

Twenty States Threaten Legal Action against Biden Administration Over “The Ministry of Truth”. Call for Disbanding The Disinformation Governance Board (DGB).

By [Daisy Luther](#)

Global Research, May 12, 2022

[The Organic Prepper](#) 10 May 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Media](#)

[Disinformation](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on [Instagram](#), [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The attorneys general of 20 states have threatened legal action against the US government unless they disband the newly formed Disinformation Governance Board.

We shared with you recently [an article about the people behind the DGB](#), who have a history of trying to curb dissenting speech by calling it “disinformation.” We here at the OP have been the [targets of censorship before](#) and would not be surprised to see more of the same. ([Here’s how we’re meeting the possibility of further oppression head-on.](#))

It turns out that we’re not the only ones concerned about this.

What’s being done?

In a letter addressed to DHS Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas, the Attorney General of Virginia, Jason Miyares, spoke for the AGs of 19 other states and shared his concerns about the overreach.

The letter was acquired by [ReclaimtheNet.org](#).

As the chief legal officers of our respective States, we, the undersigned Attorneys General, are tasked not just with enforcing the laws but with protecting the constitutional rights of all our citizens. Today we write you to insist that you immediately cease taking action that appears designed exclusively for the purpose of suppressing the exercise of constitutional rights.

Every American knows that the Constitution forbids the government to “abridg[e] the freedom of speech.” US Const. Amend. I. As Justice Robert Jackson wrote nearly eighty

years ago, “[i]f there is any fixed star in our constitutional constellation, it is that no official, high or petty, can prescribe what shall be orthodox in politics, nationalism, religion, or other matters of opinion.” *West Virginia State Bd. of Educ. v. Barnette*, 319 US 624, 642 (1943).

Your recent testimony before the US House Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security, however, indicated that the Department of Homeland Security, under your leadership, is doing exactly that: prescribing orthodoxy by slapping a federal-government label of “disinformation” or “misinformation” on speech that government bureaucrats, operating behind closed doors, decree to be improper. This is an unacceptable and downright alarming encroachment on every citizen’s right to express his or her opinions, engage in political debate, and disagree with the government. The Biden Administration’s latest effort to decide what speech is “acceptable” and “orthodox” combines McCarthyite speech policing with the secrecy of the English Star Chamber.

In short, you seem to have misunderstood George Orwell: the “Ministry of Truth” described in 1984 was intended as a warning against the dangers of socialism, not as a model government agency. “MiniTru” and its thuggish apparatchiks are the villains in that story, not the heroes. For the sake of our democracy, you must immediately disband the “Disinformation Governance Board” and cease all efforts to police Americans’ protected speech. The existence of the Disinformation Governance Board will inevitably have a chilling effect on free speech. Americans will hesitate before they voice their constitutionally protected opinions, knowing that the government’s censors may be watching, and some will decide it is safer to keep their opinions to themselves.

The resulting damage to our political system and our culture will be incalculable: as a democracy, our political debates and decisions are supposed to take place in the public square, where every citizen can participate, rather than in government office buildings where hand-picked and unaccountable partisan committees are insulated from public supervision and criticism.

[Read the rest of the letter here.](#) [Screenshot below, Global Research edit]



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA
Office of the Attorney General

Jason S. Miyares
Attorney General

May 5, 2022

202 North Ninth Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 786-2071
Fax (804) 786-1991
Virginia Relay Services
800-828-1120
7-1-1

Hon. Alejandro Mayorkas
Secretary of Homeland Security
Washington, D.C. 20528

Via Email and U.S. Mail

Re: DHS's Disinformation Governance Board Must Be Disbanded.

Dear Secretary Mayorkas:

As the chief legal officers of our respective States, we the undersigned Attorneys General are tasked not just with enforcing the laws, but with protecting the constitutional rights of all our citizens. Today we write you to insist that you immediately cease taking action that appears designed exclusively for the purpose of suppressing the exercise of constitutional rights.

Every American knows that the Constitution forbids the government to "abridg[e] the freedom of speech." U.S. Const. Amend. I. As Justice Robert Jackson wrote nearly eighty years ago, "[i]f there is any fixed star in our constitutional constellation, it is that no official, high or petty, can prescribe what shall be orthodox in politics, nationalism, religion, or other matters of opinion." *West Virginia State Bd. of Educ. v. Barnette*, 319 U.S. 624, 642 (1943). Your recent testimony before the U.S. House Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security,¹ however, indicated that the Department of Homeland Security, under your leadership, is doing exactly that: prescribing orthodoxy by slapping a federal-government label of "disinformation" or "misinformation" on speech that government bureaucrats, operating behind closed doors, decree to be improper. This is an unacceptable and downright alarming encroachment on every citizen's right to express his or her opinions, engage in political debate, and disagree with the government. The Biden Administration's

¹ *Fiscal Year 2023 Budget Request for the Department of Homeland Security: Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Homeland Security of the H. Appropriations Comm.*, 117th Cong. (Apr. 27, 2022), <https://tinyurl.com/2p96f7pt>.

latest effort to decide what speech is “acceptable” and “orthodox” combines McCarthyite speech policing with the secrecy of the English Star Chamber. In short, you seem to have misunderstood George Orwell: the “Ministry of Truth” described in *1984* was intended as a warning against the dangers of socialism, not as a model government agency. “MiniTru” and its thuggish apparatchiks are the villains in that story, not the heroes. For the sake of our democracy, you must immediately disband the “Disinformation Governance Board” and cease all efforts to police Americans’ protected speech.

The existence of the Disinformation Governance Board will inevitably have a chilling effect on free speech. Americans will hesitate before they voice their constitutionally protected opinions, knowing that the government’s censors may be watching, and some will decide it is safer to keep their opinions to themselves. The resulting damage to our political system and our culture will be incalculable: as a democracy, our political debates and decisions are supposed to take place in the public square, where every citizen can participate, rather than in government office buildings where hand-picked and unaccountable partisan committees are insulated from public supervision and criticism.

The timing of the Disinformation Governance Board’s creation is also highly suspect. For years, many have defended Twitter’s open censorship of voices hostile to the elite consensus by arguing that private companies enjoy the right to determine what is said on their platforms. This argument only works, however, if those private companies are not censoring on the government’s behalf. By its own admission, the Biden Administration has been “flagging problematic posts” on social media and coordinating with the private sector to regulate Americans’ free speech.² The President of the United States publicly urged social media companies to police “misinformation and disinformation” on their platforms.³ Suddenly, just as Elon Musk prepares to acquire Twitter with the stated purpose of correcting the platform’s censorship of free speech, you announce the creation of the Disinformation Governance Board. As the Biden Administration apparently loses a critical ally in its campaign to suppress speech it deems “problematic,” you have created a new government body to continue that work within the federal government. The contemporaneous occurrence of these two events is hard to explain away as mere coincidence. It instead raises serious questions about the extent of the Biden Administration’s practice of coordinating with private-sector companies to suppress disfavored speech.

² Press Briefing by Press Secretary Jen Psaki and Surgeon General Dr. Vivek H. Murthy, July 15, 2021, The White House (July 15, 2021), <https://tinyurl.com/bdsc4jhh> (“[W]e are in regular touch with these social media platforms, and those engagements typically happen through members of our senior staff . . .”).

³ Remarks by President Biden at Virtual Meeting on Military Deployments Supporting Hospitals for the COVID-19 Response, The White House (January 13, 2022), <https://tinyurl.com/45ezsejt> (“I make a special appeal to social media companies and media outlets: Please deal with the misinformation and disinformation that’s on your shows. It has to stop.”).

Virginia, like many other States, is preparing to conduct federal, state, and local elections beginning with early primary voting this week. Virginians are evaluating candidates and their platforms, trying to persuade their friends and neighbors, and debating the merits of innumerable political and moral questions, from international conflicts to local disputes. And just like always, the public conversation will include disputes over facts, and potentially even intentional misstatements of fact. But as Justice Brandeis explained long ago, “the remedy to be applied is more speech, not enforced silence.” *Whitney v. California*, 274 U.S. 357, 377 (1927) (Brandeis, J., concurring). Your censorship will not drown out misinformation; it will suppress the lawful speech of well-meaning but unpopular speakers, and it will turn bad actors into martyrs with far more influence than if you simply allowed “more speech” to combat their alleged misinformation.

No statutory authority exists to support your creation of a board of government censors. Although Congress has considered a variety of measures to address the perceived dangers of “disinformation” in the United States, none has passed.⁴ Instead, while the people’s elected representatives continue to debate this issue, you have arrogated to yourself the power to address it without congressional authorization, despite the far-reaching effects of the Disinformation Governance Board on Americans and our political process. “It is axiomatic that an administrative agency’s power to promulgate legislative regulations is limited to the authority delegated by Congress.” *Bowen v. Georgetown Univ. Hosp.*, 488 U.S. 204, 208 (1988). By flouting that essential check on the power of the Executive Branch, you undermine the separation of powers and dramatically overstep your authority and the jurisdiction of your agency.

There is perhaps no clearer illustration of the folly of the Disinformation Governance Board than the consistently poor judgment of the person you have made its executive director, Nina Jankowicz.⁵ Ms. Jankowicz—the self-styled “Mary Poppins of disinformation”⁶—is like so many who complain about misinformation and disinformation: she is often in error but never in doubt. She has previously suggested news that Hunter Biden’s laptop had been compromised was the product of a foreign disinformation campaign.⁷ She asserted that the theory tracing COVID-19 to a lab

⁴ See, e.g., COVID-19 Disinformation Research and Reporting Act of 2021, S. 913, 117th Cong. (2021); A Bill To Amend the Communications Act of 1934, S. 2448, 117th Cong. (2021).

⁵ Nina Jankowicz, The Wilson Center (last accessed May 1, 2022), <https://tinyurl.com/4xc7evwh>.

⁶ Nina Jankowicz (@wiczipedia), Twitter (Feb. 17, 2021, 4:35 PM), <https://tinyurl.com/5amkbaa9>.

⁷ See, e.g., Nina Jankowicz (@wiczipedia), Twitter (Oct. 22, 2020, 8:15 AM), <https://tinyurl.com/bddj7t5e>; Nina Jankowicz (@wiczipedia), Twitter (Oct. 22, 2020, 10:18 PM), <https://tinyurl.com/53yfyzym>. But see Katie Benner, Kenneth P. Vogel, Michael S. Schmidt, *Hunter Biden Paid Tax Bill, but Broad Federal Investigation Continues*, N.Y. Times (Mar. 16, 2022), <https://tinyurl.com/yn6eptyt> (describing emails obtained from the laptop as “authenticated”).

leak in China was invented because it was “politically convenient for Trump,”⁸ although the intelligence community now assesses that this theory may very well be correct.⁹ She has even praised Christopher Steele, the discredited author of the infamous false “dossier” about President Trump, and one of the most notable peddlers of disinformation in recent memory.¹⁰ It would be amusing that a self-proclaimed expert in disinformation like Ms. Jankowicz has proven to be so bad at identifying it, except that you have placed her in charge of doing so on behalf of the United States government.¹¹ It is also extremely troubling that Ms. Jankowicz has described parents in Loudoun County, Virginia who object to the indoctrination of their children with Critical Race Theory as “disinformers” engaged in “disinformation for profit.”¹²

The Disinformation Governance Board, by its very existence, and almost certainly by design, threatens to “enforce silence” when Americans wish to express views disfavored by the Administration. It is therefore *already* chilling free speech and impeding the political process in Virginia and every other State. This is unconstitutional, illegal, and un-American. Unless you turn back now and disband this Orwellian Disinformation Governance Board immediately, the undersigned will have no choice but to consider judicial remedies to protect the rights of their citizens.

Sincerely,



Jason S. Miyares
Attorney General of Virginia

⁸ Ryan Broderick, *Scientists Haven't Found Proof the Coronavirus Escaped from a Lab in Wuhan. Trump Supporters Are Spreading the Rumor Anyway.*, BuzzFeed News (April 22, 2020), <https://tinyurl.com/ytfmthpe>.

⁹ Michael R. Gordon, Warren P. Strobel, Drew Hinshaw, *Intelligence on Sick Staff at Wuhan Lab Fuels Debate on Covid-19 Origin*, Wall Street Journal (May 23, 2021), <https://tinyurl.com/ytesmtbd>.

¹⁰ Nina Jankowicz (@wicipedia), Twitter (Aug. 7, 2020, 7:06 AM), <https://tinyurl.com/yc3asr89>; Marshall Cohen, *The Steele dossier: A Reckoning*, CNN (Nov. 18, 2021), <https://tinyurl.com/3wwmyhet>.

¹¹ See also Nina Jankowicz (@wicipedia), Twitter (Apr. 25, 2022, 1:29 PM), <https://tinyurl.com/3r93he5p> (“I shudder to think about if free speech absolutists were taking over more platforms . . .”).

¹² Harriett Alexander, *Biden's New Disinformation Czar Said Fears About CRT in Loudoun County Schools Are "Disinformation For Profit"—Despite Entire School Board Now Facing Being Voted Out Over Woke "Equity" Seminars For Teachers*, Daily Mail Online (May 5, 2022), <https://tinyurl.com/2p9yw7ur>.

Steve Marshall
Attorney General
State of Alabama

Mark Brnovich
Attorney General
State of Arizona

Leslie Rutledge
Attorney General
State of Arkansas

Ashley Moody
Attorney General
State of Florida

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
State of Georgia

Todd Rokita
Attorney General
State of Indiana

Derek Schmidt
Attorney General
Commonwealth of Kansas


Daniel Cameron
Attorney General
State of Kentucky

Jeff Landry
Attorney General
State of Louisiana

Lynn Fitch
Attorney General
State of Mississippi

Eric Schmitt
Attorney General
State of Missouri


Austin Knudsen
Attorney General
State of Montana




Douglas J. Peterson
Attorney General
State of Nebraska



Dave Yost
Attorney General
State of Ohio



John M. O'Connor
Attorney General
State of Oklahoma



Alan Wilson
Attorney General
State of South Carolina



Ken Paxton
Attorney General
State of Texas



Sean D. Reyes
Attorney General
State of Utah



Patrick Morrissey
Attorney General
State of West Virginia

CC: Hon. Joseph R. Biden Jr., President of the United States
Ms. Nina Jankowicz, Executive Director, Disinformation Governance Board
Hon. Charles E. Schumer, Majority Leader, United States Senate
Hon. Mitch McConnell, Republican Leader, United States Senate
Hon. Nancy Pelosi, Speaker of the United States House of Representatives
Hon. Kevin McCarthy, Minority Leader, United States House of Representatives

The letter goes on to question the timing of the new Ministry of Truth (just as Elon Musk completes the purchase of Twitter with the stated goal of restoring free speech on the platform). It also calls into question the dubious qualifications of head honcho, Nina Jancowiz, who AG Miyares describes as “often in error but never in doubt.”

(Want to learn how to starve the beast? Check out our free [QUICKSTART Guide](#).)

How will the AGs enforce this?

In a firmly worded promise, Miyares concludes:

Unless you turn back now and disband this Orwellian Disinformation Governance Board immediately, the undersigned will have no choice but to consider judicial remedies to protect the rights of their citizens.

We sincerely hope this puts a halt to the censorship efforts of the Biden administration.

Who signed the letter?

The letter was signed by the attorneys general of 20 states. We hope that other states follow in their footsteps to protect this vital constitutional right. ([Contact your state's AG and let them know you support them or want them to get on board, too!](#))

The following AGs signed the letter.

1. Jason S. Miyares, Virginia (the author of the letter)
2. Steve Marshall, Alabama
3. Mark Brnovich, Arizona
4. Leslie Rutledge, Arkansas
5. Ashley Moody, Florida
6. Christopher M. Carr, Georgia
7. Todd Rokita, Indiana
8. Derek Schmidt, Kansas
9. Daniel Cameron, Kentucky
10. Jeff Landry, Louisiana
11. Lynn Fitch, Mississippi
12. Eric Schmitt, Missouri
13. Austin Knudson, Montana
14. Douglas J. Peterson, Nebraska
15. David Yost, Ohio
16. John M. O'Connor, Oklahoma
17. Alan Wilson, South Carolina
18. Ken Paxton, Texas
19. Sean D. Reyes, Utah
20. Patrick Morrisey, West Virginia

What do you think?

What do you think about the letter? Do you feel it will gain traction with the DHS and the current administration? Do you think more states will get on board? How can we support our AGs who are standing up for our constitutional rights?

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Daisy Luther is a coffee-swigging, adventure-seeking, globe-trotting blogger. She is the founder and publisher of three websites. 1) [The Organic Prepper](#), which is about current events, preparedness, self-reliance, and the pursuit of liberty; 2) [The Frugalite](#), a website with thrifty tips and solutions to help people get a handle on their personal finances without feeling deprived; and 3) [PreppersDailyNews.com](#), an aggregate site where you can find links to all the most important news for those who wish to be prepared. Her work is widely republished across alternative media and she has appeared in many interviews.

Featured image is from The Organic Prepper

The original source of this article is [The Organic Prepper](#)
Copyright © [Daisy Luther](#), [The Organic Prepper](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Daisy Luther](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca