

The Uyghur Militant Threat: China Cracks Down and Mulls Policy Changes

By James M. Dorsey Global Research, August 13, 2018 Region: <u>Asia</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Police State & Civil</u> <u>Rights</u>

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above

GR Editor's Note

We bring to your attention this incisive analysis by Prof James Dorsey.

It should be noted that historically the Uyghur affiliates of Al Qaeda have been covertly supported by U.S. Saudi Arabia and Pakistan. Until recently, Pakistan's Inter-Services Intelligence (ISI) in liaison with the CIA has played a key role in channeling support to jihadist groups in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous region (XUAR.

XUAR has a narrow border with Afghanistan (see map below). Support out of Pakistan, however has in large part been cut off as a result of the development of Pakistan's bilateral relationship with China.

(M. Ch., Global Research, August 13, 2018)

China, responding to United Nations criticism, academic and media reports, and an embarrassing court case in Kazakhstan, has come closer to admitting that it has brutally cracked down on the strategic north-western province of Xinjiang in what it asserts is a bid to prevent the kind of mayhem that has wracked countries like Syria and Libya.

The Chinese Communist Party's Global Times charged in its Chinese and English editions that the <u>criticism and reports were aimed at stirring trouble and destroying hard-earned</u> <u>stability in Xinjiang</u>, China's gateway to Central Asia and home to its Turkic Uyghur and ethnic minority Central Asian Muslim communities.



The crackdown, involving introduction of the world's most intrusive surveillance state and the indefinite internment of large numbers of Muslims in re-education camps, is designed to quell potential Uyghur nationalist and religious sentiment and prevent blowback from militants moving to Central Asia's borders with China after the Islamic State and other jihadist groups lost most of their territorial base in Iraq and Syria.

Concern that national and religious sentiment and/or militancy could challenge China's grip on Xinjiang, <u>home to 15 percent of its proven oil reserves</u>, <u>22 per cent of its gas reserves</u>, <u>and 115 of the 147 raw materials found in the People's Republic</u> as well as part of its nuclear arsenal, has prompted Beijing to consider a more interventionist policy in the Middle East and Central and South Asia in contradiction to its principle of non-interference in the affairs of others.

The Global Times asserted that the security situation in Xinjiang had been "turned around and terror threats spreading from there to other provinces of China are also being eliminated. Peaceful and stable life has been witnessed again in all of Xinjiang... Xinjiang has been salvaged from the verge of massive turmoil. It has avoided the fate of becoming 'China's Syria' or 'China's Libya,'" the paper said.

Five Chinese mining engineers were wounded last week in a suicide attack in the troubled Pakistan province of Balochistan, a key node in the US\$ 50 billion plus China Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) intended to link the strategic port of Gwadar with Xinjiang and fuel economic development in the Chinese region. The attack was claimed by the Balochistan Liberation Army (BLA) rather than Uyghurs.

The Global Times admitted that the Chinese effort to ensure security had "come at a price that is being shouldered by people of all ethnicities in Xinjiang."

China has not acknowledged the existence of re-education camps but the U.N. Committee

on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination said last week that it had credible reports that one million Uyghurs, were being held in what resembled a "massive internment camp that is shrouded in secrecy."

The UN assertion of the existence of the camps is corroborated by <u>academic research</u> and <u>media reports</u> based on interviews with former camp inmates and relatives of prisoners, <u>testimony to a US Congressional committee</u>, and <u>recent testimony in a Kazakh court</u> by a former employee in one of the camps.

Writing in The Wall Street Journal, US Republican **Senator Marco Rubio**, the chair of the congressional committee, called for the sanctioning of Xinjiang Communist Party Secretary and Politburo member **Chen Quanguo** and "all government officials and business entities assisting the mass detentions and surveillance". He also demanded that Chinese security agencies be added "to a restricted end-user list to ensure that American companies don't aid Chinese human-rights abuses."

Stymying the international criticism and demands for action before they gain further momentum is imperative if China wants to ensure that the Muslim world continues to remain silent about what amounts to a Chinese effort, partly through indoctrination in its reeducation camps, to encourage the emergence of what it would call an Islam with Chinese characteristics. China is <u>pushing other faiths</u> to adopt a similar approach.

Concern that Uighur militants exiting Syria and Iraq will again target Xinjiang is likely one reason why Chinese officials suggested that despite their adherence to the principle of non-interference in the affairs of others <u>China might join the Syrian army in taking on militants</u> in the northern Syrian province of Idlib.

Syrian forces have bombarded Idlib, a dumping ground for militants evacuated from other parts of the country captured by the Syrian military and the country's last major rebel stronghold, in advance of an expected offensive.

Speaking to Syrian pro-government daily Al-Watan, China's ambassador to Syria, **Qi Qianjin**, said that

China was 'following the situation in Syria, in particular after the victory in southern (Syria), and its military is willing to participate in some way alongside the Syrian army that is fighting the terrorists in Idlib and in any other part of Syria."

Chinese participation in a campaign in Idlib would be China's first major engagement in foreign battle in decades.

China has similarly sought to mediate a reduction of tension between Pakistan and Afghanistan in an effort to get them to cooperate in the fight against militants and ensure that Uyghur jihadists are denied the ability to operate on China's borders. It has also sought to facilitate peace talks between the Afghan government and the Taliban.

Chinese officials told a recent gathering in Beijing of the Afghan-Pakistan-China Trilateral Counter-Terrorism dialogue that militant cross-border mobility represented a major threat that needed to be countered by an integrated regional approach. Potentially, there's a significant economic upside to facilitating regional cooperation in South Asia and military intervention in Syria. Post-conflict, both countries offer enormous reconstruction opportunities.

Said Middle East scholar **Randa Slim** discussing possible Chinese involvement in the clearing of Idlib:

"You have to think about this in terms of the larger negotiations over Chinese assistance to reconstruction. <u>Syria doesn't have the money</u>, <u>Russia doesn't have the money</u>. China has a stake in the fighting." It also has the money.

*

This article was originally published on the author's blog site: <u>The Turbulent World of the</u> <u>Middle East Soccer</u>.

Dr. James M. Dorsey is a senior fellow at the S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies, co-director of the University of Würzburg's Institute for Fan Culture, and co-host of the <u>New Books in Middle Eastern Studies</u> podcast. James is the author of <u>The Turbulent</u> <u>World of Middle East Soccer</u> blog, a <u>book</u> with the same title and a co-authored volume, <u>Comparative Political Transitions between Southeast Asia and the Middle East and</u> <u>North Africa</u> as well as <u>Shifting Sands, Essays on Sports and Politics in the Middle East and</u> <u>North Africa</u> and just published <u>China and the Middle East: Venturing into the Maelstrom</u>

Featured image is from the author.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © James M. Dorsey, Global Research, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: James M. Dorsey

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca