

## The Unimaginable: Canada, Missile Defence and Nuclear War

By Judith Deutsch

Global Research, October 27, 2017

**Socialist Project** 

Region: <u>Canada</u> Theme: <u>Militarization and WMD</u>

**The Invictus Games**, attended by **Prince Harry** and many celebrities in Toronto last month, overshadowed another big military story: a September 19 report in the Toronto Star that **Prime Minister Justin Trudeau** has "cracked open the door to joining the U.S. ballistic missile defence program, [and] reversing Canada's long-standing opposition in the face of North Korea's new capabilities to strike North America."

In view of past public opposition to missile defence, the "crack" in the door may just be a feeler to measure public reaction, as the Trudeau government, which has promised additional billions for the military, pursues a "hard power" foreign policy. But the idea of missile defence is deceptive.

Missile defence technology, which emerged after the Korean War, was initially envisioned to intercept intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBM) carrying nuclear weapons. ICBMs can travel at enormous speeds, so missile defence is most effective if it intercepts missiles just after launch or when they re-enter the atmosphere at lower speed.

In the past, the fear of "mutually assured destruction" (MAD) through a retaliatory attack served as a deterrence. However, eliminating the means for nuclear retaliation through missile defence could actually provide an inducement to attack with nuclear weapons.

## Increase the Likelihood of Nuclear War?

<u>Theodore Postol</u>, professor emeritus of science, technology and international security at MIT, says that missile defence increases the likelihood of nuclear war by contributing to the American military's dangerous belief that a nuclear war is winnable, and that nuclear weapons can be used like conventional weapons.

Scarier still says Postol is that superior U.S. technology in the form of missile defence would force opposing nuclear weapon states to decide within minutes, with insufficient information, whether there are incoming missiles and whether to launch a counterattack.

Under these circumstances there is a high potential for <u>accidental nuclear war, says author</u> **Eric Schlosser**, who has written about the fragility and illusion of safety in his book *Command And Control: Nuclear Weapons, The Damascus Accident, And The Illusion Of Safety*.

The *Star* article states that there is "long-standing opposition" to missile defence in Canada, but Mel Hurtig's 2004 book, *Rushing To Armageddon: The Shocking Truth About Canada*,

Missile Defence, And Star Wars, extensively quotes former Prime Minister Paul Martin and Minister of Foreign Affairs Bill Graham supporting missile defence.

In the last months of his presidency, **Barack Obama** refused to take nuclear weapons off hair-trigger alert. This means that within as little as six minutes, **Donald Trump** can launch a nuclear strike on North Korea, with weapons deployed from submarines surrounding the Korean peninsula.

The threat to use nuclear weapons, as Trump did in his speech at the United Nations recently, is a violation of the Non-Proliferation Treaty, according to the advisory opinion of International Court of Justice. Intercepting missiles in space also violates the Outer Space Treaty, which was ratified 50 years ago to maintain space as a global commons for peaceful purposes.

It is a dangerous time. Canadians must challenge Canada's willing participation in this madness.

**Judith Deutsch** is a columnist for Canadian Dimension magazine, former president of Science for Peace, and a psychoanalyst by profession. She can be reached at <u>judithdeutsch0@gmail.com</u>.

Featured image is from the author.

The original source of this article is <u>Socialist Project</u> Copyright © <u>Judith Deutsch</u>, <u>Socialist Project</u>, 2017

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Judith Deutsch

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>