

Unsettled - Another Monsanto Roundup Case Heads to Trial

Monsanto argues jury should see evidence of company's "good character"

By [Carey Gillam](#)

Global Research, March 22, 2022
[UnSpun](#) 19 March 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Biotechnology and GMO](#), [Law and Justice](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#) and Twitter at [@crglobalization](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Three people suffering from cancer are set to face off against Monsanto in the latest courtroom battle over allegations that exposure to the company’s Roundup weed killer causes non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

The trial will be the first to take place in the company’s former hometown, with jury selection set to start on March 24. (A [previous trial in St. Louis](#) was cancelled just hours before it was scheduled to begin due to a settlement agreement.)

The trial will focus on the complaints of three individuals: Robert Bird, an Iowa man who sprayed Roundup products routinely on a tree farm; Blake Buchan, a 39-year-old Georgia man who used Monsanto’s products to spray fence lines and other areas of two properties he maintained; and Ozie Parker, also of Georgia, who grew up helping out on his family farm, mixing and spraying Roundup weed killers on hundreds of acres for many years.

The plaintiffs allege that Monsanto was well aware of the risks of the active ingredient in its herbicides – a chemical called glyphosate – but hid the risks from consumers, failing to warn them despite scientific evidence showing the cancer-causing potential of the products.

Monsanto denies its products cause cancer, pointing to backing by the Environmental Protection Agency and other regulators around the world for the safety of the glyphosate-based herbicides.

The case is being overseen by St. Louis Circuit Court Judge Michael Mullen, and is expected to last about five weeks, according to court spokesman Jacob Long.

Germany’s Bayer AG bought Monsanto in 2018, but Monsanto has maintained a large presence in the St. Louis area, and lawyers for both sides have been arguing over whether or not the company’s long-standing philanthropic role there could be introduced as evidence

of [what Monsanto attorneys call](#) the company's "good character."

Because the plaintiffs will be seeking to convince the jury that Monsanto was "malicious, evilly motivated" or otherwise engaged in other bad behavior, "Monsanto is entitled to put forth mitigating evidence supporting its good character," according to a recent court filing.

**MONSANTO COMPANY'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 20 TO EXCLUDE ANY
TESTIMONY OR REFERENCE TO DEFENDANT'S "GOOD ACTS" OR
REFERENCES TO COMMUNITY SERVICE BY DEFENDANT'S
CURRENT OR FORMER EMPLOYEES, MANAGERS, CONSULTANTS,
EXPERTS, AGENTS, OR FIDUCIARIES**

Plaintiffs move to preclude Defendant Monsanto "from commenting, arguing, or otherwise introducing evidence of its 'good acts' in St. Louis and other communities around the United States as well as references to community service by Defendant's current and former employees, managers, consultants, experts, agent, and fiduciaries." *See* Pls' Mot., p. 1. Yet Plaintiffs claim that Monsanto and its employees and agents acted with malice or reckless indifference in making and distributing Roundup. *See* First Amended Petition, 04/04/19, at ¶ 738 ("Defendant's reckless conduct therefore warrants an award of punitive damages."); *see also id.*, Count VIII, ¶¶ 769-774 ("As a direct and proximate result of the willful, wanton, malicious, evilly motivated and/or reckless conduct of Defendant, the Plaintiffs have sustained damages as set forth above."). In light of these allegations, Monsanto is entitled to put forth mitigating evidence supporting its good character. Indeed, this very Court denied a substantially identical motion *in limine* in the *Wade* case. *See* Order, 01/22/2020, *Wade, et al. v. Monsanto, et al.*, Case No. 1722-CC00370 (Mo. Cir. Ct. St. Louis City), p. 2, attached as Exhibit A.

Plaintiffs attorneys, for their part, [have warned](#) that if Monsanto tries to curry favor with jurors by speaking of its charitable contributions to the community, or similar acts, they will be entitled to lay out the company's long history of involvement with such things as Agent Orange poisonings and PCB contamination of communities across the country.

In [a separate court filing](#) on Thursday, lawyers for Monsanto also asked the judge to bar the plaintiffs' attorneys from engaging in "chicanery" by presenting what Monsanto said would be "inflammatory" or "confusing" information to jurors. Monsanto specifically said the judge should bar any suggestion that jurors should consider their verdict as sending a message to Monsanto or Bayer; or comparisons between Roundup and tobacco, among other things.

The plaintiffs are among more than 100,000 people in the United States who have sued Monsanto claiming that exposure to the company's glyphosate-based herbicides caused them to develop non-Hodgkin lymphoma. They all additionally allege that Monsanto was well aware of the risks associated with its weed killers but tried to suppress or discredit

information about the dangers.

There have been five trials held to date since international cancer scientists [declared glyphosate](#) to be a probable human carcinogen in 2015. Monsanto lost the first three trials and won the last two.

Bayer has settled several other cases that were scheduled to go to trial over the last few years.

And in 2020, the company said it would pay roughly \$11 billion to settle about 100,000 existing Roundup cancer claims, and later said it would set aside another \$4.5 billion toward Roundup litigation liability.

Bayer also announced it would stop selling Roundup, and other herbicides made with the active ingredient glyphosate, to U.S. consumers by 2023.

Courtroom View Network has requested to livestream the trial proceedings and the parties are scheduled to meet with the judge on Monday to discuss the request.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The original source of this article is [UnSpun](#)
Copyright © [Carey Gillam](#), [UnSpun](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Carey Gillam](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca