

Trump Indictment and the Presidential Records Act

By <u>Renee Parsons</u> Global Research, June 23, 2023 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Like other media prognosticators eager to judge former **President Donald Trump** prior to a fair trial or presumption of the evidence, it is clear that the op ed entitled "Trump Indictment: How did we let things get this far?" did not consider the Presidential Records Act; and therefore lacks an understanding of the motivation behind the DOJ's desperate indictment to legally pursue Trump.

Oblivious to key legal precedents, the op ed degenerated into a political hit job relying on personal attacks as frequently happens when truth is in short supply.

Under the guise of an indictment, the Federal government initiated a political persecution to block Trump from seeking re-election in 2024. As MSNBC's Rachel Maddow has suggested, if Trump withdraws from the presidential race, the charges will go away.

As the recent Durham Report confirmed, an FBI/DOJ/Democrat coalition created Operation Crossfire Hurricane in 2016 with accusations of Russiagate to construct a diversion from Hilary Clinton's illegal obstruction of justice with destruction of 30,000 emails as confirmed by former FBI Director Comey. There have been no criminal proceedings against Clinton.

The FBI's August, 2022 raid on Mar A Lago was in pursuit of Trump's OCH documents.

Despite an obvious distaste for Trump, the oped's perspective has been skewed by political ideology, just as former AG Bill Barr who is a known Trump-hater with his own political agenda, is also skewed with incorrect assertions that "*he's toast*."

The Presidential Records Act is the sole governing legal authority in this case and since 1978 has established that every President as Chief Executive of the country has the exclusive, discretionary, non-reviewable, uncontestable power to possess any documents when he leaves the White House. No one has the right to retrieve any of those documents which may include classified, confidential, personal or public documents. As a Constitutionally empowered, duly elected President, Trump retained that right and had the undisputed power to declassify any documents as a matter of Presidential discretion just as Article II, section 1 of the Constitution asserts that all *"executive power shall be vested in the President of the United States."*

In addition, on January 19, 2021, one day before he left office, Trump filed a "<u>Declassifying</u> <u>Certain Materials related to FBI's Operation Crossfire Hurricane</u>" which may be found in the Federal Register. That Order allows those specific documents to be publicly available.

In 2012, a lawsuit was initiated against former President Bill Clinton to return a stash of seventy nine audiotaped conversations with other foreign leaders on national security matters. Those tapes were retained by the former President in his sock drawer. An Obama-appointed Judge declared that the tapes were Clinton's personal possessions as the court held: *"the President enjoys unconstrained authority to make decisions regarding the disposal of documents,"* that the President has *'sole discretion'* and *"unfettered control"* over those documents. That same standard should apply to Trump's documents.

This dispute should be about the dual standard of justice; the politicization and weaponization of justice that is now apparent with FBI and DOJ as publicly-acknowledged corrupted agencies operating outside the rule of law; conducting a seven year persecution of a psychological warfare campaign much like efforts to destabilize a foreign country yet all within cover of the federal government's unelected administrative state.

Fortunately, the House Oversight Committee and House Judiciary Committee are currently investigating and exposing the level of corruption, malfeasance, and criminal behavior within those specific Federal agencies, to hold those agencies and personnel accountable, to restore the rule of law and the remains of a Constitutional Republic to its rightful place in American life.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on <u>State of the Nation</u>.

Renee Parsons served on the ACLU's Florida State Board of Directors and as president of the ACLU Treasure Coast Chapter. She has been an elected public official in Colorado, staff in the Office of the Colorado State Public Defender, an environmental lobbyist for Friends of the Earth and a staff member of the US House of Representatives in Washington DC. She is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Renee Parsons</u>, Global Research, 2023

<u>Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page</u>

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Renee Parsons

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca