

# Trump and 'His Generals' on Collision Course over Iran

By [Daniel McAdams](#)

Global Research, October 06, 2017

[Ron Paul Institute for Peace and Prosperity](#)

4 October 2017

Region: [Middle East & North Africa, USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [US NATO War](#)

[Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [IRAN: THE NEXT WAR?](#),  
[Nuclear War](#)

*With President Trump again facing the requirement to certify whether Iran is complying with the P5+1 nuclear deal this month, it looks like a major clash may be brewing between the president and the neocons on one side, and the military generals he openly embraces in his Administration on the other. What might normally be a fairly automatic and objective process is looking more like a clash of the titans for the Administration. Who will blink?*

In what must be a relatively uncommon if not unprecedented move, President Trump's Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff — the country's senior-most military officer — **Gen. Joseph Dunford** told the Senate Armed Services Committee last week that Iran is complying with the agreement and that the United States would suffer negative consequences if it pulled out of the deal.

In so doing, Gen. Dunford directly contradicts his boss, President Trump, who [said](#) in late August that Iran is:

...not in compliance with the agreement and they certainly are not in the spirit of the agreement in compliance, and I think you'll see some very strong things taking place if they don't get themselves in compliance.

Dunford made the point to the Senate Committee that if the US unilaterally pulls out of the Iran deal claiming non-compliance while the rest of the world holds the opposite view it would negatively impact the US ability to make future deals. No doubt he was thinking of the current stand-off with North Korea.

Said the Joint Chiefs Chairman:

It makes sense to me that our holding up agreements that we have signed, unless there's a material breach, would have an impact on others' willingness to sign agreements.

Gen. Dunford's concern over whether the US would continue to be a trusted and reliable partner after unilaterally de-certifying Iran is particularly relevant when one looks at the fate of former US allies such as Libya's Gaddafi, Saddam Hussein, Panama's Noriega, and so on. Unless the US is able to strong-arm a considerable number of its allies into also decertifying Iran, the US would find itself backed into a corner on any non-unilateral, non-military efforts

overseas. The US would be likely hard-pressed to find a sufficient number of allies willing to follow Washington back to a policy of open confrontation with Iran, particularly as the economic opening to Tehran has proven so [profitable and mutually advantageous](#) to them.

Then just yesterday, another of “Trump’s generals” echoed Dunford. **Defense Secretary James Mattis**, former US Marine Corps general and former Commander of US Central Command, told the Senate Armed Services Committee that he believes it is in the national security interest of the United States to remain part of the Iran agreement. Said Mattis yesterday,

“I believe at this point in time, absent indications to the contrary, it is something the president should consider staying with.”

This follows a [letter](#) sent to the president in July from “38 former flag officers from the U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force and Marines said that the Joint Comprehensive Plan Of Action (JCPOA) [the Iran deal] has achieved its aims over the two years since it was signed by the Obama administration, Iran and five world powers.”

The military officers so often deferred to by President Trump are on one side of the Iran recertification issue and the president and his fair weather cheerleaders in the neocon camp are on the other side.

Trump’s trigger-happy ambassador to the UN, **Nikki Haley**, in a September [speech](#) to the neoconservative American Enterprise Institute said that President Trump would have “ground to stand on” should he decide to decertify Iranian compliance. Her argument was the opposite of Gen. Dunford’s, as she claimed that the US remaining in the deal with Iran would demonstrate to North Korea that Washington is more interested in placating its allies than in looking out for its security interests.

Neoconservative **Sen. Tom Cotton** (R-AR) has [urged](#) President Trump to pull the US out of the Iran deal, [saying](#) last month,

“I don’t see how anyone who looks at the facts...can say the deal is in our vital national security interests.”

Sen. Cotton’s already aggressive position vis-a-vis Iran was no doubt further greased by the nearly one million dollars [he was paid](#) by neocon kingpin **William Kristol**’s Emergency Committee for Israel to oppose the Iran deal.

Ambassador Nikki Haley is [promoting](#) Sen. Cotton’s [rationale](#) for decertification of Iran delivered yesterday at the Council on Foreign Relations and Bill Kristol is [right on board](#). Why decertify Iran? As Sen. Cotton [told the CFR crowd](#):

“One thing I learned in the army is that when your opponent is on his knees, you drive him to the ground, and you choke him out.”

At least he is clear about his alternative approach to Iran.

Former US Ambassador to the UN **John Bolton**, who is no doubt already measuring the drapes in Secretary of State Rex Tillerson's office, went so far as to [pen a helpful guide](#) to exiting the Iran deal for President Trump. Despite Bolton's verbosity — a neocon specialty — his grand plan for exiting the deal consists of: 1) strong-arming allies, 2) producing more neocon "white papers" on how bad the deal is, and 3) further propagandizing Congress and the American people.

Where exactly is Iran in violation? Bolton doesn't say.

And what is Bolton's next step once his decertification "plan" is implemented? In his [own words](#): "Expedite delivery of bunker-buster bombs" to the region.

Will President Trump listen to Bolton's roadmap to exiting the Iran deal? Will he consider [Bolton's track record](#) when it comes to planning foreign policy actions? If Bolton were a baseball player, he'd be benched with a .000 batting average.

Will Trump listen to Nikki Haley, Bill Kristol, Tom Cotton, or the rest of the neocon desk generals when it comes to Iran? Or will he listen to his actual generals? This may be the most important foreign policy decision in Trump's presidency. Grave matters of war or peace hang in the balance. Will the neocons win again?

*Featured image is from the author.*

The original source of this article is [Ron Paul Institute for Peace and Prosperity](#)  
Copyright © [Daniel McAdams](#), [Ron Paul Institute for Peace and Prosperity](#), 2017

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Daniel McAdams](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)