

# U.S. Airstrikes Are Wiping Out Entire Families in Yemen and Syria

By [Counter Current News](#)

Global Research, August 31, 2017

[Counter Current News](#) 30 August 2017

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Crimes against Humanity](#),  
[Terrorism](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

*The United States is killing entire families in Raqqa, Syria, and enabling Saudi Arabia to do the same in Yemen.*

*In June of this year, the U.S. led a campaign to retake the city of Raqqa from ISIS fighters while the Russian and Syrian militaries were also [attempting](#) to do the same thing. In the first week of fighting, U.N. war crimes investigators [warned](#) that the U.S. had already killed 300 civilians from air strikes alone in that seven day period.*

Rather than heed that warning, the U.S. has continued the same strategy of pounding Raqqa into the ground despite the likelihood of civilian casualties. Pentagon chief **James “Mad Dog” Mattis** [has dismissed](#) this horror as a mere “fact of life” — a very easy decision to make when the fighting doesn’t concern one’s own relatives. Not to mention that **Donald Trump** [relaxed](#) the rules surrounding air strikes earlier this year, meaning military generals on the ground (including [Iraqi forces](#), for example) can call in airstrikes from the ground with zero oversight.

The result, the *Intercept* [reports](#), is that entire families are being massacred by U.S.-led air strikes.

“We have seen incidents in which entire families have been wiped out. The scale of things is increasing significantly,” said **Alex Hopkins**, a researcher at AirWars.

According to Hopkins, there has been a “worrying increase in the rate of mass casualty incidents” in recent weeks, and disproportionate numbers of children are being reported killed in U.S.-led air strikes.

As the *Intercept* noted, Raqqa is home to very few die-hard ISIS supporters who are fighting to the death to defend its de-facto capital, whereas an [estimated](#) 160,000 civilians remain trapped in the crossfire of the city’s fighting.

The *Intercept* also spoke to witnesses and activists by phone who explained incidents of widespread civilian deaths at the hands of U.S. air strikes. These activists oppose ISIS and are based in Raqqa, according to the *Intercept*, and their identities remain confidential.

“The planes hit the street where he [father] was walking to go home. There

were no ISIS members or headquarters in that street, my father was only 50 meters from home. I wished that he had hurried home that night, but he was too old and it took him time,” one activist said of his father’s death in June.

Drawing on research from Amnesty International and *Airwars*, the *Intercept* describes many other instances in which entire families were wiped out:

“For instance, a coalition airstrike in Raqqa City on August 14 killed a mother, Nahla Hamoud Al-Aran al-Shehab, and **her three children**, Marwa, Ahmed, and Mariam. Three days later, another strike killed **30 members** of the al-Sayer family, including several children. On August 21, yet another attack killed **eight members** of the Al-Aliwi family, internally displaced refugees who had previously fled from fighting in their home city of Palmyra.

“Amnesty International researchers also visited a farmhouse in the Hukumya-Salhiya area northwest of Raqqa, where **14 people were reportedly killed in a coalition attack before the campaign to take the city officially began**. Amnesty found fragments of GPS-guided American munitions, and judged that ‘from the pattern of destruction there seems little doubt that the house was destroyed by air strikes.’” [emphasis added]

In its most recent [report](#), Amnesty International also explains a scenario in which one Syrian lost her mother, sisters, nephews and nieces “for no reason at all.”

“The planes were circling all night, and we could not even approach the house to get the two injured children out from under the rubble until the following day,” said another member of the family who witnessed the attack. “The bodies were in shreds. We recovered body parts hundreds of meters away.”

Up until the beginning of June, the U.S. only [had two personnel](#) investigating casualties in Iraq and Syria full-time. As the *Intercept* notes, the U.S. military rarely conducts interviews with survivors and regularly discounts the majority of reports on civilian deaths as “non-credible.” This makes it incredibly difficult to verify the exact number of civilian deaths, but it also demonstrates America’s shockingly non-existent commitment to international law. The U.S. military may boast that it takes the utmost care to protect civilians, but are we just supposed to take their word for it?

*Featured image is from FAIR.*

The original source of this article is [Counter Current News](#)  
Copyright © [Counter Current News](#), [Counter Current News](#), 2017

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Counter Current News](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)