

system, or the most advanced and safest infrastructure, or the best democratic politics, so we better damn well be able to boast about having “the greatest fighting force” ever.

Leaving that boast aside, Americans could certainly brag about one thing this country has beyond compare: the [most expensive](#) military around and possibly ever. No country even comes close to our commitment of funds to wars, weapons (including nuclear ones at the Department of Energy), and global dominance. Indeed, the Pentagon’s budget for “defense” in 2023 exceeds that of the [next 10 countries](#) (mostly allies!) combined.



And from all of this, it seems to me, two questions arise: Are we truly getting what we pay so dearly for — the bestest, finest, most exceptional military ever? And even if we are, should a self-proclaimed democracy really want such a thing?

The answer to both those questions is, of course, no. After all, America hasn’t won a war in a convincing fashion since 1945. If this country keeps losing wars routinely and often enough catastrophically, as it has in places like Vietnam, Afghanistan, and Iraq, how can we honestly say that we possess the world’s greatest fighting force? And if we nevertheless persist in such a boast, doesn’t that echo the rhetoric of militaristic empires of the past? (Remember when we used to think that only unhinged dictators like Adolf Hitler boasted of having peerless warriors in a megalomaniacal pursuit of global domination?)

Actually, I do believe the United States has the most exceptional military, just not in the way its boosters and cheerleaders like Austin, Bush, and Obama claimed. How is the U.S. military truly “exceptional”? Let me count the ways.

The Pentagon as a Budgetary Black Hole

In so many ways, the U.S. military is indeed exceptional. Let’s begin with its budget. At this very moment, Congress is debating a colossal “defense” budget of \$886 billion for FY2024 (and all the debate is [about issues](#) that have little to do with the military). That defense spending bill, you may recall, was “only” \$740 billion when **President Joe Biden** took office three years ago. In 2021, Biden withdrew U.S. forces from the disastrous war in Afghanistan, theoretically saving the taxpayer nearly \$50 billion a year. Yet, in place of any sort of peace dividend, American taxpayers simply got an even higher bill as the Pentagon budget continued to soar.

Recall that, in his four years in office, **Donald Trump** increased military spending by 20%. Biden is now poised to achieve a similar 20% increase in [just three years](#) in office. And that increase largely doesn’t even include the cost of supporting Ukraine in its war with Russia — so far, somewhere between [\\$120 billion](#) and \$200 billion and still rising.

Colossal budgets for weapons and war enjoy broad bipartisan support in Washington. It’s almost as if there were a [military-industrial-congressional complex](#) at work here! Where, in fact, did I ever hear a president warning us about that? Oh, perhaps I’m thinking of a certain [farewell address](#) by **Dwight D. Eisenhower** in 1961.

In all seriousness, there’s now a huge pentagonal-shaped black hole on the Potomac that’s devouring more than half of the federal discretionary budget annually. Even when Congress and the Pentagon allegedly try to enforce fiscal discipline, if not austerity elsewhere, the crushing gravitational pull of that hole just continues to [suck in](#) more money. Bet on that

continuing as the Pentagon issues ever more warnings about a [new cold war](#) with China and Russia.

Given its money-sucking nature, perhaps you won't be surprised to learn that the Pentagon is remarkably exceptional when it comes to failing fiscal audits — five of them in a row (the fifth failure being a [“teachable moment,”](#) according to its chief financial officer) — as its budget only continued to soar. Whether you're talking about lost wars or failed audits, the Pentagon is eternally rewarded for its failures. Try running a “Mom and Pop” store on that basis and see how long you last.

Speaking of all those failed wars, perhaps you won't be surprised to learn that they haven't come cheaply. According to the [Costs of War Project](#) at Brown University, roughly [937,000 people](#) have died since 9/11/2001 thanks to direct violence in this country's “Global War on Terror” in Afghanistan, Iraq, Libya, and elsewhere. (And the deaths of another [3.6 to 3.7 million people](#) may be indirectly attributable to those same post-9/11 conflicts.) The financial cost to the American taxpayer has been roughly [\\$8 trillion](#) and rising even as the U.S. military continues its counterterror preparations and activities in [85 countries](#).

	Afghanistan	Pakistan	Iraq	Syria/ISIS	Yemen	Other	Total
U.S. Military	2,324	-	4,599	-	-	130	7,053
U.S. DOD Civilian	6	-	15		-	-	21
U.S. Contractors	3,917	90	3,650	19	2	511	8,189
National Military and Police	69,095	9,431	48,337- 52,337	51,483	-	N/A	178,346- 182,346
Other Allied Troops	1,144	-	324	11,000		-	12,468
Civilians	46,319	24,099	186,694- 210,038	138,947	12,690	N/A	408,749- 432,093
Opposition Fighters	52,893	32,838	36,806- 43,881	67,065	99,321	N/A	288,923- 295,998
Journalists/ Media Workers	74	87	282	75	33	129	680
Humanitarian/NGO Workers	446	105	64	227	46	8	896
TOTAL	176,218	66,650	280,771- 315,190	268,816	112,092	778	905,325- 939,744
TOTAL (Rounded to Nearest 1,000)	176,000	67,000	281,000- 315,000	269,000	112,000	1,000	905,000- 940,000

HUMAN COSTS The number of people killed directly in the violence of the post-9/11 wars in Afghanistan, Pakistan, Iraq, Syria, Yemen, and elsewhere are estimated here. Several times as many more have been killed as a reverberating effect of the wars — because, for example, of water loss, sewage and other infrastructural issues, and war-related disease. *Posted March 2023. Updated August 2023.*

No other nation in the world sees its military as (to borrow from a short-lived [Navy slogan](#)) “a global force for good.” No other nation divides the whole world into military commands like [AFRICOM](#) for Africa and CENTCOM for the Middle East and parts of Central and South Asia, headed up by four-star generals and admirals. No other nation has a network of [750 foreign bases](#) scattered across the globe. No other nation strives for full-spectrum dominance through [“all-domain operations,”](#) meaning not only the control of traditional “domains” of combat — the land, sea, and air — but also of space and cyberspace. While other countries are focused mainly on national defense (or regional aggressions of one sort or another), the U.S. military strives for total global and spatial dominance. Truly exceptional!

Strangely, in this never-ending, unbounded pursuit of dominance, results simply don't matter. The Afghan War? Bungled, botched, and lost. The Iraq War? Built on lies and lost. Libya? [We came, we saw](#), Libya's leader (and so many innocents) died. Yet no one at the Pentagon was punished for any of those failures. In fact, to this day, it remains an accountability-free zone, exempt from meaningful oversight. If you're a "[modern major general](#)," why not pursue wars when you know you'll [never be punished](#) for losing them?

Indeed, the few "exceptions" within the military-industrial-congressional complex who stood up for accountability, people of principle like Daniel Hale, Chelsea Manning, and Edward Snowden, were imprisoned or exiled. In fact, the U.S. government has even conspired to imprison a foreign publisher and transparency activist, **Julian Assange**, who published the truth about the American war on terror, by using a World War I-era espionage clause that only applies to American citizens.

And the record is even grimmer than that. In our post-9/11 years at war, as **President Barack Obama** [admitted](#), "We tortured some folks" — and the only person punished for that was another whistleblower, [John Kiriakou](#), who did his best to bring those [war crimes](#) to our attention.

And speaking of war crimes, isn't it "exceptional" that the U.S. military plans to spend upwards of \$2 trillion in the coming decades on a new generation of [genocidal nuclear weapons](#)? Those include new stealth bombers and new intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs) for the Air Force, as well as new nuclear-missile-firing submarines for the Navy. Worse yet, the U.S. continues to [reserve the right](#) to use nuclear weapons first, presumably in the name of protecting life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. And of course, despite the countries — [nine!](#) — that now possess nukes, the U.S. remains the only one to have used them in wartime, in the atomic bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

Finally, it turns out that the military is even immune from Supreme Court decisions! When SCOTUS recently overturned affirmative action for college admission, it carved out [an exception](#) for the military academies. Schools like West Point and Annapolis can still consider the race of their applicants, presumably to [promote unit cohesion](#) through proportional representation of minorities within the officer ranks, but our society at large apparently does not require racial equity for its cohesion.

A Most Exceptional Military Makes Its Wars and Their Ugliness Disappear

Here's one of my favorite lines from the movie [The Usual Suspects](#): "The greatest trick the devil ever pulled was convincing the world he did not exist." The greatest trick the U.S. military ever pulled was essentially convincing us that its wars never existed. As Norman Solomon notes in his revealing book, [War Made Invisible](#), the military-industrial-congressional complex has excelled at camouflaging the [atrocious realities](#) of war, rendering them almost entirely invisible to the American people. Call it the [new American isolationism](#), only this time we're isolated from the harrowing and horrific costs of war itself.

America is a nation perpetually at war, yet most of us live our lives with little or no perception of this. There is no longer a military draft. There are no war bond drives. You aren't asked to make direct and personal sacrifices. You aren't even asked to pay attention, let alone pay (except for those nearly trillion-dollar-a-year budgets and interest payments on a ballooning national debt, of course). You certainly aren't asked for your permission for

this country to fight its wars, as the [Constitution demands](#). As President George W. Bush suggested after the 9/11 attacks, [go visit Disneyland!](#) Enjoy life! Let America's "best and brightest" handle the brutality, the degradation, and the ugliness of war, bright minds like former Vice President Dick ("So?") Cheney and former Secretary of Defense Donald ("I don't do quagmires") Rumsfeld.

Did you hear something about the U.S. military being in [Syria](#)? In [Somalia](#)? Did you hear about the U.S. military supporting the Saudis in a brutal war of repression in [Yemen](#)? Did you notice how this country's military interventions around the world kill, wound, and displace so many people of color, so much so that observers speak of the [systemic racism](#) of America's wars? Is it truly progress that a more diverse military in terms of "color, creed, and background," to use Secretary of Defense Austin's words, has killed and is killing so many non-white peoples around the globe?

Praising the [all-female-crewed](#) flyover at the last Super Bowl or painting [rainbow flags](#) of inclusivity (or even blue and yellow flags [for Ukraine](#)) on [cluster munitions](#) won't soften the blows or quiet the screams. As one reader of my blog [Bracing Views](#) so aptly put it: "The diversity the war parties [Democrats and Republicans] will not tolerate is diversity of thought."

Of course, the U.S. military isn't solely to blame here. Senior officers will claim their duty is not to make policy at all but to salute smartly as the president and Congress order them about. The reality, however, is different. The military is, in fact, at the core of America's [shadow government](#) with enormous influence over policymaking. It's not merely an instrument of power; it is power — and exceptionally powerful at that. And that form of power simply isn't conducive to liberty and freedom, whether inside America's borders or beyond them.

Wait! What am I saying? Stop thinking about all that! America is, after all, the exceptional nation and its military, a band of freedom fighters. In Iraq, where war and sanctions killed untold numbers of Iraqi children in the 1990s, the sacrifice was "[worth it](#)," as former Secretary of State Madeleine Albright once reassured Americans on *60 Minutes*.

Even when government actions kill children, lots of children, it's for the greater good. If this troubles you, go to Disney and take your kids with you. You don't like Disney? Then, hark back to that [old marching song](#) of World War I and "pack up your troubles in your old kit-bag, and smile, smile, smile." Remember, America's troops are freedom-delivering heroes and your job is to smile and support them without question.

Have I made my point? I hope so. And yes, the U.S. military is indeed exceptional and being so, being #1 (or claiming you are anyway) means never having to say you're sorry, no matter how many innocents you kill or maim, how many lives you disrupt and destroy, how many lies you tell.

I must admit, though, that, despite the endless celebration of our military's exceptionalism and "greatness," a fragment of scripture from my Catholic upbringing haunts me still: *Pride goeth before destruction and a haughty spirit before a fall*.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter

and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

William J. Astore, a retired lieutenant colonel (USAF) and professor of history, is a [TomDispatch regular](#) and a senior fellow at the Eisenhower Media Network (EMN), an organization of critical veteran military and national security professionals. His personal substack is [Bracing Views](#).

Featured image: [Pentagon](#) by [Thomas Hawk](#) is licensed under [CC BY-NC 2.0](#) / Flickr

The original source of this article is [TomDispatch](#)
Copyright © [William J. Astore](#), [TomDispatch](#), 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [William J. Astore](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca