

# Trump Officially Restores The Cold War

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, January 26, 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Military](#), [Military and WMD](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

*On January 20th, CBS News bannered [“Terrorism no longer the military’s top priority, Mattis says”](#) and opened: “There is a major change in U.S. military strategy. On Friday, more than 16 years after the 9/11 attacks, U.S. Defense Secretary Jim Mattis said terrorism is no longer the No. 1 priority.” The report said, “Maintaining a military advantage over China and Russia is now Defense Secretary Mattis’ top priority.”*

On January 18th, the Trump Administration had issued its crucial document about how it will implement America’s national defense from now on. This document, the [National Defense Strategy 2018](#), represents a continuation of U.S. **President Barack Obama**’s vision and intentions, but extends Obama’s hostility toward Russia, by adding Trump’s hostility toward China.

In December 2017, U.S. **President Donald Trump** had issued his [National Security Strategy 2018](#) (the NSS2018); but, in keeping with his prior commitment to [leaving to the generals the implementation](#) of his national security policy, the Pentagon has now issued this [National Defense Strategy 2018](#) (the NDS2018), which is signed only by Trump’s minister for war (Secretary of ‘Defense’), **“Jim Mattis”**; and it’s considerably more informative on what the practical meaning of NSS2018 will be. The meaning is: replacing hostility against “radical Islamic terrorism,” by hostility against Russia and China. This — building upon Obama’s imperial vision — is now Trump’s ‘Defense’ policy. Trump’s campaign talk had been against ‘radical Islamic terrorism’, but was merely bumper-sticker lying, to win votes, from an electorate that believed the differences between today’s Democratic and Republican Parties are more than bumper-sticker deep (which might once have been the case, but no longer really is).

In continuation from Obama’s [National Security Strategy 2015](#), which had accused Russia 18 times of “aggression,” Trump’s [National Defense Strategy 2018](#) (NDS2018) effectively declares at least an economic war against Russia (as if economics were also in General Mattis’s portfolio), but it goes even further to include China as being now also America’s enemy. It thus officially restores, in effect, the Cold War — the war against communism — that had existed until U.S. **President Richard Nixon’s visit to China, during 21 to 28 February 1972**. It also intensifies the war against Russia, even now, 37 years after the 1991 breakup of the Soviet Union and end of its Warsaw Pact and end of its communism, had ended the Cold War (but [only on Russia’s side, not really on America’s](#)).

Trump’s new document (through his agent Mattis) says that non-state terrorism (Al Qaeda, etc.) is no longer the biggest threat to America’s security; these two “authoritarian” nations pose the biggest threat to America, says the NDS2018. This document asserts: “It is increasingly clear that China and Russia want to shape a world consistent with their authoritarian model — gaining veto authority over other nations’ economic, diplomatic, and

security decisions.” (“Authoritarian” is now what “communist” once was — the U.S. Government’s verbal bugaboo, and America’s official excuse, for invasions and coups.) It continues:

The central challenge to U.S. prosperity and security is the reemergence of long-term, strategic competition by what the National Security Strategy classifies as revisionist powers. It is increasingly clear that China and Russia want to shape a world consistent with their authoritarian model — gaining veto authority over other nations’ economic, diplomatic, and security decisions.

China is leveraging military modernization, influence operations, and predatory economics to coerce neighboring countries to reorder the Indo-Pacific region to their advantage. As China continues its economic and military ascendance, asserting power through an all-of-nation long-term strategy, it will continue to pursue a military modernization program that seeks Indo-Pacific regional hegemony in the near-term and displacement of the United States to achieve global preeminence in the future. The most far-reaching objective of this defense strategy is to set the military relationship between our two countries on a path of transparency and non-aggression.

Concurrently, Russia seeks veto authority over nations on its periphery in terms of their governmental, economic, and diplomatic decisions, to shatter the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and change European and Middle East security and economic structures to its favor. The use of emerging technologies to discredit and subvert democratic processes in Georgia, Crimea, and eastern Ukraine is concern enough, but when coupled with its expanding and modernizing nuclear arsenal the challenge is clear.

It then says,

“Rogue regimes such as North Korea and Iran are destabilizing regions through their pursuit of nuclear weapons or sponsorship of terrorism.”

So: those four countries — China, Russia, North Korea, and Iran — are now the top targets for the U.S. military to defeat.

The NDS2018 document continues,

“Both revisionist powers and rogue regimes are competing across all dimensions of power. They have increased efforts short of armed conflict by expanding coercion to new fronts, violating principles of sovereignty, exploiting ambiguity, and deliberately blurring the lines between civil and military goals.”

Right now, the U.S. is militarily occupying, as an uninvited invading power violating the sovereignty of parts of the sovereign nation of Syria, whose internationally recognized (except by the U.S. and its vassal-states) Government is the one that had won [internationally monitored elections in 2014, and whose incumbent President Bashar al-Assad won](#), in those elections, 89% of the vote throughout the entire country. Even independent Western-sponsored polling in Syria has repeatedly shown that Assad would easily win any national election in his country, and that 82% of Syrians blame the U.S. Government (not Assad) for having brought the tens of thousands of jihadists into their country and caused the Syrian war that destroyed the nation. On [31 October 2015, U.N.](#)

[Secretary General Ban ki-Moon twice criticized](#) U.S. President Barack Obama's refusal to allow the Syrian people to determine whom their President would be. Ban said, "The future of Assad must be determined by the Syrian people," but the U.S. Government kept ignoring him on that; and U.S. President Trump's minister of war now says that the way to defeat countries that are "violating principles of sovereignty" is to continue occupying countries that never invited them in.

Under the heading "Build a More Lethal Force," the NDS2018 document says, **"The surest way to prevent war is to be prepared to win one."** To do this, it will rely on "the Joint Force" (which the document fails to define) in this way:

Prioritize preparedness for war. Achieving peace through strength requires the Joint Force to deter conflict through preparedness for war. During normal day-to-day operations, the Joint Force will sustainably compete to: deter aggression in three key regions — the Indo-Pacific, Europe, and Middle East; degrade terrorist and WMD threats; and defend U.S. interests from challenges below the level of armed conflict. In wartime, the fully mobilized Joint Force will be capable of: defeating aggression by a major power; deterring opportunistic aggression elsewhere; and disrupting imminent terrorist and WMD threats. During peace or in war, the Joint Force will deter nuclear and non-nuclear strategic attacks and defend the homeland. To support these missions, the Joint Force must gain and maintain information superiority; and develop, strengthen, and sustain U.S. security relationships.

The document sub-heads "Strengthen Alliances and Attract New Partners," and says, "By working together with allies and partners we amass the greatest possible strength for the long-term advancement of our interests, maintaining favorable balances of power that deter aggression and support the stability that generates economic growth." This includes "Fortify the Trans-Atlantic NATO Alliance" but is global.

This document thus actually embodies, but in some ways extends and amplifies, U.S. President Barack Obama's [28 May 2014 statement to America's graduating class at the West Point Military Academy](#):

The United States is and remains the one indispensable nation. That has been true for the century passed and it will be true for the century to come. ... Russia's aggression toward former Soviet states unnerves capitals in Europe, while China's economic rise and military reach worries its neighbors. From Brazil to India, rising middle classes compete with us, and governments seek a greater say in global forums. ... It will be your generation's task to respond to this new world.

To Obama, all nations other than the U.S. — even America's allies — are "dispensable"; only the U.S. is not. Hitler's version was ["Deutschland über alles"](#); and, like America's version, it comes from the accepted popular culture, not from the imperialist's own overheated imagination. In fact, [Americans respect the military above all other institutions — more than all the rest of the Government](#) — just like Germans did, leading up to Hitler. And, just like [Donald Trump himself does](#); in his militarism, Trump unfortunately does authentically represent his nation's values. Amerika isn't Athens; it is Sparta.

As I had previously noted under the headline ["Trump Continues Obama's Wars Against](#)

[Democracy](#)": "He was telling the military that America's economic competition, against the BRICS nations, is a key matter for America's military, and not only for America's private corporations."



However, even General Mattis has now acknowledged that **one important component of achieving this global empire will be to “Strengthen Alliances and Attract New Partners,”** which [now seems less likely under Trump than it was under Obama](#).

Perhaps the Trump Administration will try to compensate for that area of increasing U.S. weakness, by increasing even more its weaponry and troop-numbers. Anything to win what all of these documents refer to as being, not America's enmity, but America's 'competition' — against Russia, China, and the other BRICS countries. However, when a military official talks of “competition,” the reference is actually to his enemies, which are to be either defeated or else killed — it's not like an economist, referring to an entity that offers the same or better product or service but at a lower price, to some consumer — a third party to the relationship between those competitors. In military matters, an “ally” is no such third party, but is on one of the two sides — it's part of one of the two sides. The verbiage that's being borrowed from economics is simply intended to deceive the public, instead of to inform them.

Here, to close, are highlights from [Secretary Mattis's speech, on January 19th](#), introducing NDS2018:

This defense strategy was framed ... by President Trump's National Security Strategy. ... It is, as was noted by the dean, our nation's first National Defense Strategy in 10 years. ...

We will continue to prosecute the campaign against terrorists that we are engaged in today, but Great Power competition, not terrorism, is now the primary focus of U.S. national security. ...

We face growing threats from revisionist powers as different as China and Russia are from each other, nations that do seek to create a world consistent with their authoritarian models, pursuing veto authority over other nations' economic, diplomatic and security decisions.

Rogue regimes like North Korea and Iran persist in taking outlaw actions that threaten regional and even global stability. Oppressing their own people and shredding their own people's dignity and human rights, they push their warped views outward. ...

We're going to build a more lethal force. We will strengthen our traditional alliances and building [that ing-ending is his error, from Mattis — not added here] new partnerships with other nations. ...

The second line of effort I noted was to strengthen alliances as we build new partnerships, as well. ... History proves that nations with allies thrive.

He wants his audience to identify with 'our' team of billionaires, against 'their' team of billionaires.

He wants maximum "lethality" *against* 'the other side's' people, and *for* 'our side's' people. The opposite side are the 'revisionist powers' and 'rogue regimes'; and 'our' side are — the 'good' people, who should coerce, or else kill, them.

Mattis's speech said: "It is incumbent upon us to field a more lethal force if our nation is to retain the ability to defend ourselves and what we stand for." That's what 'we' will 'stand for', *if we will* stand for it.

Adolf Hitler's rhetoric was more direct, less hypocritical. However, the result, this time around, could turn out to be even worse, because a war between the U.S. and Russia would constitute World War III and would be a nuclear war, which would destroy the entire world.

This [might be what America's billionaires are planning and preparing for](#). (Why are super-rich people now buying nuclear bunkers, such as [here](#), and [here](#), and [here](#), and [here](#), and [here](#), and [here](#), and [here](#), and [here](#), and [here](#), and [here](#)?)

Are these people investors in 'defense' corporations such as Lockheed Martin?) But no public is. This is very much a super-rich person's war 'game', which America's 'Defense' Establishment is preparing for. No public is — not even a public that reveres its military Establishment more than it reveres any other of the nation's institutions.

\*

Investigative historian **Eric Zuesse** is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

This article was originally published by [Strategic Culture Foundation](#).

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), Global Research, 2018

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

### About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of

## CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)