

US Attack on Syrian Forces, A Criminal Act of War: Asserting the "Safe Zones", Perpetuating the War

By Tony CartalucciGlobal Research, May 20, 2017

Land Destroyer Report

Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u> Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Terrorism</u>, <u>US</u>

NATO War Agenda

In-depth Report: **SYRIA**

In legal terms, it was both an act of war and criminal. The US military is currently occupying Syrian territory without invitation by the Syrian government and without any form of mandate from the United Nations.

In geostrategic terms, the United States is attempting to assert itself and its geopolitical strategy of establishing and subsequently expanding "safe zones" inside Syrian territory in a bid to topple the Syrian government, then divide and destroy the Syrian state.

Foreign Policy, a clearinghouse for corporate-financier funded think tank policymakers, in an article titled, "<u>US Bombs Syria Regime for the First Time</u>," would admit:

The strike showed American commanders are willing to use force to maintain de facto safe zones in the country's east, where U.S. forces are training local militias to battle the Islamic State and provide security in liberated regions.

While Foreign Policy's article confirms US intentions of carving out "safe zones" in Syria, it misleads readers regarding the purpose of doing so.

While it claims that these "safe zones" are intended to host training for "local militias to battle the Islamic State," US intentions to create such zones stretches back long before the threat of the Islamic State was introduced into the conflict.

US policymakers themselves openly admitted the "safe zones" were meant to both perpetuate the conflict and seek a more long-term process of regime change after initial attempts to stampede the government in Damascus out of power failed in 2011.



Saving Syria: Assessing Options for Regime Change

Daniel Byman, Michael Donce, Kenneth Polleck, and Salman Shaikh

But the annex in Syria, which is now entering its new out for a given about year, also offers some important opportunities, once that would come from the fid of the eigene of Bohar al-Anad, whose family has ruled the country with an image life over first years. Syria is made with an image life over first years. Syria is neighbor with an image life on the relative state. Syria is neighbor and most important ally in the Analy world, and the trainter regime has finded did and in filtery support to done up his regime. Annel suparants would do at a single silicant blace to Thoma, forther including, as at a time when it has five friends in the regime or the needle life. The substitute of the substitute, Dameston is smallen in to be writting toward the substitute. The substitute is the five fitted by the substitute. The substitute is the five fitted by the substitute of the model is abdition. Dameston is smallen in to benefity record benefit and Anafa signes is also a languistic supporter to draw the fitted by the substitute of the state of the stat

STREE IS TREPPED ON A CHEMPRION PRECIPICE, elements in Imag. The regime's collapse, therefore, and however it might full well result significant risks for the United States and for the Sprine people.

and its allies in the region,

The brasil regime of Boshur al-Audi is employing in legal military forces and securation though so crash the appositions and resourt in speanay. Even if Boshur hall, Syrim are pure be our of the soudce an increasingly. Early alternative so the current regime is a Mosely critic was militar to what we are in Labanas. Boseira. Gongs, and more recordy is Ina, 'The horson of such as we might even exceed the brazil reasont on of Acadis concreti, and would case spillowine Syrini in Equipment in the control of Acadis concreti, and would case spillowines Syrini and brazil exceeding the second of the disasterous for a them and for Acadis concreti, and would case spillowines for Syrinia have did and shounds more have been after for Acadis concreti, and the Moselfie East,'

But the annex in Syrin, which is now entering its recmore a board than a meaningful, unified force. Al

A March 2012 Brookings Institution paper titled, "Middle East Memo #21: Saving Syria: Assessing Options for Regime Change" (PDF), proposes the concept of "safe zones" or "safehavens" not to fight the yet-to-be invented Islamic State, but specifically to assist US-backed regime change. It claims (emphasis added):

An alternative is for diplomatic efforts to focus first on how to end the violence and how to gain humanitarian access, as is being done under Annan's leadership. This may lead to the creation of safe-havens and humanitarian corridors, which would have to be backed by limited military power. This would, of course, fall short of U.S. goals for Syria and could preserve Asad in power. From that starting point, however, it is possible that a broad coalition with the appropriate international mandate could add further coercive action to its efforts.

A 2015 Brookings paper titled, "Deconstructing Syria: Towards a regionalized strategy for a confederal country" would elaborate on the nature of these zones, not as bases for fighting terrorism - but as a means of incrementally dividing and literally "deconstructing" Syria as a unified nation-state (emphasis added):

The end-game for these zones would not have to be determined in advance. The interim goal might be a confederal Syria, with several highly autonomous zones and a modest (eventual) national government. The confederation would likely require support from an international peacekeeping force, if this arrangement could ever be formalized by accord. But in the short term, the ambitions would be lower-to make these zones defensible and governable, to help provide relief for populations within them, and to train and equip more recruits so that the zones could be stabilized and then gradually expanded.

It would also elaborate regarding the role the Islamic State specifically plays in all of this -

not as an enemy to be defeated - but as a pawn to be used against the Syrian government:

The idea would be to help moderate elements establish reliable safe zones within Syria once they were able. American, as well as Saudi and Turkish and British and Jordanian and other Arab forces would actin support, not only from the air but eventually on the ground via the presence of special forces as well. The approach would benefit from Syria's open desert terrain which could allow creation of buffer zones that could be monitored for possible signs of enemy attack through a combination of technologies, patrols, and other methods that outside special forces could help Syrian local fighters set up.

Were Assad foolish enough to challenge these zones, even if he somehow forced the withdrawal of the outside special forces, he would be likely to lose his air power in ensuing retaliatory strikes by outside forces, depriving his military of one of its few advantages over ISIL. Thus, he would be unlikely to do this.

Here, not only is the focus on tempting the Syrian government into an attack to justify wider direct conflict between US and Syrian forces, Brookings policymakers openly use the prospect of stripping away tools the Syrian government is using to fight the Islamic State as leverage while the US invades and occupies larger stretches of Syrian territory.

B. THE OPPOSITION FORCES WILL TRY TO USE THE IRAQI TERRITORY AS A SAFE HAVEN FOR ITS FORCES TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THE SYMPATHY OF THE IRAQI BORDER POPULATION, MEANWHILE TRYING TO RECRUIT FIGHTERS AND TRAIN THEM ON THE IRAQI SIDE, IN ADDITION TO HARBORING REFUGEES (SYRIA).

C. IF THE SITUATION UNRAVELS THERE IS THE POSSIBILITY OF ESTABLISHING A DECLARED OR UNDECLARED SALAFIST PRINCIPALITY IN EASTERN SYRIA (HASAKA AND DER ZOR), AND THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT THE SUPPORTING POWERS TO THE OPPOSITION WANT, IN ORDER TO ISOLATE THE SYRIAN REGIME, WHICH IS CONSIDERED THE STRATEGIC DEPTH OF THE SHIA EXPANSION (IRAQ AND IRAN).

B. THE SALAFIST, THE MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD, AND AQI ARE THE MAJOR FORCES DRIVING THE INSURGENCY IN SYRIA.

C. THE WEST, GULF COUNTRIES, AND TURKEY SUPPORT THE OPPOSITION; WHILE RUSSIA, CHINA, AND IRAN SUPPORT THE REGIME.

The use of the Islamic State as a pawn against the Syrian government should come as no surprise and is hardly a "conspiracy theory." The US Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) itself in 2012 would publish a memo (PDF) detailing specifically what a prescribed "Salafist" (Islamic) "principality" (State) would be used for by the US and its allies:

If the situation unravels there is the possibility of establishing a declared or undeclared Salafist principality in eastern Syria (Hasaka and Der Zor), and this

is exactly what the supporting powers to the opposition want, in order to isolate the Syrian regime, which is considered the strategic depth of the Shia expansion (Iraq and Iran).

The DIA memo then explains exactly who the supporters powers are – as well as who the principality's enemies would be:

The West, Gulf countries, and Turkey support the opposition; while Russia, China, and Iran support the regime.

America's strategy in Syria is an admittedly more drawn out process than was used against Afghanistan in 2001, Iraq in 2003, and Libya in 2011. All three nations are now destabilized and virtual breeding grounds for terrorism, conflict, instability, and human catastrophe – cautionary examples of Syria's fate should US foreign policy "succeed" there as well.

With the recent strike, the US proves that it will continue its illegal, unwarranted aggression in Syria until it either topples the Syrian government and succeeds in transforming the nation into yet another failed state amid its growing collection of global military interventions, or until the US is stopped by sufficient deterrence by Syria and its allies in Moscow and Tehran.

The original source of this article is <u>Land Destroyer Report</u> Copyright © <u>Tony Cartalucci</u>, <u>Land Destroyer Report</u>, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Tony Cartalucci**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca