

TPP, TTIP: Eliminating Nations, Turning over the World Economy To Mega-corporations. Obama's Secret Trade Deals vs. Trump and Bernie

By [Jon Rappoport](#)

Global Research, May 02, 2016

[Jon Rappoport's Blog](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Biotechnology and GMO](#), [Global Economy](#)

In-depth Report: [U.S. Elections](#)

The press refuses to state the obvious: two US Presidential candidates who couldn't be more different are on the same page when it comes to Globalist trade treaties.

Trump and Bernie—the gunslinger fast-talking cowboy and the programmatic socialist.

If the press did connect the dots, it would be admitting that millions of Americans from both candidates' camps recognize the danger of these treaties—and pumping up that revelation would bring a major threat to the Globalist water-boy in the White House.

He is Barack Obama. When a preliminary vote on the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) stalled in Congress last year, Obama and his team jumped on the phones and stayed there night and day trying to drum up support. And now, when the UK is considering an exit from the European Union, thus throwing a large monkey wrench in the US-EU Trans-Atlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), Obama flies to London, where, shockingly, he lowers the boom on the British government and the public, warning that an independent UK would have to stand at the back of the line when it comes to formulating a separate trade pact with the US.

Obama, as you might remember, was tutored on foreign policy, after his election in 2008, by Zbigniew Brzezinski, the intellectual water-boy for David Rockefeller, the king of Globalism, Planet Earth.



Brzezinski once wrote (1969):

“The nation state as a fundamental unit of man’s organized life has ceased to be the principal creative force: International banks and multinational

corporations are acting and planning in terms that are far in advance of the political concepts of the nation-state.”

These Globalist trade deals are, indeed, exercises in eliminating nations and turning over the economy of the world to mega-corporations.

For example, as The Independent reported (10/16/15), the TTIP embodies Investor-State Dispute Settlements (ISDS), whereby corporations can sue governments when their profits are endangered.

For instance, Monsanto could sue the EU for refusing to accept the import of GMO food crops, thereby enabling a Monsanto end-run around Europe’s tight restrictions on GMOs.

The Independent:

“There are around 500 similar [ISDS] cases of businesses versus nations going on around the world at the moment and they are all taking place before ‘arbitration tribunals’ made up of corporate lawyers appointed on an ad hoc basis, which according to War on Want’s John Hilary, are ‘little more than kangaroo courts’ with ‘a vested interest in ruling in favour of business.’”

A nation has its own court system? How quaint. How outmoded. Let corporations take over.

Here are other agreements embedded in the TTIP Treaty—which by the way, is a super-secret contract very few people are permitted to read as negotiations now wrap up. The Independent cites several leaked points:

Under the TTIP, the provincial European notion of testing chemicals for safety before unleashing them on the population would be bulldozed by the superior American method: drench the people, ignore the consequences, and invent a consensus that the chemicals (e.g., pesticides) are harmless. Good luck with the consequences, Europe.



There would far less monitoring of the clinical trials of new medical drugs. Translation: pharmaceutical companies would be able to conceal trials that reveal devastating harm. More chemical assault against the populations of both Europe and the US.

Food safety and environmental standards would be compromised on both sides of the Atlantic.

European public services (water, health, education) would open their doors to privatization by US companies looking for ways to turn these services into profit-making enterprises—at higher prices. Big Pharma, with its load of toxic drugs, would exploit new markets by infiltrating nations' public health services.

Consider the recent astounding action of US Trade Representatives in Europe. Using the TTIP negotiations as a blunt weapon, US Trade Reps pressured the European Union (EU) to modify its stance on pesticides.

The Guardian (May 22, 2015) headline and tag says it all:

“EU dropped pesticide laws due to US pressure over TTIP, documents show... US trade officials pushed EU to shelve action on endocrine-disrupting chemicals linked to cancer and male infertility to facilitate TTIP free trade deal”.

Note: this repressive and criminal action didn't even involve a treaty that had been ratified. The pressure was all about the so-called positive economic impact the TTIP would have, when passed, for Europe. And in the face of that fictitious money benefit, and the threat of its removal (by ditching the TTIP negotiations), who would dare curb the import and use of chemicals that achieve something as “minor” as disrupting human endocrine systems and causing male infertility and cancer?

This is the sort of judgment we can look forward to, if the TTIP is ratified.

All this is what Obama is pushing. All this is what Hillary Clinton is pushing. At least some of this is what Trump and Bernie are criticizing.

The TPP (Trans-Pacific Partnership), another of the blockbuster trade treaties on the table, involves 12 member nations in the Pacific region. The TPP contains similar provisions for mega-corporations: the ISDS tribunals that supersede national courts; the shifting of controls on chemical safety to corporations; greater roughshod international intrusions by pharmaceutical and GMO/pesticide companies.

It's a grotesque frame-up and set-up masquerading as a more equitable global economy.

And of course, implicit in all these trade treaties is the exporting of jobs from countries where wages and costs are higher to countries where they are lower—as low as possible, with as few environmental regulations as possible, with as few unions as possible. Where the rule of thumb is: Down and Dirty.

It's more than interesting that the biggest voting bloc in the US—the sum of Trump and Bernie supporters—are solidly against these Globalist treaties. But there the supporters are, on opposite sides of the fence, glaring at each other, while Hillary Clinton rides her horse down the center stripe, her blood-soaked hands on the reins, promising a united future for America.

Yes, united, under corporate Globalist management.

Jon Rappoport, is the author of three explosive collections, [THE MATRIX REVEALED](#), [EXIT FROM THE MATRIX](#), and [POWER OUTSIDE THE MATRIX](#), Jon was a candidate for a US

*Congressional seat in the 29th District of California. He maintains a consulting practice for private clients, the purpose of which is the expansion of personal creative power. Nominated for a Pulitzer Prize, he has worked as an investigative reporter for 30 years, writing articles on politics, medicine, and health for CBS Healthwatch, LA Weekly, Spin Magazine, Stern, and other newspapers and magazines in the US and Europe. Jon has delivered lectures and seminars on global politics, health, logic, and creative power to audiences around the world. You can sign up for his **free** NoMoreFakeNews emails [here](#) or his **free** OutsideTheRealityMachine emails [here](#).*

The original source of this article is [Jon Rappoport's Blog](#)
Copyright © [Jon Rappoport](#), [Jon Rappoport's Blog](#), 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jon Rappoport](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca