

# U.S. Democratic Party Is Hardening Over Clinton/Sanders Split

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, May 25, 2016

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Poverty & Social Inequality](#)

In-depth Report: [U.S. Elections](#)

*One of the best-ever news reports I've seen on the Democratic Party Presidential contest was Tierney McAfee's "[BernieOrBust: Why 20 Percent of Sanders Supporters Say They Would Vote for Donald Trump over Hillary Clinton](#)" at [people.com](#), the website of People magazine — hardly a place where one would normally expect to find such crackerjack well-researched reporting on politics.*

That article, on May 23rd, summarized several recent polls to estimate the percentage of Sanders supporters who would vote for Trump over Clinton if the election were held today; and the gist of it is actually even more negative for Clinton's chances than just "20 Percent of Sanders Supporters Say They Would Vote for Donald Trump over Hillary Clinton"; that was only the most favorable finding for Clinton; by contrast, the [NBC/WSJ poll released on May 23rd](#) found "just 66 percent of Democratic primary voters preferring Sanders support Clinton in a matchup against Trump (compared with 88 percent of Clinton primary voters who favor Sanders in a hypothetical general-election contest)."

What all of the evidence taken together (and so well-summarized there by McAfee) shows is that both Clinton and Trump are disliked by the American electorate but Sanders is liked by the electorate and is therefore far easier for Clinton's supporters to vote for on Election Day than Clinton is easy for Sanders's supporters to vote for on Election Day.

Furthermore, an article at Huffington Post, on the same day, May 23rd, by the team of Howard Fineman, Jason Linkins, and Lauren Weber, adds yet further depth to this picture of a substantial percentage of the Sanders electorate who really detest Clinton: the HuffPo team headlined "[Here's What Bernie Sanders Should Ask For At The Convention](#)", and listed ten recommendations for Sanders, all of which assumed that Sanders's voters trust what Clinton says, and that they trust the symbolic actions she takes during her campaign, such as to "GIVE BERNIE A SERIOUS ROLE IN THE 'VEEPSTAKES'," and "PRIMETIME SPEECH SLOT," and "PUT SANDERS IN THE PARTY PLATFORM," and "WALL STREET PROMISE PART TWO," and other things which, to the extent Hillary is promising something, just won't be trusted by Sanders's voters; and, to the extent Sanders would be agreeing to them (such as to run on the same ticket as she) would turn off Sanders's voters so hard and so fast that his high net favorable rating would collapse — he'd suddenly become viewed as having been just a fake during his prior campaign.

Nearly all of the hundreds of reader-comments to that piece are from die-hard Clinton voters who say such anti-Sanders things as this one did:

While Sanders should get some concessions, giving him too much would be an affirmation that his negative behavior enabled him to get his way. Giving him a prime time speech may be a mistake considering he hasn't been able to be positive at all about the DNC. It IS the Democratic convention after all and a lot of Dems are really mad at Bernie for the disruption and negativism that has done nothing but help Trump.

The Sanders supporters who were commenting there were more like this:

The downticket Dem \$ that Hillary is supposedly raising is going directly back to DNC, being passed on to Hillary. Kentucky recent FEC filings and others show that. . .get informed and research!

In other words: most of the comments were pro-Clinton and anti-Sanders, and many of the rest were pro-Sanders and anti-Clinton. How likely is it that the individuals who posted those two representative comments, just cited, will be anything but *enraged* at being on the same team — especially the Sanders supporters? How many of them (*especially* of the Sanders voters) will even want to be on *the same team*? Very few. And, as far as whether they'll even *vote* for Clinton: the mutual hostility is likelier to be building each and every day of the general-election campaign. The disgust that's felt toward Clinton by many of Sanders's supporters would only increase, *not* decrease. This isn't really a Party that's coming together; it's one that increasingly will be splitting apart.

Such real hostility can't be eliminated by any of the tactics that the Huffington Post team were recommending.

Clinton and Sanders represent very different value-systems: *pro*-aristocracy (respecting Wall Street's mega-bankers and the corporate executives they represent) on Clinton's side, and *anti*-aristocracy (respecting Main Street and detesting Wall Street) on Sanders's. Whereas Clinton is trickle-down Democratic Party, Sanders is the old percolate-up FDR Democratic Party — something that Bill Clinton with his NAFTA and with his ending FDR's Glass-Steagall and other Wall Street regulations has ended, and that Hillary has worked even more feverishly than her husband *to* end. Plus, Clinton ended AFDC and sent millions more children into even deeper poverty. Hillary is very strongly trickle-down — even more so than her husband; Sanders is equally strongly *percolate-up*.

Bill Clinton built the new, Republican, Democratic Party, and Bernie Sanders had tried to restore it to its former FDR Democratic Party. (Meanwhile, of course, the Republican Party has to veer even farther toward the right, in order for its candidates to be able to win their *own* primaries.) That's a basic difference of values, and when Sanders recognizes and publicly acknowledges that the Clinton-Obama, or Republican, Democratic Party needs to be replaced, he'll quit it, and all that will remain of it will be the former shell of it, filled with the billion-dollar-plus mega-donations from its beneficiaries, and with the liberal suckers who still buy into it. (The only way to prevent that from happening would be an indictment of Hillary on the emails matter, in which case Sanders would simply be handed the 'Democratic' nomination by the super-delegates, whose primary concern is to protect the Party from electoral catastrophe.)

For Sanders to become the leader of a new anti-Republican-Party, anti-Democratic-Party, pro-authentic-democracy political movement, in the United States will be easy, but leading it against the deep and pervasive corruption of today's American government will be

extremely hard, for *anyone* to do. But he just might have to try.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), Global Research, 2016

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)