

Trump Has Killed Beautiful Babies in Four Countries

By <u>Chris Ernesto</u> Global Research, April 19, 2017 <u>Antiwar.com</u> 18 April 2017 Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u>
Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Terrorism</u>, <u>US</u>

<u>NATO War Agenda</u>

In-depth Report: SYRIA

US president **Donald Trump** sounded sincere when he described how he felt after seeing pictures of "beautiful little babies" allegedly killed by a Syrian government chemical weapons attack on April 4.

"These heinous actions by the Assad regime cannot be tolerated," said Trump, and hours later, the US launched nearly 60 tomahawk missiles in response to the allegations.

But what about the beautiful little babies killed by US bombs since Trump became president? Is Trump not concerned about *those* children because they were killed by *his* missiles, and not Assad's (alleged) chemical weapons?

In the first three months of his presidency, **Trump has dropped bombs - and killed children - in Yemen, Afghanistan, Syria and Iraq**. Here are a few of the instances:

- An eight-year-old girl was killed during a US raid in Yemen in January. The girl, Nora al-Awlaki, was a US citizen and the daughter of Anwar al-Awlaki, a New Mexico-born Muslim who was killed by the Obama administration in 2011 for his purported ties to al-Qaeda. In 2015, Nora's older brother, 16-year-old Abdulrahman al-Awlaki, also an American citizen, was killed by a US airstrike in Yemen. Nora al-Awlaki was shot in the neck by members of US SEAL Team 6 as they raided houses of suspected al-Qaeda members. Several Yemeni women were also killed in the raid.
- At least 18 civilians, mostly women and children, were killed in February by US airstrikes aimed at the Taliban in Afghanistan, according to the United Nations. "How could women and children be Taliban?" asked a resident of Sangin, who said 11 people were killed in his brother's house in an airstrike.
- More than 200 civilians, including 34 women and 32 children were killed in US airstrikes around Raqqa, Syria since March, according to the Syrian Observatory for Human Rights. In one incident, at least 33 civilians were killed when a US airstrike hit a school that was used as a shelter by Syrian families who were fleeing ISIS in Raqqa.
- US airstrikes in Mosul, Iraq killed up to 240 civilians, including scores of children last month when US forces dropped bombs on civilian homes in response to a handful of ISIS fighters who were using the local residents' roofs. Munatha Jasim, who lost her four-year-old daughter, seven-year-old son, and

seven other relatives when her home was destroyed, said a sniper had set up across the street from her house. "Just because one ISIS [fighter] was on our house, the [US] aircraft bombed us." Another resident, **Ali Abdulghani** told the New York Times, "not all of the houses had [ISIS] on the roof ... why, just because of one [ISIS], kill everyone?"



More and more people are asking for proof that Assad gassed his own people

The fact that Trump's bombs are killing innocent people in four countries is bad enough, but his most recent rationale for bombing Syria is based on unproven claims that the Syrian government used sarin against civilians.

The only <u>'evidence'</u> presented to the public thus far by the White House is an unclassified report in which "open-source material" and "pro-opposition social media reporting" are part of a package of information that led the Trump administration to believe with a "very high degree of confidence" that the Syrian regime carried out the sarin attacks.

So, "open-source material" and "pro-opposition social media reporting" count as intelligence? And a "very high degree of confidence" is the bar set by Trump to determine whether or not to bomb another country?

As astonishing as that seems, it hasn't stopped the media from believing the unproven narrative, as <u>FAIR pointed out</u> last week: of the top 100 US newspapers, only 1 paper, the Houston Chronicle, said the attack shouldn't have happened.

But a growing number of journalists including <u>Stephen Cohen</u>, <u>Robert Parry</u>, <u>Gareth Porter</u>, <u>Justin Raimondo</u>, <u>Rick Sterling</u> and former members of congress <u>Ron Paul</u> and <u>Dennis Kucinich</u> have been expressing serious doubts about the White House claims. <u>Rep. Tulsi Gabbard</u> (D-HI) is demanding evidence that Assad was responsible for the attack (Gabbard was skewered by establishment Democrats for her remarks – Howard Dean said Gabbard is a "disgrace" and that she should "not be in congress.")

Even conservative columnist **Ann Coulter** is questioning Assad's culpability and the ensuing US military response:

"Assad is one of the least bad leaders in the entire Middle East. He's not a murderous thug like Saddam, has no rape rooms, isn't into jihad, protects Christians, and is fighting ISIS. He provided us with intelligence on al-Qaida after 9/11. He does not have crazy Islamic police slapping women around or throwing gays off buildings. (That would be our beloved ally, Saudi Arabia.)

"Now we hear [Trump] saw the sad picture, which, let's be honest, <u>is probably faked</u>. It makes no sense that Assad would do this ... this is the rise of the military industrial complex, the neocons, permanent war."

Additionally, **Theodore A. Postol**, a former scientific adviser to the Chief of Naval Operations, and an MIT professor of Science, Technology and National Security, said that the National Security Council generated a <u>"fraudulent intelligence report"</u> as part of "a dedicated attempt to manufacture a false claim that the intelligence actually supported the

president's decision to attack Syria."

And <u>Philip Giraldi</u>, a former CIA officer and Director of the Council for the National Interest, said that military and intelligence personnel intimately familiar with the Syrian chemical gas intelligence believe Trump's claims are a "sham." Giraldi said his intelligence sources are "astonished" by the establishment's narrative, and that they are considering going public due to their concerns of an escalation of violence in Syria.

If this is true, it would be nice for those people to seek ways to disseminate their information as soon as possible. The longer the "Assad gassed his own people" claims stick around, the more likely people will be to accept Syrian regime change as palatable. This concept was studied by researchers from the University of Michigan who say there is a phenomenon known as "backfire" where <u>misinformed people rarely change their minds when presented</u> with the facts – and often become even more attached to their beliefs.

The original source of this article is <u>Antiwar.com</u> Copyright © <u>Chris Ernesto</u>, <u>Antiwar.com</u>, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Chris Ernesto

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca