

UK Judge Rules in Favour of University Professor David Miller Sacked for Anti-Zionist Views

Academic David Miller was dismissed from the University of Bristol in 2021. Lawyers say the new ruling marks a 'significant triumph' for free speech in the workplace

By Middle East Eye

Global Research, February 06, 2024

Middle East Eye 5 February 2024

Region: <u>Europe</u>

Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u> In-depth Report: <u>PALESTINE</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Donation Drive: Global Research Is Committed to the "Unspoken Truth"

A <u>UK</u> judge on Monday ruled in a landmark decision that **David Miller**, a professor of political sociology, who was fired from the University of Bristol in 2021 for anti-Zionist views, was unfairly dismissed and subjected to discrimination.

Rahman Lowe Solicitors, Miller's representatives, called the judgment a "significant triumph", establishing that anti-Zionist beliefs are legally protected in the workplace.

"Prof. Miller successfully claimed discrimination based on his philosophical belief that Zionism is inherently racist, imperialist, and colonial, a protected characteristic under the Equality Act 2010, alongside a finding of unfair dismissal," a statement from the solicitors said.

"This judgment establishes for the first time ever that anti-Zionist beliefs are protected in the workplace," they added.

The judgment found that Miller had experienced discrimination based on his philosophical belief and had been unfairly dismissed by Bristol University.

The decision was hailed by free speech advocates, with one commentator calling it a "key victory for free speech and academic freedom".

The case has gathered widespread attention in recent years, particularly from academics and individuals advocating for justice, fairness and equality in Palestine.

Anti-Israel Criticism

David Miller was dismissed in 2021 after accusing Israel of wanting to "impose [its] will all over the world".

Following his dismissal, he launched employment tribunal proceedings claiming unfair dismissal, breach of contract and discrimination or victimisation on grounds of religion or belief.

At his hearing he made clear that anti-Zionism was not the same as antisemitism, and was not a "racist set of ideas".

— Rahman Lowe Solicitors (@RahmanLowe) February 5, 2024

He added that it was impossible for a Zionist state such as Israel to be non-racist, and described Gaza as an "open air prison".

Rahman Lowe's partner Zillur Rahman, who represented Miller, called it a "landmark case" which "marks a pivotal moment in the history of our country for those who believe in upholding the rights of Palestinians".

"The timing of this judgment will be welcomed by many who at present are facing persecution in their workplaces for speaking out against the crimes of the Israeli state, and the genocide taking place in Gaza," he added.

I am also very proud that we have managed to establish that anti-Zionist views qualify as a protected belief under the UK Equality Act,"

Miller responded to the decision saying that he hopes it would become a touchstone precedent in all future battles that people face with "the racist and genocidal ideology of Zionism and the movement to which it is attached".

"I am extremely pleased that the Tribunal has concluded that I was unfairly and wrongfully dismissed by the University of Bristol. I am also very proud that we have managed to establish that anti-Zionist views qualify as a protected belief under the UK Equality Act," he said.

"The University of Bristol maintained that I was sacked because Zionist students were offended by my various remarks, but it was plain from the evidence of its own witnesses that this was untrue, and it was the anti-Zionist nature of my comments which was the decisive factor," he added.

'Disappointed' and 'Dangerous'

The judgment was criticised by the Union of Jewish Students, a national body representing university Jewish societies and Jewish students. It noted that despite finding in favour of

Miller, the tribunal found he had contributed to his own dismissal and was "culpable and blameworthy".

"UJS believes this may set a dangerous precedent about what can be lawfully said on campus about Jewish students and the societies at the centre of their social life. This will ultimately make Jewish students less safe."

In a statement, the University of Bristol said it was disappointed by the judgment and was reviewing the tribunal's findings carefully.

It said: "After a full investigation and careful deliberation, the University concluded that Dr Miller did not meet the standards of behaviour we expect from our staff in relation to comments he made in February 2021 about students and student societies linked to the University. As a result and considering our responsibilities to our students and the wider University community, his employment was terminated.

"We recognise that these matters have caused deep concern for many, and that members of our community hold very different views from one another.

"We would, therefore, encourage everyone to respond in a responsible and sensitive way in the current climate.

"The University of Bristol remains committed to fostering a positive working and learning environment that enriches lives and where the essential principles of academic freedom are preserved."

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image: David Miller's sacking was based on his opinion that Zionism is 'inherently racist' (Screengrab/YouTube)

The original source of this article is <u>Middle East Eye</u> Copyright © <u>Middle East Eye</u>, <u>Middle East Eye</u>, 2024

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Middle East Eye

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in

print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca