

Trump EPA's Reckless Attempt to Undo Key Health and Safety Protections Regarding Methane Emissions and Leaks

By Physicians for Social Responsibility

Global Research, September 04, 2019

Physicians for Social Responsibility 29

August 2019

Region: <u>USA</u>
Theme: <u>Environment</u>, <u>Law and Justice</u>

On August 28, 2019, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) <u>announced</u> an attempt to roll back key provisions of the 2016 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), which provide critical health and safety protections to prevent harm to public health from methane emissions and prevent potential methane leaks. Physicians for Social Responsibility opposes any attempt to roll back the NSPS.

In the proposed amended rule, the EPA will aim to eliminate federal requirements that oil and gas companies install technology to inspect for and fix methane leaks from wells, pipelines and storage facilities. Across the country, health professionals, scientists, community members, elected officials and even oil and gas industry companies themselves such as BP, Shell, and Exxon have spoken out in opposition to these rollbacks.

The climate and health risks associated with exposure to methane endanger American communities. According to the EPA's own website,

"The [oil and gas] industry is a significant source of emissions of methane, a potent greenhouse gas with a global warming potential more than 25 times that of carbon dioxide."

In fact, the number is estimated to be much higher: according to a 2014 <u>Assessment Report</u> by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), methane is 34 times as potent as carbon dioxide over 100 years, and 86 times as potent over 20 years.

In response to the proposed rollback of the New Source Performance Standards, Physicians for Social Responsibility issued the following comment:

"The administration's proposed rollback of the 2016 New Source Performance Standards is reckless and dangerous. It puts communities at further risk of harm, and threatens public health and safety," said **Barbara Gottlieb**, **Environment and Health Program Director**, **Physicians for Social Responsibility.**

"Physicians for Social Responsibility and Concerned Health Professionals of New York recently released the sixth edition of the seminal fracking science Compendium, which presents a large body of scientific research showing that gas and oil operations leak methane even

more than was previously documented. That adds to the global climate crisis. And the other pollutants that leak alongside the methane endanger the health of people who live near wells and compressor stations."

"This misguided move undoes vital health and safety protections and puts us all at risk for further harm from climate change," Gottlieb continued. "Even the oil and gas industry opposes this regulatory rollback. You can be sure the health community does. Remember, the Environmental Protection Agency is supposed to safeguard public health from environmental hazards, not put us in harm's way to grovel to the oil and gas industry."

In December 2018, Physicians for Social Responsibility submitted <u>comments</u> to Acting Administrator Andrew Wheeler protesting the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed weakening of for the New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), co-signed by **19 other health organizations** representing a broad spectrum of national, regional and state organizations, including some of the preeminent national health organizations.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is <u>Physicians for Social Responsibility</u> Copyright © <u>Physicians for Social Responsibility</u>, <u>Physicians for Social Responsibility</u>, <u>2019</u>

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Physicians for Social Responsibility

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca