

The Twilight of Monarchy: Obsolete Relics of the 21st Century

By <u>Prof. Ruel F. Pepa</u> Global Research, January 08, 2025

Introduction

In an age defined by rapid technological advancement, evolving democracies, and the pressing need for equitable governance, monarchies seem increasingly anachronistic. Yet, despite their diminishing relevance in political terms, many 21st-century monarchies persist in enjoying unwarranted public attention, financial support, and cultural veneration. This article delves into why these institutions have become obsolete in the modern world, the disproportionate benefits they still receive, and the cultural inertia that sustains them.

Monarchies, once central to the governance and cultural identity of nations, now appear out of place in a world that champions democracy, equality, and innovation. The authority of monarchs, historically absolute, has been reduced to ceremonial roles in most countries. While some monarchies have adapted by becoming constitutional monarchies, where the monarch's powers are limited by law and parliamentary systems, the fundamental question remains: **Is there still a place for these hereditary institutions in modern governance?**

Technological advancements have revolutionized communication and information dissemination, creating a more informed and empowered public. In this context, the concept of monarchy seems out of touch with the realities of a world where citizens demand transparency, accountability, and equal opportunity. The hereditary nature of monarchies contradicts the democratic principles of meritocracy and egalitarianism.

Despite their diminishing political relevance, monarchies continue to receive substantial financial support from the state. This funding is often justified by the revenue generated through tourism and the symbolic value of the monarchy.

However, the true cost to taxpayers is often obscured, and the benefits are unevenly distributed.

Monarchies also benefit from extensive public attention and media coverage. This fascination with royal families, often fueled by tabloid journalism and popular culture, sustains their relevance in the public eye. The lavish lifestyles and opulent events associated with royalty can seem out of step with the economic challenges faced by ordinary citizens, raising questions about the fairness and necessity of such expenditures.

Cultural inertia plays a significant role in the persistence of monarchies. Traditions and historical continuity hold a powerful sway over public consciousness.

Monarchies often embody national identity and heritage, serving as symbols of stability and continuity in a rapidly changing world. This cultural attachment can make it challenging to

Theme: History

critically evaluate the role and relevance of monarchies.

Moreover, the pomp and pageantry associated with royal events can evoke a sense of nostalgia and national pride. These spectacles often reinforce the monarchy's cultural significance, making it difficult for societies to envision a future without these institutions. The intertwined nature of national identity and monarchy creates a complex dynamic that sustains these institutions despite their practical obsolescence.

While monarchies may seem increasingly out of place in the modern world, their continued existence is supported by a combination of financial, cultural, and historical factors. The challenge lies in balancing respect for tradition with the demands of a contemporary democratic society. As we move forward, it is essential to critically examine the role of monarchies and consider whether they align with the values and priorities of the 21st century.

The Case for Obsolescence

Modern monarchies, largely stripped of executive power, often function as constitutional figureheads or ceremonial institutions. While their apologists argue they provide stability and continuity, critics highlight several compelling points that question their continued relevance and necessity in contemporary society:

1. Lack of Practical Utility

In countries like the United Kingdom, Sweden, Thailand, Japan, Spain among others, monarchs wield little to no real political power. The roles they perform—such as ribboncutting at public events, hosting state banquets, and engaging in diplomatic representation—could easily be fulfilled by elected officials without the associated baggage of hereditary privilege. The argument for their utility in providing a sense of national unity and historical continuity falls short when weighed against the democratic principle that positions of influence should be earned based on merit rather than inherited. In a modern context where executive decisions are made by elected bodies, the ceremonial presence of a monarch often appears redundant and anachronistic.

2. Public Financial Burden

Royal families often live lavishly, supported by public funds. The British monarchy, for instance, receives millions annually through mechanisms like the Sovereign Grant, which is funded by taxpayers. Such expenditures are difficult to justify in nations where social inequality and economic hardship persist. The opulent lifestyles and grandiose events associated with royalty stand in stark contrast to the financial struggles faced by ordinary citizens. Critics argue that public money could be better spent on essential services such as healthcare, education, and infrastructure, rather than on maintaining a privileged lifestyle for a few. The transparency and accountability demanded in public finances also raise questions about the extent and justification of royal expenditures, highlighting a potential misuse of taxpayer money.

3. Cultural Contradictions

The existence of royalty perpetuates a narrative of inherited privilege that contrasts sharply with the ideals of equality and meritocracy that modern societies claim to uphold. While many nations pride themselves on being progressive and egalitarian, the continued veneration of royal families underscores a societal paradox. The cultural fascination with monarchies, often fueled by media coverage and public ceremonies, can hinder societal progress toward a truly egalitarian ethos. The reverence for royal traditions and the associated symbols of power and prestige can perpetuate social hierarchies and inequalities, undermining efforts to promote equal opportunities for all citizens. This cultural inertia, where the appeal of tradition overshadows the push for modern values, poses a significant challenge to the rational critique of monarchy as an outdated institution.

While proponents of monarchies argue for their symbolic value and historical significance, the practical utility, financial burden, and cultural contradictions they represent are increasingly at odds with contemporary democratic values. The debate over their obsolescence is not merely about tradition versus progress, but about aligning societal structures with the principles of fairness, equality, and meritocracy that modern democracies aspire to achieve. Moving forward, it is crucial for societies to critically assess the role of monarchies and consider whether their preservation is justified in light of the pressing needs and values of the 21st century.

Enduring Limelight and Unjustified Support

Monarchies continue to dominate media cycles, a phenomenon driven partly by public fascination with tradition, glamour, and scandal. High-profile royal events—such as weddings, coronations, jubilees, and other celebrations—are treated as national spectacles, drawing massive global audiences and generating extensive media coverage. These events, steeped in historical pageantry and symbolic significance, captivate the public's imagination and foster a sense of collective identity and nostalgia.

However, this enduring limelight often obscures deeper questions about the relevance and cost of maintaining monarchies in the 21st century. The glitz and glamour associated with royal families can overshadow critical discussions about their practical role and the financial burden they impose on taxpayers. This phenomenon is not accidental but is carefully cultivated by the media and the institutions themselves, which benefit from the positive publicity and cultural cachet that comes with widespread attention.

The media's adulation of royal families not only amplifies their influence but also shields them from meaningful scrutiny. The press often focuses on the more palatable and entertaining aspects of royal life, such as fashion, personal milestones, and public engagements, rather than probing into more controversial issues. For instance, controversies surrounding royal wealth, alleged misconduct, and the ethical implications of their privileged status are frequently downplayed or glossed over compared to the exhaustive coverage of their public appearances and charitable activities.

This media narrative creates a biased portrayal that reinforces the monarchy's image as a benign and benevolent institution, thereby perpetuating its cultural relevance and public support. The lack of critical examination allows royal families to maintain their status and privileges with minimal accountability, while the public remains largely unaware of the more contentious aspects of their existence.

The fascination with royal families and their lavish lifestyles continues to draw significant public interest and media coverage. However, it is essential to critically assess the implications of this adulation. By focusing on the spectacle and glamor, the media often

overlooks the more substantive issues of relevance, cost, and accountability. As societies progress and prioritize democratic values, transparency, and equality, it is crucial to reevaluate the role of monarchies and question whether their continued prominence is justified in the modern world.

The Argument for Republican Alternatives

The abolition of monarchy is not a radical proposal but a logical step towards democratic maturity. Nations that have transitioned to republican systems—such as Hungary, Slovakia, Serbia, Austria, among others—function without hereditary figureheads. These republics demonstrate that a nation's identity and governance can thrive without the need for a royal family. They highlight the benefits of a system where leadership is determined by merit and public mandate rather than birthright.

1. Economic Efficiency and Public Funds

One of the most compelling arguments for adopting a republican system is the potential for significant savings in public funds. Monarchies often require substantial financial support for the maintenance of royal households, state ceremonies, and the personal expenses of royal family members. In contrast, republics can allocate resources more efficiently, directing taxpayer money towards essential services such as healthcare, education, infrastructure, and social welfare. By eliminating the financial burden associated with monarchy, republican systems can invest more in the well-being of their citizens and promote a more equitable distribution of resources.

2. Fostering Equality and Meritocracy

Republican systems inherently support the principles of equality and meritocracy. In a republic, the highest offices of the state are accessible to all citizens, based on their abilities, achievements, and public support, rather than inherited status. This approach aligns with the democratic ideals of fairness and equal opportunity, encouraging a more inclusive and just society. By removing the entrenched privilege of a hereditary monarchy, a republic empowers its citizens to aspire to and attain leadership roles, thereby fostering a culture of merit and achievement.

3. Aligning National Identities with Democratic Values

The transition to a republican system allows nations to fully align their national identities with democratic values. While the public admiration for monarchy often stems from its association with national heritage, it is important to recognize that preserving historical sites and celebrating cultural traditions need not be tethered to living monarchs. A republic can honor the past and maintain cultural continuity by preserving royal palaces, artifacts, and traditions as part of the national heritage, without perpetuating undemocratic institutions.

Museums, historical societies, and cultural organizations can play a vital role in safeguarding and interpreting the historical significance of former monarchies. National celebrations and public events can continue to draw on historical themes and royal symbols, ensuring that the cultural legacy of a nation's past remains vibrant and meaningful, even in the absence of a reigning monarchy.

The argument for republican alternatives is grounded in the principles of democratic maturity, economic efficiency, equality, and cultural preservation. By transitioning to a

republican system, nations can save public funds, foster a more egalitarian society, and fully embrace the values of meritocracy and democratic governance. At the same time, they can honor their historical and cultural heritage without the need for a hereditary institution. As the world continues to evolve, it is worth considering whether the persistence of monarchy is compatible with the aspirations and values of contemporary democratic societies.

Conclusion

In the 21st century, the case for monarchy has eroded significantly. The roles that monarchs play are largely symbolic, serving more as figureheads than as active participants in governance. The practical utility of monarchies is minimal, with their duties often limited to ceremonial functions that could be performed by elected officials. The continuation of these roles is increasingly difficult to justify in modern societies that prioritize democratic values and meritocracy.

1. Symbolic Roles and Democratic Contradictions

Monarchies are often defended on the grounds that they provide a sense of stability and continuity. However, these roles are symbolic at best and do not contribute to the practical governance of a nation. In a world where the principles of democracy, equality, and accountability are paramount, the existence of hereditary rulers who hold positions of privilege by birthright contradicts the very essence of democratic ideals. The notion that leadership and influence can be inherited runs counter to the meritocratic values that modern societies strive to uphold.

2. Unjustified Costs and Public Financial Burden

The financial cost of maintaining royal families is another significant point of contention. Monarchies often require substantial public funding to support their lavish lifestyles, state functions, and personal expenses. These costs are borne by taxpayers, many of whom face economic hardships and social inequality. The use of public funds to sustain a privileged few is increasingly seen as an unjust allocation of resources. In times of economic strain, such expenditures become even more contentious, prompting calls for greater transparency and accountability in royal finances.

3. The Spectacle of Monarchy

Monarchies have become more of a spectacle than a necessity. High-profile royal events, such as weddings, coronations, and jubilees, draw global attention and are often portrayed as national celebrations. While these events may foster a sense of national pride and unity, they also divert attention from more pressing issues facing society. The media's fascination with royalty perpetuates their relevance, but this adulation often masks deeper questions about their actual value and contribution to the nation.

4. Reevaluating the Role of Monarchies

The time is ripe for nations to reconsider the utility and morality of supporting royal families with public funds. As societies evolve and strive for greater equity and democratic integrity, it is essential to critically assess whether the continuation of monarchy aligns with these goals. Moving towards a more equitable future involves embracing systems that promote fairness, transparency, and accountability.

While monarchies may hold historical and cultural significance, their roles in contemporary society are increasingly at odds with democratic ideals. The financial burden they impose and the symbolic nature of their duties raise important questions about their relevance in the modern world. It is imperative for nations to reflect on these issues and consider whether the persistence of monarchy is justified in a society that values equality and democratic governance. By reevaluating the role of monarchies, nations can work towards a more equitable and democratic future that truly reflects the principles they aspire to uphold.

*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>X</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost Global Research articles with proper attribution.

Prof. Ruel F. Pepa is a Filipino philosopher based in Madrid, Spain. A retired academic (Associate Professor IV), he taught Philosophy and Social Sciences for more than fifteen years at Trinity University of Asia, an Anglican university in the Philippines. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is licensed under Wikimedia Commons

Global Research is a reader-funded media. We do not accept any funding from corporations or governments. Help us stay afloat. Click the image below to make a one-time or recurring donation.



The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Prof. Ruel F. Pepa, Global Research, 2025

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Prof. Ruel F. Pepa

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants

permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <u>publications@globalresearch.ca</u>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca