

# Treaties and Other Agreements US Consistently Breaches

By Stephen Lendman

Global Research, November 05, 2018

Region: <u>Asia</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>US NATO War Agenda</u>

In-depth Report: NORTH KOREA

Trump's JCPOA and INF pullouts are the latest examples of why the US under Republicans and undemocratic Dems can never be trusted.

Both right wings of the US one-party state want everything their own way in return for empty promises, the disturbing reality of diplomacy with America.

Time and again, other nations are betrayed, including Iran, Russia, China, North Korea earlier and likely ahead.

Good faith **Kim Jong-un** mid-June summit talks with Trump risk being undermined by regime hardliners Pompeo and Bolton.

Both officials are militantly hostile to the DPRK. Before his appointment as Trump's national security advisor, Bolton called

"discussions with North Korea...a waste of time...The way to end the North's nuclear program is to end the North," he roared.

After earlier talks with DPRK officials in Pyongyang, its Foreign Ministry accused Pompeo of unacceptably pushing a "unilateral and gangster-like demand for denuclearization" – offering nothing concrete in return.

By letter weeks earlier, North Korean Vice Chairman of the country's Workers' Party Central Committee **Kim Yong-chol** accused the Trump regime of unwillingness to formally end the 1950s war, adding negotiations are "again at stake and may fall apart."

One or more summits between Kim and Trump won't likely achieve what the first ever formal meeting between a US and North Korean leader failed to accomplish.

DLT may genuinely want improved US relations with North Korea. Pompeo and Bolton in charge of the regime's geopolitical agenda undermine the possibility.

They want unbending pressure, full denuclearization, elimination of DPRK ballistic missiles, and full compliance with other US demands before implementing anything Kim and Trump agreed on.

Unacceptable US hostility toward North Korea has persisted since WW II ended. Nothing

going forward suggests a policy change.

Washington needs enemies to pursue its imperial agenda. None exist so they're invented, including North Korea throughout the post-WW II period – despite the country threatening no one.

Nothing suggests a change in longstanding US policy ahead. Reasonable DPRK demands are rejected in return for its genuine willingness to denuclearize – including iron-clad security guarantees, a formal end to the 1950s war, lifting of all unacceptable sanctions, and normalizing relations with the West.

Kim sensibly wants a "phased and synchronous" approach, "action-for-action" by both sides, incremental lifting of sanctions and other positive steps by Washington, matching North Korea's good will.

Trump regime hardliners Pompeo and Bolton refuse to comply, pushing for total DPRK denuclearization and elimination of its ballistic missiles, wanting its military rendered defenseless against hostile attacks if launched.

On Friday, North Korea's state-run news agency said

"(i)f the US keeps behaving arrogantly without showing any change in its stand," its military may resume nuclearization, adding:

"(I)mprovement of relations and sanctions are incompatible...The US thinks that its oft-repeated 'sanctions and pressure' leads to 'denuclearization.' We cannot help laughing at such a foolish idea."

#### Pompeo said

"we will keep the economic pressure in place until such time as Chairman Kim fulfills the commitment he made to President Trump back in June in Singapore."

Kim and South Korean President Moon Jae-in appear to genuinely want improved relations. Will Seoul go its own way with Pyongyang, independent of US actions?

Last month **Foreign Minister Kang Kyung-wha** suggested the South was willing to lift restrictions on the North as a good will gesture.

Trump responded harshly saying

"(t)hey won't do it without our approval. They do nothing without our approval," treating the country's leadership like vassals.

"Maximum (US) pressure" until full DPRK denuclearization in return for likely betrayal like many times earlier remains hardline US policy.

Will last June's summit agreement unravel because of one-way US demands?

It's likely given how often Washington breached numerous other treaties, conventions and agreements earlier.

Betrayal is longstanding US policy.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Award-winning author **Stephen Lendman** lives in Chicago. He can be reached at <u>lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net</u>. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html

Visit his blog site at silendman.blogspot.com.

Featured image is from Yonhap News Agency

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Stephen Lendman</u>, Global Research, 2018

### **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

#### **Become a Member of Global Research**

## Articles by: **Stephen Lendman**

#### About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cuttingedge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants

permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>