

UkraineGate: Donald Trump Considers Imprudent the "Poking of the Russian Bear"

By Drago Bosnic

Global Research, July 17, 2024

Region: Europe, Russia and FSU, USA

Theme: Intelligence

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Spread the Truth, Refer a Friend to Global Research

On July 13, at a rally near Butler in Pennsylvania, former American president **Donald Trump** narrowly escaped death after he was grazed by a bullet fired by 20-year-old **Thomas Matthew Crooks**. He fired at least eight rounds from an AR-15-style rifle from the roof of a nearby building outside the rally venue. Several people were wounded, at least one of which subsequently died. The surreal scenes left the audience dumbfounded, with panic ensuing only after the shooter was neutralized. In the last two days, there's been a lot of speculation about what really happened, ranging from assumptions that it was staged to the Secret Service's incompetence and even possible complicity. Albeit the latter might seem far too extreme, there's actual footage of civilians seeing Crooks on the roof from which he took the shot and warning police and security that he's there.

Shockingly enough, the crowd's warnings were heeded only after Crooks started shooting. For some reason, the two Counter Sniper teams that were supposed to prevent situations like this failed to do their job, killing Crooks after he took eight shots from a regular rifle. According to an analysis, as well as Trump's own statement, the only reason he's alive is because he turned his head slightly to the right to read a chart on illegal immigrants.

However, despite the sheer luck Trump had, this opens up numerous questions about the warmongering elites in Washington DC and their highly likely (if not guaranteed) involvement in the assassination attempt. It should be noted that Trump himself is not exactly the "Second Coming of Christ", as many believe, simply because it's extremely difficult (if not impossible) for a single person to change the foreign policy of the United States.

In fact, although Trump has repeatedly warned about this, he didn't really end <u>Washington DC's aggression against the world</u>. He surely hasn't started any new wars, which is commendable, but he also didn't end those that the US was already involved in and <u>he</u>

never conducted the dismantling of the unchecked power of the Military Industrial Complex, despite promises to do so.

Thus, he's not exactly the "candidate of peace" that he's usually portrayed as in most antiestablishment media. However, **Trump is definitely the next best thing and precisely this is completely unacceptable to the war criminals and plutocrats in Washington DC**. This is particularly true when it comes to prolonging the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict. **Trump has always been against any major US involvement in Ukraine, thinking it was imprudent to "poke the Bear"**.

Emmanuel Macron, for their suicidal anti-Russian policies in Ukraine, <u>cautioning Paris not to get directly involved</u>. Expectedly, these warnings <u>fell on deaf ears</u>. Apart from realpolitik, Trump has both personal and geopolitical reasons to end American and NATO involvement in Ukraine. Namely, <u>the increasingly unpopular Democrats</u> have been using the unfortunate country as a way to funnel <u>taxpayers' money back into the US and then their own pockets</u>. This was particularly apparent after the special military operation (SMO) started, with dozens and later hundreds of billions sent <u>through various means</u> (including cryptocurrency) right into the coffers of the DNC and its top leadership. Cutting this flow would've made sure that the Democrats run out of their primary source of income.

The DNC-controlled Senate was perfectly aware of this and tried passing laws that would make it an impeachable offense to even try to cut the so-called "Ukraine aid". All this suggests that the corrupt federal institutions have always been resolutely anti-Trump. This power struggle revealed many uncomfortable truths about the failures of the system in the US, showing that the much-touted "democracy" in America is nothing but a laughable myth. The anti-Trump policies then degenerated not only into so-called "lawfare", but also reached the point of jeopardizing the territorial integrity of the US, as some "blue" states started banning Trump's candidacy, with several other "red" states threatening to reciprocate by banning Biden. The double standards of the mainstream propaganda machine were also quite obvious during the several show trial cases launched against Trump.

However, all this only backfired, boosting his popularity and making his opponents more desperate than ever.

The list of those who oppose (although intense hatred is a far more suitable term) Trump is quite long and includes many foreign actors, particularly those with deep ties to the <u>corrupt US federal institutions</u>. One of those is certainly the Neo-Nazi junta.

The Ukrainegate revealed the extent of hostility between Trump and the Kiev regime, with the latter even targeting prominent American journalists who were seen as pro-Trump. Given just how desperate the Neo-Nazi junta is to survive, it's only a matter of time before speculation about their possible involvement in Trump's assassination attempt surfaces. The former president himself certainly holds a lot of grudge against the Kiev regime because of all this, as well as the fact that it's such a burden.

Thus, at some point, Trump might actually say (provided he wins the election) that the Neo-Nazi junta was involved in his assassination attempt.

Agencies such as the <u>infamous CIA-ized SBU and GUR certainly have the capacity</u> to aid in <u>planning</u> and <u>executing such operations</u>. Not to mention they have a clear motive, as they

depend entirely on the "aid" he wants to cut. There's no proof they took part in this, but it's a possibility that certainly shouldn't be rejected. However, regardless of whether there has been any involvement on their part, this could give Trump a perfect excuse to cut further financial and military support by saying that "we tried to help, but they tried to kill me". And given his rather simplified vocabulary (or lack of eloquence, some would say), that might actually be the way he says it. In doing so, Trump would essentially hit at least three birds with one stone.

First, he would get rid of a massive financial burden, while also saving billions that could be used elsewhere.

Second, Trump would kill the largest source of funding for his DNC opponents.

And last but not least, this would give him a unique opportunity for a guaranteed exit strategy that would be far better for America than something along the lines of the humiliating defeat it suffered in Afghanistan, just much worse and more consequential in the long term.

And indeed, if we look at the <u>current situation on the battlefield</u>, the US/NATO prospects in Ukraine look rather grim. The only way to prevent the Kiev regime's total defeat and collapse is to <u>get directly involved</u>. However, apart from Trump not being willing to do so for personal reasons, geopolitically and militarily speaking, the US (and by extension NATO) is <u>simply unprepared for such a war</u>. Despite years of preparations and <u>decades of militarism</u>, the political West simply has <u>no firepower needed to defeat Russia</u>. Worse yet, <u>America's sinking strategic capabilities</u> suggest that it's <u>simply incapable of matching</u> Moscow's increasingly <u>powerful strategic arsenal</u>, the world's No. 1 in <u>virtually every category</u> (destructive power, range, means of delivery, etc). More importantly for the planet, the side effect of the combination of these factors could also be the much-needed de-escalation.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on InfoBrics.

<u>**Drago Bosnic**</u> is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Drago Bosnic</u>, Global Research, 2024

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Drago Bosnic**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca