

Jury Finds Trump Guilty on All 34 Felony Charges in New York Trial

With this verdict, Trump becomes the first ever former president to be convicted of a crime.

By [Catherine Yang](#) and [Michael Washburn](#)

Global Research, May 31, 2024

[The Epoch Times](#) 30 May 2024

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Global Research Wants to Hear From You!](#)

Former President Donald Trump was found guilty of 34 felony counts of falsifying business records, as a jury of 12 unanimously returned its verdict on May 30 after less than two days of deliberation. With this result, President Trump becomes the first ever American president to be convicted of a crime.

As the verdict was read, President Trump sat still and looked straight ahead, showing no emotion.

President Trump's attorney has previously vowed to appeal a conviction expeditiously.

New York Supreme Court Justice **Juan Merchan** set the sentencing hearing for 10 a.m. on July 11, or only four days before the Republican National Convention, where the former president is set to be formally designated as the GOP presidential nominee. President Trump is not barred from running for president as a convicted felon.

Outside the courtroom, President Trump blasted the conviction, pledging to contest it.

"This was a rigged decision right from day one. And we will fight for our Constitution," he said.

The verdict comes after a six-week trial with 22 witness testimonies. Closing arguments were made on May 28, and the jury began deliberations shortly before noon the next day. Manhattan **District Attorney Alvin Bragg** had charged President Trump with 34 counts of falsifying business records in the first degree, a class E felony.

Prosecutors alleged that President Trump fraudulently misclassified hush money payments to adult film actress Stephanie Clifford as part of an attempt to interfere with the 2016 presidential election.

President Trump has repeatedly called the criminal case political persecution and an act of election interference. He faces three other prosecutions, in the District of Columbia, Georgia, and Florida, over his efforts to contest the 2020 election results and his handling of classified documents.

The Biden campaign responded to the conviction, saying that today “we saw that no one is above the law.”

“Donald Trump has always mistakenly believed he would never face consequences for breaking the law for his own personal gain,” Biden-Harris 2024 Communications Director Michael Tyler said in the statement.

“But today’s verdict does not change the fact that the American people face a simple reality. There is still only one way to keep Donald Trump out of the Oval Office: at the ballot box. Convicted felon or not, Trump will be the Republican nominee for president.”

White House spokesperson **Ian Sams**, in a post on X, declined to comment on the verdict, other than saying,

“We respect the rule of law.”

Republicans were swift to condemn the decision.

“Today is a shameful day in American history. Democrats cheered as they convicted the leader of the opposing party on ridiculous charges, predicated on the testimony of a disbarred, convicted felon. This was a purely political exercise, not a legal one,” House Speaker **Mike Johnson** (R-La.) said in a statement posted on X.

Sen. Rand Paul (R-Ky.) called it a “sad day for America,” while **Rep. Jim Jordan** (R-Ohio) called the verdict a “travesty of Justice.”

Some Democrats celebrated the conviction, reiterating the message that no one is above the law.

“Donald Trump is a convicted felon. This verdict is not a win for any single person. It’s a win for an idea. The idea that we all follow the same rules. The rule of law won today,” **Rep. Eric Swalwell** (D-Calif.) posted on X.

New York Fraud Law

Manhattan prosecutors charged President Trump with felony-level business records falsification, which requires the fraud to be carried out to commit or conceal another crime.

Prosecutors alleged that the secondary crime is a New York election law that criminalizes conspiracy “to promote or prevent the election of any person to a public office by unlawful means.”

The unlawful means identified by prosecutors were violations of the Federal Election

Campaign Act, the falsification of other business records, or violations of tax laws. Judge Merchan ruled that the jury did not have to unanimously agree on what the “unlawful means” was.

The prosecution also did not have to prove that the secondary crime was actually committed.

The jury was instructed on the concept of accessorial liability, which states that President Trump did not have to commit the crimes himself to be held criminally liable.

Competing Narratives

During closing arguments on May 28, defense attorneys spent 2 1/2 hours trying to convince the jury that the government had failed to prove its case.

Defense attorney Todd Blanche reminded jurors that key elements of the case—President Trump’s knowledge and involvement and the method for the allegedly misclassified payments—hinged on ex-lawyer and witness Michael Cohen, who shared personal reasons for wanting to see his former boss punished.

“He lied to Congress. He lied to prosecutors. He lied to his family and business associates,” Mr. Blanche said of Mr. Cohen, calling him the “MVP of liars.” He asked jurors to question whether Mr. Cohen truly seemed the type to do work for President Trump for no pay in 2017 and 2018, after receiving a \$525,000 salary during his decade at The Trump Organization.

Prosecutors spent five hours, twice the time the defense took, to recap their case and try to convince the jury that there was “overwhelming” evidence.

Prosecuting attorney Joshua Steinglass acknowledged their flawed witnesses, arguing that the government didn’t “choose” Mr. Cohen to be their key witness but that President Trump “chose” him to be his “fixer.” He claimed that Mr. Cohen was the only alleged co-conspirator to have paid for his role in a scheme to influence the election, and his animosity toward the former president was only to be expected.

“He made his bed. But you can hardly blame him for making money from the one thing he has left, which is his knowledge of the inner workings of The Trump Organization,” Mr. Steinglass argued.

He pointed to a secret recording Mr. Cohen made of his boss, capturing a truncated conversation, and the contract Mr. Cohen signed to secure a story that he believed would damage the Trump campaign, arguing that this showed a “cavalier willingness to hide this payoff”—the intent to defraud.

34 Records

The 34 records in question included 11 checks issued to Mr. Cohen, formerly a personal attorney to President Trump, totaling \$420,000 over 11 months in 2017, along with their corresponding invoices and vouchers. The additional single record is due to a lost check that necessitated the creation of a replacement to pay Mr. Cohen.

Mr. Cohen testified that he paid \$130,000 to Stephanie Clifford, better known as adult performer Stormy Daniels, in a nondisclosure settlement agreement, to prevent the publication of a story that then-candidate Trump believed would hurt his campaign.

Ms. Clifford claimed that she had a story about a sexual encounter with Mr. Trump in 2006 and that media outlets were interested in this story after an Access Hollywood tape of Mr. Trump recorded via a hot mic was released. At trial, Ms. Clifford testified about this alleged sexual encounter, which President Trump has denied.

Mr. Cohen testified that he made this payment in 2016 with the understanding that his employer, then-candidate Trump, would reimburse him. The deal was closed less than a month before the election, and Mr. Cohen testified that he originally intended to delay payment until after the election, after which he claimed that his boss said it would not matter if the story was published and thus the \$130,000 would no longer need to be paid.

On the witness stand, Mr. Cohen painted the picture of urgency in mid-October 2016, when representatives for Ms. Clifford pressed him for payment and said he only wanted to make his boss happy. He testified that, with then-candidate Trump's approval, he made the payment.

Mr. Cohen also testified to being intensely angry later that year, when he discovered that his bonus had been cut by two-thirds from what he typically received.

It prompted discussions with former Trump Organization Chief Financial Officer Allen Weisselberg, who purportedly drew up plans to reimburse Mr. Cohen \$130,000 for the payment to Ms. Clifford and \$50,000 for an earlier payment to Red Finch, which he said he still hadn't been reimbursed for. The sum was then doubled to \$360,000 to account for taxes, and Mr. Weisselberg added \$60,000 to supplement Mr. Cohen's bonus.

Prosecutors had claimed that Mr. Weisselberg's "grossing up" the figures for tax purposes was evidence that he intended to "camouflage" reimbursement as income.

This \$420,000 was then paid to Mr. Cohen via monthly checks throughout 2017. At trial, prosecutors sought to show that President Trump had caused false records to be entered when Mr. Weisselberg, acting as a co-conspirator, directed his comptroller Jeffrey McConney and bookkeeper Deb Tarasoff to process these payments.

President Trump was not directly charged with conspiracy, and prosecutors had no burden to prove either the goal or the state of mind behind any alleged conspiracy.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The original source of this article is [The Epoch Times](#)

Copyright © [Catherine Yang](#) and [Michael Washburn](#), [The Epoch Times](#), 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **Catherine Yang**
and **Michael Washburn**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca