, Italy launched an official investigation after about a dozen people died within 48 hours of getting the flu shot. Their national health agency issued an immediate warning, saying DON'T take the vaccine. Here in America, the CDC isn't going that far. In fact, they found a way of turning this failed vaccine into a promotion for yet another big pharma drug.

Here's the news report viewo:

And here's an original laboratory research report from Natural News earlier this year. I personally conducted the ICP-MS heavy metals analysis of these <u>flu vaccines</u> and have the raw count data to prove it. Mercury particle counts at atomic masses 200, 201 and 202 were through the roof on these laboratory tests.

Don't you find it astonishing that it takes **a private ICP-MS laboratory** with no ties to government funding, universities or the FDA to finally test flu vaccines and report the truth? (To my knowledge, <u>Natural News</u> is the only news organization in the world that owns a cutting-edge private mass spectrometry instrument and uses it for scientific research in the public interest...)

Flashback: Flu vaccines laboratory confirmed to contain crazy high concentrations of mercury

Mercury tests conducted on vaccines at the Natural News Forensic Food Lab have revealed a shockingly high level of toxic mercury in an influenza vaccine (<u>flu</u> shot) made by GlaxoSmithKline (lot #9H2GX). Tests conducted via ICP-MS document mercury in the *Flulaval* vaccine at a shocking **51 parts per million**, or over 25,000 times higher than the maximum contaminant level of inorganic <u>mercury</u> in drinking water set by the EPA.(1)

The tests were conducted via ICP-MS using a 4-point mercury calibration curve for accuracy. Even then, the extremely high level of mercury found in this flu shot was higher than anything we've ever tested, including tuna and ocean fish which are known for high mercury contamination.

In fact, the concentration of mercury found in this GSK <u>flu shot</u> was **100 times higher than the highest level of mercury we've ever tested in contaminated fish**. And yet vaccines are *injected* directly into the body, making them many times more toxic than anything ingested orally. As <u>my previous research into foods has already documented</u>, mercury consumed orally is easily blocked by eating common foods like strawberries or peanut butter, both of which bind with and capture about 90% of dietary mercury.

Here are the actual results of what we found in the influenza vaccine from GSK (lot #9H2GX):

Aluminum: 0.4 ppm

Arsenic: zero Cadmium: zero Lead: zero

Mercury: **51 ppm**

All tests were conducted via calibrated, high-end ICP-MS instrumentation as shown in <u>these</u> <u>lab videos</u>.

Doctors, pharmacists and mainstream media continue to lie about mercury in vaccines

As you take in the scientifically-validated fact that mercury exists at very high concentrations in flu vaccines, keep in mind that most doctors, pharmacists and members of the mainstream media continue to stage **an elaborate lie** that claims mercury has "already been removed from vaccines."

Never mind the fact that the use of mercury is admitted right on the package containing the vaccine vial. And now, <u>Natural News</u> has scientifically confirmed the mercury content of flu vaccines using high-end laboratory instrumentation. The existence of high mercury in flu shots is irrefutable.

Anyone who claims mercury has been removed from all vaccines is either wildly ignorant or willfully lying. And anyone who would knowingly allow themselves to be injected with mercury is probably already a victim of the kind of brain damage well known to be caused by mercury.

Insert admits "no controlled trials"

Shockingly, the package insert for this flu shot readily admits the vaccine has never been subjected to scientific clinical trials:

"There have been no controlled trials adequately demonstrating a decrease in influenza disease after vaccination with Flulaval," the package insert claims in tiny text (that no one reads).

This is printed right on the insert, yet no one in the mainstream media will ever report this astonishing admission. This statement, all by itself, is a confession that **flu shot marketing** is a **fraud**.

Across the board, flu shots are heavily propagandized and promoted with the implication that they have zero risks while offering 100% protection. **No one in the mainstream media ever questions this claim** even though the package insert openly admits the claim is complete hokum and has never been subjected to scientific scrutiny.

No evidence of safety or effectiveness in pregnant women

But that's not all the insert admits. It also says:

"Safety and effectiveness of Flulaval have not been established in pregnant women, nursing mothers or children."

And yet everywhere you go in America, there's a Walgreens, CVS or Wal-Mart pharmacy promoting flu shots for pregnant women. Never mind the fact that flu shot safety has **never been established in pregnant women**, and never mind the obvious fact that you should never inject a pregnant women with mercury in the first place!

Who needs scientific proof when you've got the full propaganda of the media and the government to back you up? Anyone who dares question the scientific validity of flu shot safety for pregnant women is immediately attacked as being an opponent of all vaccines.

Apparently, the only requirement to be accepted by the vaccine community is to **believe in medical fairy tales** while abandoning all critical thinking and scientific skepticism. In the vaccine industry, genuine science is simply not allowed. No wonder two former <u>Merck virologists filed a False Claims Act</u> with the federal government, accusing the company of knowingly fabricating its vaccine efficacy data to trick the FDA.

Never proven safe or effective in children, either

Flu shots are heavily promoted for children, right alongside mumps and measles vaccines. But it turns out flu shots are never scientifically tested for safety or efficacy in children.

Check out what the insert for this vaccine directly admits:

"Safety and effectiveness of Flulaval in pediatric patients have not been established."

It's right there in black and white... an open admission. Yet flu shots are aggressively marketed to parents and children as if they were Tic-Tacs. The real beauty of the entire vaccine industry scam is that **no scientific evidence is required!** You don't have to have any proof, all you have to do is *believe* in vaccines as a matter of faith.

Never tested for cancer risk

Do flu shots cause cancer? The honest, scientific answer is that these shots are never tested for that. As the insert readily admits:

"Flulaval has not been evaluated for carcinogenic or mutagenic potential, or for impairment of fertility."

Believe it or not, the Flulaval vaccine also warns that no one should be given this shot if they've already received another flu shot at some previous time:

"Do not administer Flulaval to anyone... following previous administration of any influenza vaccine."

And yet, amazingly, people are encouraged to get flu shots year after year, even though the package insert directly warns against anyone taking a series of influenza vaccines.

Admission that flu shots contain formaldehyde and sodium deoxycholate

The same insert that admits this vaccine has never been proven safe in children or pregnant women also openly admits that it contains neurotoxic chemicals.

Per the insert, each dose of Flulaval contains up to 25 mcg of formaldehyde (a neurotoxin) and up to 50 mcg of sodium deoxycholate.

This is on top of the 25 mcg of mercury you'll get in every dose. And remember, this is mercury that's **injected directly into your body**, so you absorb 100% of this mercury (unlike mercury you eat, where most of it sticks to food fibers and is transported out of your body).

Total admission that flu shots cause seizures, convulsions and Guillian-Barre syndrome

Ever wonder what all these toxic chemicals and heavy metals cause in humans? Flu shots vaccines, it turns out, are already known to cause a huge number of devastating health effects.

Predictably, there is a massive disinfo campaign across the mainstream media, Wikipedia, medical journals and government propaganda agencies (CDC, FDA, etc.) to pretend that flu shots have no risks whatsoever. Yet the insert that comes with the vaccine openly admits the flu shot has been linked with a long, frightening list of serious adverse effects. As this Flulaval insert says (see photo below):

"In addition to reports in clinical trials, the following adverse events have been identified during postapproval use of Flulaval...

vomiting chest pain allergic edema of the mouth anaphylaxis laryngitis cullulitis muscle weakness arthritis dizziness paresthesia tremor somnolence Guillian-Barre syndrome convulsions / seizures facial or cranial nerve paralysis encephalopathy limb paralysis insomnia dyspnea sweating"

Here's a photo of this section of the package insert, complete with the GlaxoSmithKline toll-free phone number:

Postmarketing Experience In addition to reports in clinical trials, the following adverse events have been identified during postapproval use of FLULAVAL. Because these events are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not always possible to reliably estimate their incidence rate or establish a causal relationship to the vaccine. Adverse events described here are included because: a) they represent reactions which are known to occur following immunizations generally or influenza immunizations specifically; b) they are potentially serious; or c) the frequency of reporting. Blood and Lymphatic System Disorders: Lymphadenopathy. Eye Disorders: Eye pain, photophobia. General Disorders and Administration Site Conditions: Chest pain, injection site inflammation, asthenia, injection site Gastrointestinal Disorders: Dysphagia, vomiting. rash, influenza-like symptoms, abnormal gait, injection site bruising, injection site sterile abscess. Immune System Disorders; Allergic edema of the mouth, anaphylaxis, allergic edema of the throat. Infections and Infestations: Rhinitis, laryngitis, cellulitis. Musculoskeletal and Connective Tissue Disorders: Muscle weakness, arthritis. Nervous System Disorders: Dizziness, paresthesia, hypoesthesia, hypokinesia, tremor, somnolence, syncope, Guillain-Barré syndrome, convulsions/seizures, facial or cranial nerve paralysis, encephalopathy, limb paralysis. Respiratory, Thoracic, and Mediastinal Disorders: Dyspnea, dysphonia, bronchospasm, throat tightness. Psychiatric Disorders: Insomnia. Skin and Subcutaneous Tissue Disorders; Urticaria, localized or generalized rash, pruritus, sweating. Vascular Disorders; Flushing, pallor. Adverse Events Associated With Influenza Vaccines Anaphylaxis has been reported after administration of FLULAVAL. Although FLULAVAL contains only a limited quantity of egg protein, this protein can induce immediate hypersensitivity reactions among persons who have severe egg allergy. Allergic reactions include hives, angioedema, allergic asthma, and systemic anaphylaxis [see Contraindications (4)]. Neurological disorders temporally associated with influenza vaccination such as encephalopathy, optic neuritis/neuropathy, partial facial paralysis, and brachial plexus neuropathy have been reported. Microscopic polyangitis (vasculitis) has been reported temporally associated with influenza vaccination. DRUG INTERACTIONS **Concomitant Administration With Other Vaccines** FLULAVAL should not be mixed with any other vaccine in the same syringe or vial. There are insufficient data to assess the concomitant administration of FLULAVAL with other vaccines. When concomitant administration of other vaccines is required, the vaccines should be administered at different injection sites. Immunosuppressive therapies, including irradiation, antimetabolites, alkylating agents, cytotoxic drugs, and cortico-**Immunosuppressive Therapies** steroids (used in greater than physiologic doses), may reduce the immune response to FLULAVAL. USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS A reproductive and developmental toxicity study has been performed in female rats at a dose approximately 56 times the Pregnancy 8.1 human dose (on a mg/kg basis) and revealed no evidence of impaired female fertility or harm to the fetus due to FLULAVAL. There are, however, no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. Because animal reproduction studies are not always predictive of human response, FLULAVAL should be given to a pregnant woman only if clearly needed. predictive of number response, recovering should be given to a program only in clearly recover. In a reproductive and developmental toxicity study, the effect of FLULAVAL on embryo-fetal and pre-weaning development was evaluated in pregnant rats. Animals were administered FLULAVAL by intramuscular injection once prior to opment was evaluated in pregnant rats. Animals were administrated 1.59 and 1.5), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately gestation, and during the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section, and during the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and during the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and during the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and during the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and during the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and during the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and during the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and during the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), 0.1 mL/rat/occasion (approximately section), and the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), and the period of organogenesis (gestation days 6, 8, 11, and 15), and the period of organogenesis (gestation pregnancy, parturition, lactation parameters, and embryo-fetal or pre-weaning development were observed. There were no vaccine-related fetal malformations or other evidence of teratogenesis. Pregnancy Registry: GlaxoSmithKline maintains a surveillance registry to collect data on pregnancy outcomes and newborn health status outcomes following vaccination with FLULAVAL during pregnancy. Women who receive FLULAVAL during pregnancy should be encouraged to contact GlaxoSmithKline directly or their healthcare provider should contact GlaxoSmithKline by calling 1-888-452-9622.

If you take flu shots, you are being poisoned by quacks

The upshot of all this is that flu shots utterly lack any scientific evidence of safety of efficacy. We don't know if they work at all, in other words, and neither does the vaccine manufacturer. Neither do the doctors or medical staff who administer them. Flu vaccines are injected into people **purely as a matter of blind faith** in the very same companies that have already been convicted of felony crimes.

GlaxoSmithKline, for example, not only manufacturers this Flulaval vaccine... the company also <u>committed multiple felony crimes and got caught bribing doctors</u>, ultimately agreeing to pay a multi-billion-dollar criminal settlement with the U.S. Department of Justice.

Trusting a flu shot made by a corporation of felons is a lot like trusting the purity of heroin you buy from a street dealer. Both flu shots and street heroin have at least one thing in common, by the way: neither has ever been tested for safety.

We also know that flu shots contain neurotoxic chemicals and heavy metals in alarming concentrations. This is irrefutable scientific fact. We also know that there is no "safe" form of mercury just like there is no safe form of heroin — all forms of mercury are highly toxic when injected into the body (ethyl, methyl, organic, inorganic).

Share this story, spread the truth

Share this story with everyone who needs to know the truth about flu vaccines. This message needs to get out. Every fact stated in this article is 100% true and verified. The quotes from the Flulaval package insert are on-the-record statements from GlaxoSmithKline.

And for the record, **I** am not an opponent of the theory of vaccination. What I'm against is the continued use of toxic heavy metals and chemicals in vaccines. I'm also opposed to the wildly fraudulent marketing of vaccines. If any other product were marketed with the same lies and deceptions as vaccines, they would be immediately charged with fraud and misrepresentation by the FTC.

But somehow when the vaccine industry commits routine fraud, everybody pretends it isn't happening.

Even with all the marketing fraud taking place, if the vaccine manufacturers would stop poisoning the population with vaccine additives (by removing mercury, formaldehyde and other chemicals from their products), nearly all opposition to vaccines would rapidly disappear.

HOW MUCH MERCURY IS REALLY IN A FLU SHOT?

Here's What ICP-MS Tests Revealed:

2 ppb - Maximum mercury contaminant level in drinking water set by EPA

250 ppb - Typical mercury level in tuna

500 ppb - Highest level detected by Natural News in contaminated whitefish

51,000 ppb - Level of mercury FOUND IN A FLU SHOT

(ppb means parts per billion)

ALL FORMS OF MERCURY ARE TOXIC TO HUMANS.

See real-time news updates at Vaccines. Natural News.com

SOURCES:

- ICP-MS laboratory testing at the Natural News Forensic Food Lab
- · Package insert of Flulaval Influenza Virus Vaccine from GlaxoSmithKline

Sources for this story include:

- (1) http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/basi...
- (2) http://vaccines.naturalnews.com

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Mike Adams

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca