

## US District Court Judge Blocks Biden's Federal Contractor Mandate Obliging Some 25 Percent of the US Labor Force to get Vaccinated

By **Daniel McAdams** 

Region: <u>USA</u>

Global Research, December 08, 2021

Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Science and</u>
Medicine

The Ron Paul Institute for Peace and Prosperity 7 December 2021

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @crg\_globalresearch.

\*\*\*

Today may well mark the day the Biden Administration's Covid tyranny suffers a fatal blow. U.S. **District Judge R. Stan Baker** issued a nationwide block on Biden's mandate that all US government contractors and subcontractors – some 25 percent of the US work force – must take the experimental Covid shots.

As US **Rep. Thomas Massie** (R-KY) observed – That's three strikes: the Medicare mandate was nuked, the 100+ worker mandate was nuked, and now the federal contractor mandate is nuked, nationwide!

THREE strikes! The third of Biden's five mRNA/DNA shot mandates has been halted NATIONWIDE by a federal judge. These are blatantly unconstitutional. <a href="https://t.co/JMIPAWdugl">https://t.co/JMIPAWdugl</a>

— Thomas Massie (@RepThomasMassie) <u>December 7, 2021</u>

Three strikes - and we hope they're OUT!

In his ruling, Judge Baker observed:

The Court acknowledges the tragic toll that the COVID-19 pandemic has wrought throughout the nation and the globe. However, even in times of crisis this Court must preserve the rule of law and ensure that all branches of government act within the

bounds of their constitutionally granted authorities.

In other words, the Judge correctly concluded that the US Constitution is not suspended because of a virus.

There is good reason to believe that, cynical and authoritarian as it is, the Biden Administration knew all along that the president's mandates didn't stand a chance in court, but that the real game was to terrify the population sufficiently between promulgation and repudiation that more Americans would sign on to the shots.

There is nothing that focuses a family breadwinner's mind like facing being thrown on to the streets because he or she did not want to take a medical treatment that – even in the words of the CDC Director – neither prevents infection nor transmission and thus could not in any sane world be considered a vaccine.

It's popular these days to throw around the term "terrorism" to justify oppressing one's political enemies, but it is definitional that the Biden Administration's use of "jab or job" on millions of Americans is raw terrorism.

As with the eviction moratorium, the Biden Administration openly and even <u>proudly admits</u> that it breaks the <u>law</u> to pursue its political goals, daring the other co-equal branches of government to right the listing ship of state.

With Congress predictably inept at living up to its Constitutional obligations regarding reeling in Executive over-reach on amphetamines, it is a welcome surprise that several members of the Judicial Branch are stepping up to their Constitutional task.

Yes – three strikes and you're out. But watch the zombie rise again. We who defend civil liberties and personal choice are slowly winning, but the beast is not yet slain.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg\_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from The Last Refuge

The original source of this article is <u>The Ron Paul Institute for Peace and Prosperity</u> Copyright © <u>Daniel McAdams</u>, <u>The Ron Paul Institute for Peace and Prosperity</u>, 2021

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: **Daniel McAdams** 

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>