

# UK Inexplicable Desire to Start WW3

By [Drago Bosnic](#)

Global Research, May 15, 2024

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Military](#), [Military and WMD](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

## [Global Research Wants to Hear From You!](#)

\*\*\*

*The history of Russophobia goes back centuries in many Western countries. The perpetual fear and hatred for the Eurasian giant pushed Europe into a bloodbath several times in the last two centuries, resulting in dozens of millions of dead and the "old continent" virtually razed to the ground. Unfortunately, the political West learned nothing, despite the fact that its attempts to destroy Russia failed every single time. And yet, Moscow still tried to establish (and maintain) good relations after centuries of such futile attacks. Despite this (or perhaps because of it), there's still [no shortage of Russophobia in the political West](#), whether it's the previously latent one or [the much more blatant hatred unashamedly demonstrated in recent years](#). In most countries dominated by the United States this has become the "new normal" ever since the special military operation (SMO) started.*

However, of all Washington DC's allies, vassals and satellite states, there's one that makes even the endemically Russophobic countries such as Poland or the Baltic states seem somewhat "moderate" - the UK. Namely, London's pathological Russophobia is quite difficult to explain with the rather simplified logic of ["thalassocracy vs. tellurocracy" competition](#). There has to be something more to it. Because the UK is quite literally jeopardizing its own existence by escalating its [mindless hatred for Moscow](#). The Kremlin is certainly aware of this, which is why it has been [considering the option of cutting even the most basic diplomatic ties](#) with Downing Street. And who could possibly blame Russia given the fact that the UK is doing everything in its power to destroy whatever's left of their relationship? London has simply [crossed all red lines](#).

However, things are getting worse, as nothing seems to be enough for the British warmongering leadership. After [Boris Johnson made sure that the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict continues](#), resulting in [over half a million Ukrainian deaths](#), the UK kept sending not only ever more advanced and longer-range weapons to the Kiev regime, but

even the pointless depleted uranium munitions that [British tanks didn't even get the chance to use](#) (partly because [London insisted on keeping these tanks away from the frontlines](#)). Still, to make things worse, back in May last year, [the mainstream propaganda machine reported](#) that British special forces, specifically SAS (Special Air Service), SRR (Special Reconnaissance Regiment) and SBS (Special Boat Service), are directly involved in the fighting. And yet, by February this year, it turned out that this was merely the tip of the iceberg.

Namely, at the time, the Times essentially praised the British military's contribution to the destruction of Russian naval assets. [According to the report](#), the UK's General Staff, headed by **Admiral Tony Radakin**, [directly took part in planning and executing attacks on the Russian Black Sea Fleet](#). Radakin also seems to have been involved in other covert operations in Ukraine, all [aimed at diminishing Russian capabilities](#). Worse yet, it seems that other NATO assets have also been involved, presumably various ISR (intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance) platforms, meaning that London certainly wasn't alone in this "noble endeavor". In other words, this isn't a simple arming of the Neo-Nazi junta forces, but a direct participation in hostilities. For all intents and purposes, it's tantamount to a declaration of war. And yet, once again, this certainly isn't where things end.

Namely, the Head of the US SOCOM, **General Bryan Fenton**, revealed details about the deeper involvement of British special forces in Ukraine. According to Fenton, the Pentagon has been "learning about the ongoing war mostly through the eyes of our UK special operations partners who had been testing new approaches to modern warfare in the theater". Among other things, he noted that these units were "observing and advising on the use of drones" and "the way a ship in the Black Sea navigates". [According to military sources](#), their tasks are to track the movement of Russian troops and provide accurate targeting data, as well as effectively guide NATO long-range weapons. [This was also confirmed by German Chancellor Olaf Scholz](#) who recently admitted that British and French soldiers have been directly helping the Kiev regime forces fire long-range missiles at Russian targets.

And yet, while [even France seems to be backing off](#) after its ambassador was relayed some very clear messages about the possible consequences, the UK is refusing to deescalate. [Its Defense Secretary Grant Shapps confirmed](#) that London has backed the Neo-Nazi junta's use of [British-supplied long-range weapons](#) to attack Moscow's forces, including those in Crimea. For some [inexplicable reason](#), the UK believes that Russia will leave such unashamedly hostile actions unanswered.

Responsibility and patience may be the bedrock of Russian foreign policy, but that [certainly doesn't mean that the Eurasian giant will follow these principles](#) even when it becomes ultimately self-defeating to do so. [When Paris decided to escalate its involvement](#), including nuclear threats, [the Kremlin promptly explained how many minutes such a conflict would last](#).

Now, France is nearly 2.5 times bigger than the UK, meaning that [it would be far easier for Russia to simply wipe it off the map](#). And Moscow certainly has a plethora of ways to achieve this. [A single Russian IRBM \(intermediate-range ballistic missile\)](#) could easily destroy up to half a dozen major cities in the UK, which amounts to most of its urban areas. Instead of focusing on [its rapidly growing domestic issues](#), including the fact that [its military is falling apart](#) (even [jeopardizing its strategic capabilities](#)), London keeps [fantasizing about](#)

[defeating Russia](#), a global military superpower that [not even the US can match in many respects](#). Although the Kremlin is still trying its best not to [push the world into the abyss](#) by reciprocating [NATO aggression](#), it may soon be left with no other choice. It's yet to be seen how Moscow will react, but the UK will pay the price of its belligerence.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*This article was originally published on [InfoBrics](#).*

***Drago Bosnic*** is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

*Featured image is from InfoBrics*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Drago Bosnic](#), Global Research, 2024

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Drago Bosnic](#)**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)