

Selected Articles: Trump-Kim: Will There be a Third Summit?

By [Global Research News](#)

Global Research, March 05, 2019

Online independent analysis of US-led wars, rampant corruption, corporate greed, civil rights and fraudulent monetary transactions is invariably relegated to the bottom rung of search engine results.

As a result we presently do not cover our monthly running costs which could eventually jeopardize our activities.

Do you value the reporting and in-depth analysis provided by Global Research on a daily basis?

Click to [donate](#) or click [here to become a member of Global Research](#).



* * *



[The Trump-Kim Summit: What Really Happened in Hanoi?](#)

By [Mike Whitney](#), March 05, 2019

Author **Michael Haas** disputes this account in an article at [antiwar.com](#). Haas says there WAS a counter-offer that expanded Washington's demands to include other weapons systems unrelated to the nuclear file.



[North Korea: Achievements in Health and Education](#)

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), March 05, 2019

What the US wants to impose on North Korea is the so-called "Vietnam Economic Model". What this implies is that North Korea would embrace the free market, reform its economy under IMF-World guidelines, open up to foreign investors and become a so-called "normal Asian country".



[The Trump - Kim Summit in Hanoi: Was Trump Forced to Walk?](#)

By [Peter Koenig](#), March 04, 2019

Trump demanded full denuclearization before he would even talk about lifting sanctions. The give-nothing and demand-everything approach obviously didn't fly with Mr. Kim. Trump apparently didn't even want to talk about a long overdue peace agreement - technically DPRK and the US have been at war for the last 70 years. Stalemate. Trump walked. No written statement. Nothing.



[Did Bolton Blow North Korea?](#)

By [Rep. Ron Paul](#), March 04, 2019

Washington's political class seemed terrified that the nearly 70 year state of "war" with North Korea might actually end. In the end the only positive thing they could say about the meeting was that Trump apparently walked away with nothing to show for it.



[China Sees Some Light in the Failure of the Hanoi Summit](#)

By [Tom Clifford](#), March 01, 2019

The Hanoi talks were meant to trade some form of sanctions relief by the US for a freeze or dismantling of nuclear facilities in North Korea. This is broadly what China and Russia want and is the foundation stone of any potential deal.



[Walkout in Hanoi: The Second Trump-Kim Summit](#)

By [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#), March 01, 2019

At the end of January, **Stephen Biegun**, designated special representative for North Korea in the US State Department, [suggested](#) that Pyongyang had made a commitment in pre-summit talks to eliminate uranium and plutonium enrichment facilities for a price.



["Killer Diplomacy": The Kim-Trump Summit in Hanoi, Sabotaged by Mike Pompeo?](#)

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), March 01, 2019

Prior to the Hanoi encounter, Trump intimated that if a moratorium on nuclear missile testing by the DPRK was reached, he would be satisfied. And that this commitment would then lead to subsequent negotiations.



[Kim Meets Trump in Hanoi. Failure of Second US-DPRK Summit](#)

By [Stephen Lendman](#), February 28, 2019

Two days of talks were cut short on Thursday with no resolution of major differences, **no final statement as was issued after last June's summit - the customary format whenever formal meetings between leaders are held.**

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Global Research News](#), Global Research, 2019

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Global Research News](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca