

# Top Wall Street Journal Editor Plots with Pro-Israel Group to Thwart Iran Deal

By [Telesur](#)

Global Research, August 01, 2015

[Telesur](#) 31 July 2015

Region: [Middle East & North Africa, USA](#)

*In a leaked conversation with a U.S. pro-Israeli group, the right-wing pro-war journalist said he favored war with Iran over the diplomatic Iran deal*

A U.S.-based organization called Christians United for Israel and the Wall Street Journal's longtime foreign affairs columnist and deputy editorial page editor Bret Stephens were discussing over the phone strategies to defeat the [Iran deal](#) set for a vote in the U.S. Congress soon.

A recording of the conversation that lasted for 30 minutes was leaked to the Intercept website Thursday.

During the phone call, Stephens said that a vote in favor of the Iran deal would be similar to the vote in favor of the U.S. invasion of Iraq.

"Someone should say, 'this is going to be like your vote for the Iraq War. This is going to come back to haunt you. Mark my words, it will come back to haunt you. Because as Iran cheats, as Iran becomes more powerful, and Iran will be both of those things, you will be held to account. This vote will be a stain,'" the right-wing journalist was quoted as saying by the Intercept.

Neocon Nutjob WSJ's Bret Stephens Plots Against Iran Deal  
<https://t.co/qc1u8b6ejB> #Iran #Iraq #Murdoch Lies [pic.twitter.com/dgyyj9eGRI](http://pic.twitter.com/dgyyj9eGRI)

— Ian56 (@Ian56789) [July 31, 2015](#)

He added that lawmakers should be warned that they would lose their seats over a vote in favor of the Iran deal.

"You will have to walk away from it at some point or another. You will have to explain it. And some of you may in fact lose your seats because of your vote for this deal. You'll certainly lose a lot of financial support from some of your previous supporters," Stephens was heard saying in the recording.



A woman takes part in a rally July 22, 2015, on Times Square in New York opposing the nuclear deal with Iran. | Photo: AFP

The irony in Stephens' comments, who was an editor at the Israeli Jerusalem Post newspaper, is that he was a steadfast advocate of the Iraq invasion and he never retracted that support despite the admissions by U.S. authorities that it was based on false evidence.

In fact, in an article in 2013, Stephens said that former U.S. President George W. Bush's decision to invade Iraq was based on "solid intelligence" as he argued for a U.S. military action against Syria.

Are both right and left saying US Iraq war was a disastrous mistake to lobby for/against Iran deal? <https://t.co/OqVRH6brBK>

— Laura Rozen (@lrozen) [July 31, 2015](#)

More alarming is the fact that he would compare the Iran deal, which is being hailed by balanced-minded analysts as a diplomatic peace initiative, to the Iraq invasion which [left hundreds of thousands](#) dead and saw major abuses by the U.S. and its allies, from unlawful killings to [torture](#).

He went on to explain his view on the argument that a "no" vote on the Iran deal would lead to war. He said he saw that as a better option than a deal with Iran, a deal which according to him was "giving Iran the legal framework to obtain a weapon."

Iran has repeatedly denied that it had been enriching uranium for the purpose of obtaining a nuclear weapon.

Moreover, reports by the United Nation nuclear watchdog and analysis by the U.S.

intelligence say that Iran was not developing a nuclear weapon, let alone being as close as “months” or at best a “year” away from having an atomic bomb, as both Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu and Stephens assert.

The original source of this article is [TeleSur](#)  
Copyright © [Telesur](#), [TeleSur](#), 2015

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Telesur](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.  
For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)