

Will Trump Really Change American Foreign Policy? He Says He Will Make Sure there is Peace, ...Fed-up with Zelensky. “End the Ukraine Conflict in a Single Day” ??

By [Drago Bosnic](#)

Global Research, September 24, 2024

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

Donald Trump is virtually always hailed as [the enemy of the Deep State](#), even according to his own statements. [He said repeatedly that he'll dismantle it](#) and make sure there's peace. When it comes to the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict,

[Trump insists he'll end it in a single day](#). While such efforts would certainly be commendable, the question arises, how likely is it?

[Russian diplomacy thinks it's unrealistic](#) and for good reason, [as there are still ultra-radical elements within the Ukrainian society](#) who have been [brought to power with billions of Western funds](#). In other words, NATO made sure [the war keeps going regardless of the outcome of the US presidential election](#). However, Trump would certainly want to end the war for purely practical reasons. Namely, his political opponents keep using the so-called “Ukraine aid” to [funnel money back into the United States and into the DNC coffers](#).

This also should be more than enough of a reason for a new Trump presidency to end the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict. And indeed, it may seem like the last chance to prevent [a wider Russia-NATO confrontation](#). Trump is also using this to great effect by essentially warning he'll quit the presidential race if he loses this time. Namely, [in a new September 22 interview with former CBS News journalist Sharyl Attkisson, he said that](#) he likely won't run for president again if he loses this time.

“If you're not successful this time, do you see yourself running again in four years?” [Attkisson asked](#).

“No, I don't. I think that that will be - that will be it. I don't see that at all,” [Trump replied, adding](#): “I think that, hopefully, we're going to be successful [in the 2024 General Election].”

The Republican nominee touched upon several key issues regarding [the Biden administration's horrible handling of the country](#), including economy, financial and energy security, inflation, illegal immigration, etc. He also talked about the two [recent assassination attempts](#). He also mentioned these two nearly fateful incidents in a [separate interview with Fox News's Brian Kilmeade on September 21](#), when he said that he's concerned about his family's safety.

“I do [worry]. I do. I don't talk about it, but I do. I have to worry about family. I have to

worry about everybody. I worry about you,” [Trump stated](#).

Image: Ryan Routh (Source: Twitter)



The second shooter, Ryan Routh, [turned out to be a rabid Russophobe](#) and an [ardent supporter of the Neo-Nazi junta](#). This is further evidence that it's not in Trump's interest to support the Kiev regime and that he could [indeed make an effort to end the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict](#).

In the meantime, [Zelensky keeps getting on his bad side](#), this time by [visiting crucial swing states](#) such as Pennsylvania. [On September 22, he was flown in aboard a USAF C-17 heavy military transport aircraft](#), prompting criticism that the troubled Biden administration is [using federal assets for political purposes](#).

Zelensky already made enemies in the Trump camp, including the latter's running mate, Senator JD Vance. Namely, [in an interview he gave to CNN](#) on the second anniversary of the special military operation (SMO), Zelensky didn't have anything nice to say about Vance.

In fact, the former comedian insisted that Vance, who served in the US Marine Corps, [is "clueless" about the ongoing NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict](#). It seems the fatigues Zelensky has been wearing since the SMO started gave him the illusion that he's an actual military commander. Zelensky was always highly critical of Vance, as the latter was one of the staunchest opponents of the \$60 billion "aid" package that the US provided to the Neo-Nazi junta in late April. Vance was certainly aware of [the Kiev regime's massive issues with endemic corruption](#), while also understanding that, as previously mentioned, [much of that money was also being funneled back into the US](#) so that the no less corrupt Democrats could get their "fair share" of the spoils. In addition, Trump's running mate also repeatedly expressed doubt that [the so-called "military aid" would change the real situation on the ground](#).

Obviously, [this is something that Zelensky never takes too kindly](#) and insists that ["Ukraine is winning"](#). However, while it's clear that a potential new Trump administration [wouldn't be too keen on supporting the Kiev regime](#), can the same be said when it comes to other global hotspots? Namely, Trump is highly unlikely to defuse tensions with China, Iran, Venezuela, [Syria](#) and numerous other countries that have been exposed to [decades of US-led/NATO aggression](#). What's more, if Trump is hoping to break Russia from the multipolar world so the US could focus more easily on other BRICS+ partners, [he's gravely mistaken](#). Moscow will certainly not risk any damage to relations with its strategic allies just so it could get another four years of a silent "cold war" with the US. The Kremlin's reasoning is quite sound - [Washington DC will remain its primary adversary no matter who's in power in the US](#).

[Similar strategic thinking is present in much \(if not most\) of the multipolar world.](#) Iran cannot trust America, as the legally binding international agreements it signs with one administration are then renounced by the new one, making it impossible to trust the US to ever honor its legal commitments. [Washington DC also keeps proving this in the case of arms control agreements](#), as it has unilaterally withdrawn from all of them (except the New START, which is set to expire in 2026 anyway).

China is in a similar situation, as the documents it signed with the Trump administration to end the trade war at the time proved to be effectively void, because the troubled Biden administration keeps [surrounding the Asian giant with the previously banned medium and intermediate-range missiles](#) (permanently stationed in the Philippines and Japan). In other words, the damage is already done and [nobody will ever trust the US a single word its leadership utters](#).

*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Get Your Free Copy of "Towards a World War III Scenario: The Dangers of Nuclear War"!](#)

This article was originally published on [InfoBrics](#).

[Drago Bosnic](#) is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image [source](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Drago Bosnic](#), Global Research, 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Drago Bosnic](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca

