

Turkey's Financial Crisis Raises Questions About China's Debt-driven Development Model

By [James M. Dorsey](#)

Global Research, August 19, 2018

Region: [Asia](#), [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#)

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above

[Financial injections by Qatar](#) and possibly China may resolve Turkey's immediate economic crisis, aggravated by a politics-driven trade war with the United States, but are unlikely to resolve the country's structural problems, fuelled by President Recep Tayyip [Erdogan's counterintuitive interest rate theories](#).

The latest crisis in Turkey's boom-bust economy raises questions about a development model in which countries like China and Turkey witness moves towards populist rule of one man who encourages massive borrowing to drive economic growth.

It's a model minus the one-man rule that could be repeated in Pakistan as newly sworn-in prime minister Imran Khan, confronted with a financial crisis, decides whether to turn to the International Monetary Fund (IMF) or rely on China and Saudi Arabia for relief.

Pakistan, like Turkey, has over the years frequently knocked on the IMF's doors, failing to have turned crisis into an opportunity for sustained restructuring and reform of the economy. [Pakistan could in the next weeks be turning to the IMF for the 13th time](#), Turkey, another serial returnee, has been there [18 times](#).

In Turkey and China, the debt-driven approach sparked remarkable economic growth with living standards being significantly boosted and huge numbers of people being lifted out of poverty. Yet, both countries with Turkey more exposed, given its greater vulnerability to the swings and sensitivities of international financial markets, are witnessing the limitations of the approach.

So are, countries along China's Belt and Road, including Pakistan, that leaped head over shoulder into the funding opportunities made available to them and now see themselves locked into debt traps that in the case of Sri Lanka and Djibouti have forced them to effectively turn over to China control of critical national infrastructure or like Laos that have become almost wholly dependent on China because it owns the bulk of their unsustainable debt.

The fact that [China may be more prepared to deal with the downside of debt-driven development](#) does little to make its model sustainable or for that matter one that other countries would want to emulate unabridged and has sent some like Malaysia and Myanmar scrambling to resolve or avert an economic crisis.

Malaysian Prime Minister [Mahathir Mohamad is in China after suspending US\\$20 billion](#)

[worth of Beijing-linked infrastructure contracts](#), including a high-speed rail line to Singapore, concluded by his predecessor, Najib Razak, who is fighting corruption charges.

Mr. Mahathir won elections in May on a campaign that asserted that Mr. Razak had ceded sovereignty to China by agreeing to Chinese investments that failed to benefit the country and threaten to drown it in debt.

Myanmar is negotiating [a significant scaling back of a Chinese-funded port project](#) on the Bay of Bengal from one that would cost US\$ 7.3 billion to a more modest development that would cost US\$1.3 billion in a bid to avoid shouldering an unsustainable debt.

Debt-driven growth could also prove to be a double-edged sword for China itself even if it is far less dependent than others on imports, does not run a chronic trade deficit, and doesn't have to borrow heavily in dollars.

With more than half the increase in global debt over the past decade having been issued as domestic loans in China, [China's risk](#), said Ruchir Sharma, Morgan Stanley's Chief Global Strategist and head of Emerging Markets Equity, is capital fleeing to benefit from higher interest rates abroad.

"Right now Chinese can earn the same interest rates in the United States for a lot less risk, so the motivation to flee is high, and will grow more intense as the Fed raises rates further," Mr. Sharma said referring to the US Federal Reserve.

Mr. Erdogan has charged that the United States abetted by traitors and foreigners are waging [economic warfare against Turkey, using a strong dollar as "the bullets, cannonballs and missiles."](#)

Rejecting economic theory and wisdom, Mr. Erdogan has sought for years to fight an alleged 'interest rate lobby' that includes an ever-expanding number of financiers and foreign powers seeking to drive Turkish interest rates artificially high to damage the economy by insisting that low interest rates and borrowing costs would contain price hikes.

In doing so, he is harking back to an approach that was [popular in Latin America in the 1960s and 1970s](#) that may not be wholly wrong but similarly may also not be universally applicable.

The European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) warned late last year that Turkey's "gross external financing needs to cover the current account deficit and external debt repayments due within a year are estimated at around 25 per cent of GDP in 2017, leaving the country exposed to global liquidity conditions."

With two international credit rating agencies [reducing Turkish debt to junk status](#) in the wake of Turkey's economically fought disputes with the United States, the government risks its access to foreign credits being curtailed, which could force it to extract more money from ordinary Turks through increased taxes. That in turn would raise the spectre of recession.

"Turkey's troubles are homegrown, and the [economic war against it is a figment of Mr. Erdogan's conspiratorial imagination](#). But he does have a point about the impact of a surging dollar, which has a long history of inflicting

damage on developing nations," Mr. Sharma said.

Nevertheless, as The Wall Street Journal concluded, the vulnerability of Turkey's debt-driven growth was such that [it only took two tweets by US President Donald J. Trump](#) announcing sanctions against two Turkish ministers and the doubling of some tariffs to accelerate the Turkish lira's tailspin.

Mr. Erdogan may not immediately draw the same conclusion, but it is certainly one that is likely to serve as a cautionary note for countries that see debt, whether domestic or associated with China's infrastructure-driven Belt and Road initiative, as a main driver of growth.

*

This article was originally published on the author's blog site: [The Turbulent World of Middle East Soccer](#).

Dr. James M. Dorsey is a senior fellow at the S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies, co-director of the University of Würzburg's Institute for Fan Culture, and co-host of the [New Books in Middle Eastern Studies](#) podcast. James is the author of [The Turbulent World of Middle East Soccer](#) blog, a [book](#) with the same title and a co-authored volume, [Comparative Political Transitions between Southeast Asia and the Middle East and North Africa](#) as well as [Shifting Sands, Essays on Sports and Politics in the Middle East and North Africa](#) and just published [China and the Middle East: Venturing into the Maelstrom](#)

Featured image is from [Daily Reckoning Australia](#).

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [James M. Dorsey](#), Global Research, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [James M. Dorsey](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca