

# Towards a Foreign Imposed “Political Transition” in Syria? The Broader War, US Threats directed against Russia

Vienna-Geneva Peace Talks, An exploded Russian Airliner – and NATO-Trident Juncture

By [Peter Koenig](#)

Global Research, November 03, 2015

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

*Washington has been quite successful in its wicked embrace of Syria over the last few weeks. Just imagine, Kerry, in a propaganda-painted gesture of goodwill, forges the Vienna Peace Conference, this past Friday, 30 October. The results are inconclusive, but on to more talks in Geneva; no longer ‘Assad must go’, but rather the concession that “Assad is going to be part of any transitional governing body.” – Why a foreign imposed transition? Transition seems to become a propaganda indoctrinated fait accompli.*

Then suddenly like changing the subject and the world’s attention – a Russian airliner exploding in the air over the Egyptian Sinai Peninsula; and Erdogan making a stunning, unexpected election comeback— and the NATO Live Exercise from 21 October to 6 November in full swing – while all the way Russia is confirming and enhancing its dominance in the war against terror in Syria and soon in Iraq too – ISIS/L-Daesh, and against all the CIA-NATO trained, funded and armed ‘good-boys’, the so-called ‘moderates’ meaning rebels that have no teeth – is what the mainstream media would like the MSM-audience to believe.

An entire kaleidoscope of events is seemingly coincidentally happening all at the same time. It could also be many tentacles of the world dictator put in action at once. Let’s be sure that we understand one point clearly: As long as Washington is alive, meaning economically still ticking, heavily breathing, but still ticking – as long as this is the case, the command center of corporate and military operations, Washington-Pentagon, will not let go of Syria, Iran, Iraq – and the rest of the larger Middle East and North Africa – in short the MENA – area.

It is part of the Zionist-think tank(s) designed PNAC (Plan for a New American Century) that have put themselves in charge of deciding US foreign policy – something they have been doing at least since WWII, and that unobstructedly and thanks to generous AIPAC flows of money to the US Congress continues relentlessly.

This has been said before, but it cannot be repeated enough for peoples at large around the globe to understand that nothing short of self-destruction can stop the monster-killing machine, an amalgam of huge globalized corporate, financial and military interests, seemingly directed by Zionist-Washington, from advancing to Full Spectrum Dominance – meaning a *ONE WORLD ORDER* – to dictate over humanity, controlling all the world’s natural resources, energy, food, populations (who shall live and who shall die). That’s the objective

we are confronted with. No killing, no atrocity will be too much. It is estimated that US-led and instigated wars and conflicts around the globe have killed 35 to 40 million people since WWII; 10 to 12 million alone since 9/11. See also Oliver Stone's excellent documentary, "*The Untold History of the United States*" - [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g\\_0S80jMeg0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g_0S80jMeg0) .

*Back to the Future - and Syria.*

Kerry managed against all odds to pull off a new round of "Syria Peace Talks" in Vienna on 30 October allegedly to stop the bloodshed of the civil war. So the story goes. Participating in this international charade were the [U.S.](#), [UK](#), [Russia](#), [China](#), [Iran](#), [Turkey](#), [Egypt](#), [Iraq](#), [UAE](#), [Qatar](#), [Oman](#), [Saudi Arabia](#), [Lebanon](#), [Jordan](#), the [European Union](#), [France](#), [Italy](#), [Germany](#) and the [United Nations](#). Iran was invited by Russia against the objections of Washington. Amazingly, though, the country whose future was supposedly discussed, Syria, was absent. Not invited. - How can that be? How can an international conference discuss the fate of a country which is not even invited to participate? - A slap in the face of democracy, of human rights, of any sovereign nation - a slap in the face of any common sense. That only shows, our world order has lost all senses of common sense. - The UN was asked to preside over the event, so it will appear legitimate. Of course, we know, the UN voice is a no-voice - it's just parroting the Big Dictator's orders.

These illustrious ministers and other dignitaries just agreed to disagree. They thought it was the UN's job to bring the current legitimate government and its opposition to the same table, starting an 'inclusive and credible' process leading to a new constitution, new elections and a 'transition government', but disagreed about Bashar Assad's future. - As Vladimir Putin repeatedly pointed out - the future of Syria, and of any other nation around the globe for that matter, is to be decided solely by the people of the country concerned, in this case Syria.

The idea was to continue with *Geneva Peace Talks III* already this coming Friday - but the opposition found some objections which puts the next peace meeting in Geneva on hold.

Why this farce at all? - Washington hopes for a cease fire as an interim solution - making the Russian stop fighting so that the Master, NATO and their puppets may regroup and think up (sic) new strategies - and of course, so that ISIL / Daesh *et al* can breathe a breath of fresh air and be resupplied. Russia will not buy it. The 'Peace Talks' may drag on for years, as they did with Iran for the nuclear talks - on a made-believe nuclear threat that never was. The result for Syria may become a shabby agreement that can be broken any time at the whim and will of the US global dictatorship.

Why would President Assad agree with some conclusions reached in his absence? And why should he be forced to hold new elections - when he was reelected just 16 months ago with a resounding majority?

At the same time, *NATO's Trident Juncture 2015* exercise is going on. It started officially on 19 October in NATO's Trapani air base in Sicily, is being hosted by Italy, Spain and Portugal. One wonders why the countries that have most suffered from the western imposed EU economic crisis and the ensuing debt burden were sponsoring this event of aggression against Russia. Was it voluntary, a sign of gracious submission in the hope of perhaps some future goodwill with debt-relief, or was it coercion?

*Trident Juncture* is looking very much like a Cold War II scenario covering the Mediterranean

and Black seas, making Russia the target of the exercise. It is the largest and most ambitious of its kind in over a decade with 36,000 troops, more than 140 aircraft and 60 ships from over 30 nations. The NATO nations will be joined by such far-away places like Australia, but also Austria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Finland, Macedonia, Sweden – and even Ukraine. – To intimidate and provoking Russia? – Russia will not be intimidated, nor provoked. The west should have taken its lesson, observing the diplomatic calmness with which Putin handled the US-EU-NATO instigated Ukraine fiasco.

Despite Russia's headways in the fight against western spread terrorism, Washington's objectives have not changed – putting the entire MENA region into disarray, Syria next, to resemble Libya and Iraq – all with oil and gas riches.

The crux of the matter is not dominance of the region solely for oil's or dominance's sake – but for economic reasons. Syria is a crucial link for pipelines to supply Europe and southern Asia with energy from Iran – and with energy that is not to be billed in US Dollars but in Euros, Rubles, Yuans – or any other currency the participating trading partners may wish to use. Trillions of dollars now in need and in circulation will become superfluous.

That would make more than a dent into the already vastly indebted and otherwise overburdened US economy– real US unemployment hovering around 22%, inflation about 10%, poverty rampant with 40 million people depending on food aid with 50 million children going to bed hungry every night – and a US-wide infrastructure crumbling beyond repair.

Losing the MENA battle would mean an even faster decline into oblivion of the US hegemony. It's not just oil, gas and dominance – *“it's the economy, stupid!”* – As in Bill Clinton's 1992 successful campaign strategy.

Simultaneously in *Turkey* – surprisingly Erdogan's *Justice and Development Party* (Turkish: AKP) has won in the November 1st snap elections a stunning comeback majority with 49% to the only 40% of the June 2015 votes, putting Erdogan fully in the driver's seat. He can almost do what he wants – except for changing the Constitution for which he would have needed an absolute majority – to give himself even more power.

But wait a minute – can pre-election polls be that wrong? – Was there no foul play? – Western observers nod in consent with the election results. Do they have anything to do with the result? Does Mme. Merkel's recent agreement with Mr. Erdogan – *‘you get 3 billion euros, but you take all the refugees and keep your borders closed – we may then reconsider a place for you in the EU’* – have anything to do with the election victory; or the fact that Erdogan supports the US position on *‘Assad must go’* – and cosponsors the ISIS / Daesh mercenary terrorists against the legitimate Syrian government, providing them with supply lines and money?

Another quasi simultaneous event is the *crash of the Russian airliner Airbus 321* on Sunday, 1 November, over the Egyptian Sinai Peninsula. Flight KGL 9268 took off from Sharm El Skeik in direction St. Petersburg with 224 people aboard, all Russians, most of them allegedly tourists, early Sunday morning, reaching in an amazing 23 minutes a cruising altitude of 9,500 m – that's when the plane disappears from radar, apparently disintegrated in mid-air, spreading its wreckage over a 15 km<sup>2</sup> area. Experts are wondering what could be the reason for a mid-air explosion – a hit from outside, or one from inside. – A missile or a bomb?

ISIS was quick in claiming credit for the blast. According to the British Guardian translation their statement reads:

Downing of Russian airplane, killing of more than 220 Russian crusaders on board.

Soldiers of the Caliphate were able to bring down a Russian plane above Sinai Province with at least 220 Russian crusaders aboard.

They were all killed, praise be to God. O Russians, you and your allies take note that you are not safe in Muslims lands or their skies.

The tragic event smells of false flag – all over. Why would ISIS anger Russia even more? To show the world who is the master? – Wouldn't Israel and Washington have a wider interest in punishing and intimidating Russia into submission and leaving the battle field – at least during the time of a possible 'cease fire', so that the terrorists could be resupplied and the Zionist-Washington think tanks (sic) can brew up new strategies on how to destroy Syria and sow havoc? – Mossad and CIA have always been excellent collaborators.

Is security at Sharm-el-Skeik tight enough to prevent some hired operatives to place a bomb on board? – Hard to say – but it would be a dumb – and highly criminal – attempt to hurt Russia into submission. On the other hand, dumb and criminal is right in the western monster's bailiwick.

Apropos 'false flags' – Washington's Neocon think tank (sic), the "Washington Institute for Near East Policy" – bluntly suggests the use of false flag operations to achieve political goals:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M84l19H68mk>.

Why would they be shy continuing applying the same diabolical tactics to achieve their objective in Syria – and ultimately over the whole MENA region – and the entire world? – Washington has a horrendous track record on false flags, including the Gulf of Tonkin and the Bay of Pigs, let alone 9/11.

Not to despair. Russia will not give up, let alone surrender. There is much at stake for Russia too. Beyond supporting sovereignly elected Bashar Assad, the Syrian port of *Latakia* is a main Mediterranean "homeport" of the Russian Navy. It would be lost if Syria were to go the way of Libya and Iraq. That may not happen. Russia's leader is a first-class statesman, diplomat – and an excellent chess player.

*Peter Koenig is an economist and geopolitical analyst. He is also a former World Bank staff and worked extensively around the world in the fields of environment and water resources. He writes regularly for Global Research, ICH, RT, Sputnik News, TeleSur, The Vineyard of The Saker Blog, and other internet sites. He is the author of [Implosion – An Economic Thriller about War, Environmental Destruction and Corporate Greed](#)– fiction based on facts and on 30 years of World Bank experience around the globe. He is also a co-author of [The World Order and Revolution! – Essays from the Resistance](#)*

The original source of this article is Global Research

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Peter Koenig](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)