

The State of the Union address: “A remarkable collection of pro-business nostrums, militarist saber-rattling, and outright lies”

By [Patrick Martin](#) and [Joseph Kishore](#)

Global Research, January 26, 2012

[World Socialist Web Site](#) 26 January 2012

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Poverty & Social Inequality](#)

Even by debased standards of the annual State of the Union address by the US president, Obama’s speech on Tuesday night was a remarkable collection of pro-business nostrums, militarist saber-rattling, and outright lies.

The media, both right-wing and “left,” has sought to present the speech as a populist appeal to working people, and a sharp shift in the tone of the administration. It was nothing of the sort.

The administration’s goal, as explained in Obama’s speech, is to achieve a deep and permanent cut in the living standards of the working class. In the face of mass social misery, Obama called for a minor measure—a jobs training program for the unemployed—along with a pro-corporate agenda of deregulation, education “reform,” and cuts to social programs. For the population of the world, he held out the prospect of more wars, citing the possibility of war with Iran and praising US drone bombings in Africa and the Middle East.

One year ago, in his last State of the Union address, Obama claimed to have “broken the back of the recession.” He cited as proof the fact that “the stock market has come roaring back [and] corporate profits are up”—that is, that the financial speculators who created the crisis had been made whole.

This year, he hailed the return to the profitability of US auto companies. “What’s happening in Detroit can happen in other industries,” he declared. “It can happen in Cleveland and Pittsburgh and Raleigh.”

In holding up a city with a real unemployment rate of 50 percent as a model, Obama demonstrated the political establishment’s contempt and indifference to the conditions of life facing millions of people.

The supposed “success” of the auto industry hailed by Obama was achieved entirely at the expense of the working class. Wages for new hires have been halved and benefits slashed. The number of jobs added over the past year is a tiny fraction of those destroyed during the court-supervised bankruptcy of GM and Chrysler, under the guidance of the administration.

Obama’s remarks follow the issuance of a report last week by the President’s Council on Jobs and Competitiveness, headed by General Electric CEO Jeffrey Immelt, calling for cutting taxes on business, reducing regulations, and other measures to reduce costs for American

companies. The jobs report blames the 2008 crash on “too much consumption and too little production.” It goes without saying that the consumption that corporate America is targeting for reduction is that of working people.

As for Obama’s advocacy of the so-called “Buffet rule”—a proposal to tax investment and wage income at the same rate, which was held up as the main example of Obama’s supposed populist turn—it was actually a minor component of his remarks.

The rule will not be implemented by the Obama administration, which is committed to defending all the privileges of the American financial aristocracy; even if it were, however, this would not reverse growing social inequality. It would actually be compatible with a cut in the top income tax rate to 30 percent.

Moreover, Obama again made clear that whatever tax change is made, it would be part of a bipartisan deal to be enacted after the election, which would include cuts in corporate taxes along with new attacks on key social programs, including Medicare, Medicaid and Social Security.

Obama’s State of the Union address highlights once again the essential unanimity within the political establishment over the most important aspects of policy. The differences between Obama and his Republican opponents are not over whether, but how to slash the jobs and living standards of American workers.

The Democrats and Republicans also differ over the role of the unions, with the Democrats placing greater reliance on the services of union executives to suppress working class opposition.

AFL-CIO President Richard Trumka hailed Obama’s State of the Union address, praising its “commitment to American manufacturing, made possible in part by enhanced enforcement of trade laws being violated by China...” United Auto Workers President Bob King’s statement declared: “The recovery of the American automotive industry is one of our country’s greatest economic success stories.” The statement endorsed Obama’s proposals to “level the global playing field for US manufacturers.”

This pat phrase has a deeply sinister and reactionary content. To “level the global playing field” means creating in the United States the same super-exploitative conditions that American manufacturers enjoy in China, India, Mexico or elsewhere—where workers toil for low wages, without benefits or health and safety protection, and frequently under the gun of police-state regimes.

Working people in America, no less than their class brothers and sisters around the world, will resist this program of wage-cutting and intensified exploitation. The coming year will be one of explosive class battles. While it has nothing to offer workers, the ruling class is deeply worried about the growth of opposition to an agenda that it is absolutely determined to carry out.

It is within this context that one must interpret Obama’s obsequious praise for the military, with which he began and ended his remarks. He hailed the performance of the Navy SEALs in killing Osama bin Laden, and held up the military as the model for American society going forward.

Having built up the infrastructure of a police state over years of the “war on terror” and

attacks on basic democratic rights, the financial aristocracy intends to use it for its most fundamental class purpose: defending its wealth and privileges against the American working class.

The working class will enter into struggle to defend its rights, but in doing so it will come into ever more direct conflict not only with Obama, but the entire corrupt political and economic system for which he speaks. The working class must break with the two-party system of big business, and build its own political party, based on a socialist program, and fighting for the abolition of capitalism.

The original source of this article is [World Socialist Web Site](#)

Copyright © [Patrick Martin](#) and [Joseph Kishore](#), [World Socialist Web Site](#), 2012

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Patrick Martin](#)
and [Joseph Kishore](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca