

Uranium Dossier. "Yugoslav Scenario"

By [Dragan Vujicic](#)

Global Research, April 01, 2023

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Militarization and WMD](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

A long way has come since September 1995, when the first grains of depleted uranium ammunition from the bombed Repair Facility in Hadžići, at that time under the control of the Bosnian Serb Army, were brought from Sarajevo to the Military Technical Institute in Belgrade for possible toxicity analysis, until March 2023, when the quiet and silent dying of people in Serbia got a new name in world politics: "Yugoslav scenario".

Maria Zakharova, spokesperson of the MFA of Russia, invented the phrase Yugoslav scenario with the purpose to comment on the intention of the British to supply the Ukrainian army with ammunition made of depleted uranium.

And we should not be angry with the Russian official, even though it is a cynical name for dying. Wesley Clark and his gang poisoned the house.

Until the "Merciful Angel", in Serbia, 1,700 to one million inhabitants were suffering from cancer. According to data not updated, that number is around 5.500 today and significantly higher after the Covid torture.

While the Institute for Public Health in Belgrade was still "sharing" the nation's biomedical statistics with citizens, **it was possible to find out (say in 2007) that the incidence of prostate cancer in men increased by 60 percent from 1999 to 2005, bladder by 37 percent, intestines 29 percent.**

In the same year 2007, the medical monitoring of the children of our soldiers from Kosovo and Metohija, who were born between 2000 and 2004, was terminated by the will of the then state leadership of Serbia.

In a study conducted at the Military Medical Academy in Belgrade after the aggression, genetic samples were taken from the children of the descendants of soldiers who defended the country, 1,752 of them. The Control Group for comparing the results consisted of 1,204 children born before the bombing, from 1995 to 1999. The results in Occupational Medicine at the VMA testified to obvious anomalies, and to the genotoxicity of Depleted Uranium

ammunition, but someone “in the medical community” concluded that the sample of children with VMA is too small for the Study to be taken “seriously”, and the country’s acceptance into the so-called European Union is promised soon.

And that’s where we are – tragic European champions in morbidity and mortality from oncological diseases.

Third Army Dying From Cancer

COLONEL Veljko Lovre, military policeman and companion of **General Nebojsa Pavković** in Kosmet, is believed to be the first war victim of depleted uranium in Serbia.



He died on Christmas Day 2001 and was buried two days later, on St. Stephen’s Day. Exactly on January 13th of that year, the Belgrade weekly “Telegraf” published exclusively that 13 former soldiers from Kosmet were being treated for cancer at the VMA and that it was suspected that their cancer was a consequence of being in a toxic war environment.

And when four years ago in Niš, in 2019, on the occasion of two decades since the aggression, the Extended Collegium of the former commanders of the two corps of the Third Army of the VJ was held, after “reading and remembering” who is no longer there” – the cry of the old warriors followed.

At least half of them were “missing” from the most serious diseases.

“It was on April 3, 1999, near the village of Reljan in the south of Serbia, when I went to see for myself the consequences of the A 10 aircraft with uranium grains on targets on the ground”, General Nebojsa Pavković wrote in his book. In a prison in Finland, he told on a “dictaphone” how he was operated on for bladder cancer at the VMA in 2002, and the following year his thyroid gland was removed... Now he is being treated by “Finnish health care”.

„And my colleague General Negoslav Nikolić, who led the Niš Corps right after me, fell ill and died of aggressive cancer”, said Pavković at the time.

A wonderful man and great commander from Kosmet, General Vladimir Lazarevic, fell ill at the same time. He had as many as four operations, two in Belgrade and two in the

Netherlands.

"My father's cancer first appeared on the skin of his leg and he had to undergo surgery twice", Milan Lazarević, a specialist doctor at the Niš Call Center, told this journalist. "My father and I are convinced that he fell ill as a result of the bombing. He was operated on twice in The Hague, on his face and then on his spine. The important thing is that now he is at home and I am managing his therapies" ... General Božidar Delić, who was in command of Metohija for 12 years, died after a battle with cancer in 2022.

"Today I can say that every A-10 plane that targeted us with depleted uranium hit!- I was diagnosed with colon cancer in 2010, and when the doctors "opened me up" they realized that the disease had metastasized. I was operated on 12 times". ...



Colonel Vlatko Vuković, commander of the battalion near Djakovica, who was often targeted by bombers from May 1999 until June of that year, dropped from 72 kilograms to 50 kilograms. However, he did not leave the unit until 2002.

"All my doctors were aware that I fell ill as a result of the war, but since I was not "hit by a grain" they explained to me that they have no legal options to award me compensation. What's scarier than this is that I then met my seniors from the trenches in Kosmet in front of the VMA offices as if we were at a morning military briefing in a military garrison. Now they are "gone" too. - I'm still fighting". ...

And **Radojko Pavlović**, a physicist from Vinča who led a team for extracting ammunition from depleted uranium in the Republika Srpska and in the south of Serbia, suddenly fell ill and died of lung cancer in 2003. Just in 2003, he finished cleaning nine locations in the south of Serbia filled with these bullets. His wife Dr. Snežana Pavlović, also a physicist from Vinča, said that she believes that Radojko is a victim of his job.

There is allegedly no evidence - or there is...

World Health Organization More Toxic Than Uranium

Based on the analysis of seven and half depleted uranium bullets found by English "experts" in 11 places on Kosovo, the World Health Organization concluded that the radiation on the territory of the polluted places is not dangerous and that there are no consequences for human health. Based on the findings of the English "expedition" who was in Kosovo and Metohija in November 2000, Dr. Michael Ripacelli wrote a monograph on the impact of

uranium ammunition in the Balkans, which was published in January 2001 (“Depleted Uranium in Kosovo Post-Conflict Environmental Assessment”).

The World Health Organization accepted the findings of the English (and who wouldn't believe the name?)

Ripacelli's conclusions briefly read:

- There is no widespread contamination in Kosovo, in the OU use zone. Contamination was confirmed only 10-15 m from the place of direct hit,
- No water contamination.
- There is no danger or risk to human health anywhere, except for those who keep projectile parts close to the body for a very long time.

As Serbian general **Slobodan Petković**, the head of the Atomic Biological Defense in the Serbian Army during the aggression, concluded in connection with the “English work” in 1999, the British document was created for the needs of the Hague Tribunal.

However, this “WHO episode” is preceded by a two-year game of cruel and cynical cover-up of the crimes of the so-called International Community.

The arrogant English-aristocratic expedition of Dr. Michael Ripacelli (composed of 14 members) arrived in Kosmet in November 2000 to “refute” the unsettled Preliminary Report of the UN team of the former Finnish Minister of Ecology, **Pek Havist**, dated October 11, 1999.

A Finn, (today the president of his country) previously wrote the “first” Basic UN document on the consequences of NATO's destruction of Yugoslavia.

He came to the data while visiting the destroyed cities of Serbia. This is one of the conclusions that “accuses” NATO the most:

“Pančevo, Kragujevac, Novi Sad and Bor are places where pollution was found due to bombing. In polluted areas, it is necessary to take measures to protect the environment and clean up in order to avoid harmful consequences for human health. It is necessary to prevent access to contaminated places.” Already in the next paragraph, the Finn writes: “contaminated places could not be identified”!?

Havist Wouldn't Live in Serbia

In the great fraud and crime against local peoples, which is now called the “Yugoslavian scenario”, the role of Pek Havist is “immeasurable”. During the measurements of radioactivity and the cleaning of the Bratoselce, Pljačkovica, Reljan, Borovac and Čerenovac sites, our experts on the ground asked Finca if he would build a house for himself and his family in Southern Serbia.

He kept silent, said Dr. Srežana (in other words, the wife of the deceased Radojko, who took this ammunition out of the ground). Everything here, in our (bombed) Serbia, is beautiful and God-given, testified this woman from the Nuclear Institute in Vinca.

Snežana Pavlović was one of those who participated in filling those 40 to 50 barrels of 200 kilograms each of contaminated soil and ammunition from OU that were dug up in the south

of Serbia and “stored safely”.

And in itself, the Yugoslav Basic Report of the United Nations on the consequences of the use of depleted uranium ammunition in the territories of Serbia and Montenegro by Pek Havista was prepared with the intention of refuting the official document of the UNEP (UN Environmental Protection Program based in Nairobi), the only report that created in real time in 1999. This document was written and signed in 1999 by the Senegalese Bakari Kante and his men who came to the territory of the FRY when the bombing began. The UNEP report from the field “screamed” accusations of ecocide against the nature and people of the FRY.

The team of UNEP experts headed by the Senegalese Bakari Kante remained in the FRY until May, General Slobodan Petković wrote. NATO to have dire consequences for people and nature not only in the FRY, but also in the region.

However, the original report by Bacary Kante and his team never became an official UN document – thanks first to Havista and then to Rippocelli.

It was published in the Geneva daily “The Courier” (Le Courier) on June 17, 1999, thanks to Robert Parsons, the American independent reporter from the UN headquarters in Geneva, who presented the report at the press conference organized a little later in the UN building on the shores of Lake Geneva.

In Kante’s report from “Kurijer” in May 1999, UNEP experts stated that the atmosphere and soil in the former FR Yugoslavia are permanently polluted with toxic substances due to the bombing of industrial-chemical complexes and the use of weapons with depleted uranium... It was concluded that the next generations who live on bombed ground will suffer from cancerous diseases, leukemia, that the number of spontaneous abortions and deformities of newborns will increase...

“Nature in FR Yugoslavia was polluted by toxic substances, among which the most dangerous are polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), highly carcinogenic and responsible for immunological diseases. The report emphasizes that one liter of polychlorinated biphenyls is enough to pollute a billion liters of water. PCBs are found in electrical transformer stations and in numerous oil refineries that were targeted by NATO” ...

Chapter eight of the censored report talks about the pollution caused by the use of depleted uranium weapons...

Klaus Topfer (Director General of UNEP from 1988 to 2006) is the main architect of lies about the consequences of the NATO attack in the Balkans, Parsons said in June 1999. The UN comes and asks for Kante’s findings.

Late Recognition of the Nobel Laureate

The British physicist, Nobel winner expert on the effects of radioactive radiation on the human environment, Kay Beverstock, who participated in the English expedition to KiM in November 2000 and the creation of the Ripocelli report, spoke in 2011. It took him more than a decade to verbally withdraw his signature from of the WHO “scientific” document on the basis of which it was concluded that the “Yugoslavian scenario” is, as it would be said today: a conspiracy theory, disinformation or misinformation....

- I was in the editorial office of that monograph. It was prepared in Geneva, under the direction of Dr. Michael Ripaccioli, who is not an expert on the health issue when it comes to the consequences of radiation. I was very dissatisfied with the way that report was done. In my estimation, the material they wanted to present in that report had very little to do with health effects. The title reads: "Impact of depleted uranium on human health", but the report does not mention genotoxicity. Beverstock confirmed in 2011 that they removed his findings from Ripocelli's report confirming that weapons with depleted uranium are carcinogenic and genotoxic, i.e. that it damages the genetic material, which leads to deformities in newborn children.

Serbian politicians should not be amnestied here either:

When the analyzes of the dangers of American grains with depleted uranium from the Republic of Srpska, made in Vinča and the Military Technical Institute, arrived at the table of the Serbian leadership at the time in January 1996, the question was raised: What if after this all the people from the Republic of Srpska cross the Drina - testified Dr. Slobodan Orlić, who signed the first spectrometry and analysis of the use of uranium ammunition in our country. Dr. Orlić says that the Report from the Serbian Nuclear Institute in Vinča never became an Official Report and was not made public.

The Report of the Killed General

While he was a member of parliament in the Serbian Parliament in 2020, **General Božidar Delic** completed and presented his detailed analysis of the bombing of Serbia:

They delivered not 31,000, but 750,000 shells from depleted weapons from the cannons of the A 10 aircraft. That's about 300 tons of carcinogenic material. Our officers recorded every strike from the sky according to the seconds of the action from the air - he wrote in his report. That name was a military assignment. it is not clear how we could believe in them. We still don't have an independent check to this day - asserted the general and deputy, offering for consideration by the House of Representatives whether they were bombarded with these shells from the sky.

NATO data states that the Alliance employed 44 A-10 aircraft for operations in Serbia in 1999. Each plane that flew had two operations per day. General Delic claimed that it is unlikely that all of them flew non-stop and he took 35 as the number of planes that bombed daily and instead of 78 days of war, he shortened the period of action to 60. It is this "calculation" that says that Serbia was fired upon about 300 tons of ammunition with depleted uranium.

According to the general's findings, in the first second the cannon from the A 10 aircraft that operated in Kosmet throws out 50 shells, and in each subsequent one 65. The minimum effect of the cannon is three seconds (it cannot fire below that), which means 180 shells. If they were to take the NATO report that they fired 31,000 shells, it would turn out that their cannon was firing 11 missiles per second, and that is impossible, he concluded.

When it is known that the cannons of those planes can carry 1,300 shells, and according to the number of raids and the seconds of action that we have recorded in the soldiers' diaries, I got the number of 750,000 shells with depleted uranium that fell on our land - Delic wrote.

What Has NATO Given Us?

There are three reports on the use of depleted uranium in 1999 that were made available to our military after the aggression. The first report is the one compiled by NATO, it lists 31,000 missiles with a map of their effect. Our army officially came out with a figure of 50,000.

General Slobodan Petković, when speaking about the consequences of using ammunition from the UO in the Balkans, points to the research of a professor from the Japanese University, Dr. K. Yagasaki. The Japanese compared the effects of the bomb of 13 kg of enriched uranium that exploded over Hiroshima with the 2,000 tons of depleted uranium used by the Americans in their “awe and shock” in Iraq, that came to the proportion that the OU was dropped on Iraq is equivalent to 200,000 atomic bombs like the one dropped on Hiroshima. According to his calculation, the 15 tons of munitions that fell on Serbia is equal to 1.5 atomic bombs over Hiroshima.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

All images in this article are from the author

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Dragan Vujcic](#), Global Research, 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dragan Vujcic](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca