

The U.S. Elections and the Aftermath: Will Hillary Clinton be Indicted? Will Trump Start a News Network Channel?

By [Timothy Alexander Guzman](#)

Global Research, November 03, 2016

[Silent Crow News](#) 2 November 2016

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

In-depth Report: [U.S. Elections](#)

As the U.S. elections come to close, the newly-elected President of the United States will run one of the most dangerous empires in human history. Regardless of whatever comes out of the FBI investigation concerning Hillary Clinton's 'Classified' email scandal, she will most likely not go to prison, at least anytime soon.

Clinton is backed by Wall Street bankers, media moguls, Oligarchs and other powerful special interests. The *Democratic National Convention* (DNC) and Hillary's political machine will steal the elections, one way or another. There are already cases of voter fraud in several states.

Forget Jill Stein of the Green Party (who is the best candidate by far) and Gary Johnson of the Libertarian Party. The American population and the world will watch the elections on November 8th, so who will win? That is not certain. But what is certain, the most reasonable candidate (Jill Stein) does not have a chance and forget about Gary Johnson who is obviously clueless about world events when he was asked on the mainstream-media (MSM) about the situation in Syria concerning Aleppo and he responded with *"What is Aleppo?". He's finished.* Jill Stein is the anti-war, anti-establishment candidate since former congressman from Texas, Ron Paul who stood for peace, economic fairness and freedom. At this point, I will not go further into Stein's struggling campaign; unfortunately she has lost the election. In a corporate controlled two-party system, Stein or Johnson never had a chance.



USA Today published a story on Oct 23, 2016 titled *'Iran leader blasts Trump, Clinton, says candidates are 'bad' and 'worse'* on what Iran's President said about both U.S candidates *"The choice between Hillary Clinton and Donald Trump is one between "bad or worse," Iranian President Hassan Rouhani said during a rally Sunday in Iran."* I agree with Rouhani's assessment.

The U.S. elections with the two most despised candidates in history are almost coming to an end (Finally!). The battle between Hillary Clinton, the Wall Street backed-Neoconservative warmonger who is one of the most corrupt politicians in modern times vs. Donald Trump, the narcissist and semi-fascistic businessman turned- politician will soon be over. Trump does have some good domestic policies such as rewriting current free trade agreements that have proved disastrous for the U.S. Canadian and Mexican economies, lowering taxes for individuals, small businesses and corporations and the elimination of Obamacare which is costly for the average working family. One of his foreign policies is to make peace with

Russia to fight U.S. backed terrorists. However, Donald Trump has absolutely bad policies on several other issues.

First, Trump wants to strengthen the American Military which means the budget for defense spending will either stay intact or increase, so the Military-Industrial Complex remains. Trump fully supports Israel; in fact he loves Israel. It's all about protecting Israel's policies in the Middle East whether it's against the Palestinians or the Iranians (who Trump disagrees with the Iran-Nuclear Deal and says "it was a bad deal") because he is a business man who knows how to make good deals, right? At Trump's *American Israel Public Affairs Committee* (AIPAC) speech earlier this year, he made it clear that protecting Israel will be his priority in the Middle East:

I have been in business a long time. I know deal-making. And let me tell you, this deal is catastrophic for America, for Israel and for the whole of the Middle East. The problem here is fundamental. We've rewarded the world's leading state sponsor of terror with \$150 billion, and we received absolutely nothing in return. I've studied this issue in great detail, I would say actually greater by far than anybody else. Believe me. Oh, believe me. And it's a bad deal.

The biggest concern with the deal is not necessarily that Iran is going to violate it because already, you know, as you know, it has, the bigger problem is that they can keep the terms and still get the bomb by simply running out the clock. And of course, they'll keep the billions and billions of dollars that we so stupidly and foolishly gave them.

The deal doesn't even require Iran to dismantle its military nuclear capability. Yes, it places limits on its military nuclear program for only a certain number of years, but when those restrictions expire, Iran will have an industrial-sized, military nuclear capability ready to go and with zero provision for delay, no matter how bad Iran's behavior is. Terrible, terrible situation that we are all placed in and especially Israel. When I'm president, I will adopt a strategy that focuses on three things when it comes to Iran. First, we will stand up to Iran's aggressive push to destabilize and dominate the region

What kind of relationship would Trump have with the Iranian government? It is also fair to question Trump on what kind of relationship he would also have with leaders of Latin America nations who are not subservient to Washington, in particular Cuba and Venezuela. Will Trump follow Washington's long-standing policy of "*regime change*" in Latin America? According to the *Telesur* news network:

U.S. Republican candidate Donald Trump, who has been labeled a fascist and a racist due to oppressive and draconian proposed policies, has said if he wins the presidential election he would support opposition groups in Cuba and Venezuela against what he called the "oppression of the Castro and Maduro regimes."

"With a victory in November everything will change, that change includes standing in solidarity with the suffering of the people of Cuba and Venezuela against the oppression of the Castro and Maduro regimes," Trump said referring to Cuban President Raul Castro and Venezuelan President Nicolas Maduro

Trump also supports a nationwide "*Stop and Frisk*" policy to supposedly lower the crime rates in urban cities. It reminds me of Nazi Germany's "Papers Please!" As bad as Trump is,

Hillary Clinton is worst. She can raise tensions with China that can lead to a possible war (read 'America's Pacific Century' by Hillary Clinton published by Foreign Policy Magazine). She is a supporter of Obama's "Pivot to Asia" that is primarily based on trade deals with China's neighbors in the Asia-Pacific region which expands U.S. military strategic alliances to encircle China and Russia. Hillary will also raise tensions with Russia that can lead to a nuclear war. Hillary Clinton also said "If I'm President, We Will Attack Iran... We would be Able to Totally Obliterate Them" (Read Stephen Lendman's 'Hillary Clinton: "If I'm President, We Will Attack Iran... We would be Able to Totally Obliterate Them" on Global Research). A possible attack on Iran will also be back on the table with a Clinton presidency.

Not only Hillary is a pro-war candidate, she is pro-Wall Street (Goldman Sachs fully supports her candidacy), pro-GMO, pro-Big Pharma, pro-brainwashing in the U.S. public schools and pro-Israel. Clinton has a close relationship with the oppressive Gulf State monarchies (Saudi Arabia, Qatar, etc.) and she is for open borders which follows the principals of the New World Order.

Clinton is the establishment's choice, the elections will be rigged and Donald Trump knows it. The elections are in the bag for Clinton. Of course that can change, anything is possible including a Trump victory but it seems that it is an unlikely scenario so far. The establishment hates Trump because he is not one of them, he does not have the "blue blood". Clinton is the chosen candidate. But with that said, it will not be the end of the line for Donald Trump.

Coming soon, The Trump News Network?

Rumors have it that if Trump loses the election comes this November 8th; he will create a news network to battle the MSM he clearly despises. Trump loves the spotlight, it is obviously clear that Trump is narcissistic, he loves the publicity. It would be interesting if he decided to start a media empire that would rival CNN, ABC, NBC, CBS, The New York Times, The Washington Post, The Chicago Tribune, The Los Angeles Times and the BBC. The Atlantic followed up with the rumors with a story titled 'Donald J. Trump's Television Future' indicating that Trump is heading towards that direction:

But Trump's fame and the fanatical devotion of his fanbase are both an order of magnitude greater than Beck's, suggesting his celebrity could translate into millions of paid television subscribers. Even one million Trump TV subscribers paying \$150 a year (or about \$12 a month) for a television, radio, and website product would mean \$150 million in the first year. That would probably be enough to lure Hannity at a discount and other conservative fixtures like Laura Ingraham to Trump's stable. For premium subscriptions—say, \$200 or \$300 a year—Trump could promise free tickets to Trump national tours, discounts to Trump merchandise and hotels, and even dubious products like online real-estate lessons. After all, Trump was desperate to merchandise his likeness and surname before the election, and with a built-in audience of about 60 million voters and hundreds of thousands of national rally attendees, he is once again poised to capitalize on his name

Trump has been consistently demonized by the MSM on every level. In some cases, he has even been turned into a mockery on various American television shows. The media bias is relentless in their attacks against Trump, while the focus on Clinton has been minuscule. On August 15th, Breitbart.com published a story on Trump's campaign against the MSM:

Donald Trump is campaigning against the “dishonest and totally biased” media, asking supporters to fill out a survey about how they think the press has been covering Trump’s campaign.

“It’s time to hold the media accountable for trying to rig this election against us,” Trump’s email, which was sent out Monday morning, reads. “Please take the Mainstream Media Accountability Survey right now and help me spread the truth about our movement.”

The email continues: “All too often I’m asked about a “poll” put out by a liberal organization that says the American people disagree with our common-sense reforms to fix our country. Well...with your help today, the next time I’m being interviewed, I will have my own poll that shows that the American people disagree with the dishonest media!

The report also published Trump’s Twitter message criticizing the MSM for protecting Hillary Clinton *“I am not only fighting Crooked Hillary, I am fighting the dishonest and corrupt media and her government protection process. People get it!”* His words say it all. If he loses the election, he can possibly start a new media empire that would rival the MSM. Would I agree with most of the content on the Trump news network? I will say, most likely not. I might agree on a few things, but definitely not everything. It will be interesting to see what his media empire would consist of. One thing is for sure, it would keep the MSM up at night. If Donald Trump were to develop a news media channel and a website to fight the MSM lies, it would be another nail in the coffin for news media outlets like CNN or what Trump himself calls the Clinton News Network.

If Trump loses, he still wins. If he decided to create his own news network, it will go head to head with the MSM. Now that might be something worth watching since Trump is a popular figure, whether you love him or hate him, the people will be curious to see what he says or what he does against the MSM, and that will bring him the viewership he needs. If Hillary Clinton loses the election, a Trump presidency would allow Justice Department to press charges and attempt to incarcerate Hillary for the crimes she had committed regarding the 30,000 deleted emails. Will Trump keep that promise? We don’t know, because after all he is *“unpredictable.”*

The original source of this article is [Silent Crow News](#)

Copyright © [Timothy Alexander Guzman](#), [Silent Crow News](#), 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Timothy Alexander Guzman](#)

About the author:

Timothy Alexander Guzman is an independent researcher and writer with a focus on political, economic, media and historical spheres. He has been published in Global Research, The Progressive Mind,

European Union Examiner, News Beacon Ireland, WhatReallyHappened.com, EIN News and a number of other alternative news sites. He is a graduate of Hunter College in New York City.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca