

Trump Violates the Iran Nuclear Deal — Ignoring U.S. and Israeli Generals Who Support It

By <u>Mehdi Hasan</u>

Global Research, May 10, 2018

The Intercept 9 May 2018

Region: Middle East & North Africa

Theme: Law and Justice, US NATO War

<u>Agenda</u>

In-depth Report: IRAN: THE NEXT WAR?,

Nuclear War

So he's finally done it. Having spent the past three years denouncing the Iran nuclear deal as "horrible," "disastrous," and "insane," **Donald Trump** arrived in the Diplomatic Room of the White House on Tuesday afternoon to formally announce that "the United States will withdraw from the Iran nuclear deal" and would "begin reinstituting U.S. nuclear sanctions on the Iranian regime."

"This will make America much safer," the president declaimed, jabbing his fingers at the assembled reporters.

Guess who's celebrating the president's decision to violate a nuclear nonproliferation agreement signed by the United States less than three years ago? His new national security adviser, **John Bolton**, a <u>former paid speaker</u> for an <u>Iranian ex-terror group</u> who has long been obsessed with "<u>regime change</u>" in Tehran; the crown prince — and de facto ruler — of Saudi Arabia, Mohammed bin Salman, who <u>claims</u> Iran's supreme leader "makes Hitler look good"; and the prime minister of Israel, **Benjamin Netanyahu**, who <u>constantly compares</u> the Islamic Republic to the so-called Islamic State.

Don't be fooled: This disastrous and unilateral decision by Trump won't improve U.S. security. Or Israeli security, for that matter. Even card-carrying hawks who hate the Islamic Republic think Trump is mad to pull out of the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action, or JCPOA, as the nuclear deal is officially known.

Because guess who won't be celebrating? The entire U.S. military establishment: **Defense Secretary James Mattis**, who <u>says</u> he has read the text of the nuclear agreement three times and considers it to be "pretty robust"; Chair of the Joint Chiefs of Staff **Gen. Joseph Dunford**, who <u>says</u>, "Iran is adhering to its JCPOA obligations" and a U.S. decision to quit the deal "would have an impact on others' willingness to sign agreements"; the head of U.S. Strategic Command, **Gen. John Hyten**, who <u>says</u>, "Iran is in compliance with JCPOA" and <u>argues</u> "it's our job to live up to the terms of that agreement"; and the head of U.S. Central Command, **Gen. Joseph Votel**, who <u>says</u> the nuclear deal is "in our interest" because it "addresses one of the principle threats that we deal with from Iran."

Those are just the generals who are still in uniform. In March, a <u>statement</u> signed by 100 U.S. national security veterans from across the political spectrum said the nuclear

agreement "enhances U.S. and regional security" and "ditching it would serve no national security purpose." Fifty of the 100 signatories were retired U.S. military officers, including leading Republicans such as retired **Gen. Brent Scowcroft**, who served as national security adviser to **George H.W. Bush**, and retired **Gen. Michael Hayden**, who served as director of both the NSA and the CIA under **George W. Bush**.

Then there's retired **Gen. Colin Powell**, national security adviser to **Ronald Reagan** and secretary of state under George W. Bush, who <u>has called</u> the JCPOA "a pretty good deal." And Trump's own former national security adviser, <u>soon-to-be-retired</u> **Gen. H.R. McMaster**, who was "working closely with two key senators to prevent Trump from destroying the Iran <u>deal</u>" prior to being fired and replaced with Bolton in March.

Guess who else isn't celebrating? The *Israeli* security establishment. Netanyahu may <u>claim</u> to possess thousands of "secret nuclear files" that show the JCPOA was "built on lies," but Israel's generals and spymasters disagree, including: the chief of staff of the Israel Defense Forces, **Gen. Gadi Eisenkot,** who <u>says</u> the deal "with all its faults is working"; the chair of the Israeli Space Agency and award-winning military scientist, **Isaac Ben-Israel**, who <u>says</u> "the agreement is not bad at all, it's even good for Israel" because "it averts an atom bomb for 15 years"; the former director of the spy agency Mossad, **Efraim Halevy**, who <u>says</u> the JCPOA provides a "credible answer to the Iranian military threat, at least for a decade, if not longer"; the former chief of domestic security agency Shin Bet, **Carmi Gillon**, who <u>says</u> the nuclear agreement has helped "make the region, and the world, a safer place"; the former head of Israeli military intelligence, **Amos Yadlin**, who <u>says</u> "tearing up the deal would create a dangerous void"; and former Israeli prime minister — and the country's most decorated soldier — **Ehud Barak**, who <u>says</u> withdrawing from the deal would be a "mistake."

So let's be clear: On the one side, we have a dizzying array of serving and retired generals and spy chiefs from both the United States and Israel, none of whom are friends or fans of Iran, yet all of whom agree that the Islamic Republic is complying with the stringent terms of the JCPOA, and that the United States should stay in the deal because it bolsters U.S., regional, and global security.

And on the other side? A former <u>property developer</u> and <u>reality TV star</u>; a <u>chicken hawk</u> who wants to <u>bomb everyone</u>; a <u>32-year-old Gulf prince</u> who <u>can't win a war</u> against rebels from the <u>poorest Arab country</u>; and an <u>allegedly corrupt politician</u> who has been claiming Iran is "<u>three to five years</u>" away from a nuclear weapons capability since ... 1992.

This isn't about security or protecting American — or Israeli — cities from Iranian missiles. Trump & Co. aren't trying to avoid war with Iran. They *want* war with Iran.



The Globalization of War: America's "Long War" against Humanity

Michel Chossudovsky

The "globalization of war" is a hegemonic project. Major military and covert intelligence operations are being undertaken simultaneously in the Middle East, Eastern Europe, sub-Saharan Africa, Central Asia and the Far East. The U.S. military agenda combines both major

theater operations as well as covert actions geared towards destabilizing sovereign states.

ISBN Number: 978-0-9737147-6-0

Year: 2015

Pages: 240 Pages

List Price: \$22.95

Special Price: \$15.00

Click here to order.

The original source of this article is <u>The Intercept</u> Copyright © <u>Mehdi Hasan</u>, <u>The Intercept</u>, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Mehdi Hasan

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca