

The Skripal Affair is a Hoax. If Russia is “No Longer to Blame”, What Next?

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)
Global Research, April 05, 2018

Region: [Europe](#)
Theme: [Intelligence](#)

By now it is fairly well established that the Skripal Affair was a hoax, which has had tremendous repercussions, leading to a dramatic disruption of diplomatic relations with Moscow.

That was the political objective at the outset. But what happens now.

The British government has acknowledged that there is **no evidence that Russia was the source of the nerve gas agent**, while still sticking to the story that the “Kremlin was behind the attack”.

Experts unable to identify source of nerve agent but UK says it's Russia

By Kara Fox and Katie Poiglase, CNN
Updated 4:14 PM ET, Tue April 3, 2018



Source: CNN

Source: Kremlin likely approved poisoning 01:48

Advertisement

(CNN) — The British government repeated its claim that Russia was responsible for the Salisbury poisoning after UK scientists said they were unable to determine where the substance used to attack former Russian spy Sergei Skripal and his daughter Yulia was made.

“Smoking Gun”: The Issue is Not the Nerve Agent

The key issue, however, is not the nerve gas nor the source of the nerve agent. It pertains to Scotland Yard’s investigation which categorically refutes the official story. At the same time,

there is reason to question the validity of Scotland Yard's counter-terrorism report.

According to counter-terrorism officials and Scotland Yard, Peter Skripal and his daughter were poisoned **at his home in Salisbury** as a result of a **"Russian hit squad which put poison on Skripal's front door"**. According to Scotland Yard "The highest concentration of the nerve agent" was discovered on Skripal's front door. "Detective Sergeant Nick Bailey, who was also hospitalised, is thought to have become ill after going to the house" (Telegraph, March 28, 2018)

Russian hit squad put poison on Sergei Skripal's front door, police believe

[Telegraph, March 28, 2018](#)

The foregoing statements by Scotland Yard as well as the media coverage are contradictory.

Skripal could not under any circumstances have been poisoned by a dangerous nerve agent at his home.

Why?

Because the deadly nerve gas agent would have acted immediately and Skripal **would not have been able to go from his home (in his BMW or otherwise) to the shopping mall** where he was subsequently found (sitting on a bench) and taken to hospital together with his daughter Yulia.

"... experts believe that such gases can kill people within a few minutes. **[Skripal simply did not have time to walk to a restaurant or shopping center, where he was eventually found.](#)**"

See the incisive article by **Bassid al Khalili**: [U.K. is Lying: If Skripal was Poisoned at His Home, The Agent Used against Him Cannot be Nerve Gas](#) Global Research, April 4, 2018)

If Skripal and his daughter had been poisoned by a nerve agent (at his home), **he would have been found at his home rather than on a bench in the shopping mall. This in itself disqualifies the official reports.**

It also suggests that The Porton Down statement to the effect that "Russia was not the source of the nerve agent" is a "red herring" (totally irrelevant). Why. Because the evidence amply confirms that **Skripal and his daughter were not poisoned by a nerve gas at Skripal's home.**

This obvious fact -which has not been the object of media coverage- is that Scotland Yard's counterterrorism report on the "Russian hit squad" is not only fake, it invalidates the UK government's "official" narrative, which is also fake. **The lie discredits the lie.**

Lest we forget, this latest fake Scotland Yard counterterrorism report was preceded by a

string of “authoritative” (UK police, government) statements (analyzed and compiled by **Stephen Lendman**):

First it was claimed father and daughter Skripal were poisoned by a military-grade nerve agent **while eating lunch at a Salisbury restaurant.**

The narrative switched to Yulia unwittingly transporting **the nerve agent planted in her luggage** on her flight from Moscow to London.


The story then shifted to **Skripal’s BMW, the deadly toxin smeared on its handle, ...**

Next came the claim about **the nerve agent perhaps in aerosolized form affecting them through the vehicle’s ventilation system.**

The latest official version claims the alleged **nerve agent was smeared on the front door of Skripal’s home.**

If any of the above accounts were valid, the Skripals, Bailey and at least 38 reported others exposed to the same toxin would be dead - surely many others as well.

Yet a month later, no one died. Bailey recovered enough to be discharged from hospitalization. Yulia’s doctor said she improved markedly. Days earlier, Sergey was reported in stable condition.



[Bombshell Report: Yulia Skripal Says Her Father is “All Right”](#)

By **[Stephen Lendman](#)**, April 05, 2018

Russia was not the Source of the Nerve Agent: The Porton Down Statement. Boris Johnson and the Foreign Office

Her Majesty’s Foreign office is in crisis as a result of Porton Down’s statement to the effect that Russia was not the source of the nerve gas.

The justification for expelling Russian diplomats from a number of EU countries no longer holds? There is no proof that the nerve gas was from Russia.

Skripal poisoning: deleted Foreign Office tweet leads to awkward questions

Boris Johnson under pressure to explain whether position has changed after tweet blaming Moscow is deleted



▲ A police cordon in Salisbury, where the former Russian spy Sergei Skripal and his daughter, Yulia, were found critically ill on a bench on 4 March. Photograph: Adrian Dennis/AFP/Getty Images

Boris Johnson is facing embarrassing questions over his claims that Russia had produced the Salisbury nerve agent after it emerged that the Foreign Office had deleted a tweet blaming Moscow for the attack.

Will Boris Johnson be forced to resign?

“Russia is to blame” said Theresa May, without a shred of evidence. The UK government’s baseless accusations have led the expulsion of Russian diplomats by 20 countries (18 countries of the EU, plus Canada and the US). and Moscow has responded by expelling Western diplomats.

Now that the hoax has been fully revealed. What next?

Boris Johnson has been asked to explain.

A political upheaval in several European countries? Will diplomatic relations be normalized following these revelations? Unlikely unless there is a backlash from the EU governments which were deliberately misled by the U.K.

The minister president of Germany's most populous state has lambasted the UK for prematurely pinning the blame for the Skripal poisoning case on Russia, writing that you need "sound evidence" before asking allies for solidarity.

Armin Laschet, the leader of North Rhine-Westphalia and a deputy chairman of Angela Merkel's Christian Democratic Union (CDU), took to Twitter after the UK's Porton Down government laboratory announced on Tuesday that it could not link nerve agent samples it had collected to Russia.

"If you force almost all NATO countries to show solidarity, shouldn't you have sound evidence?" Laschet said. "You can think of Russia what you want, but I have learned a different way of dealing with states studying international law."



UK Foreign Secretary Boris Johnson previously said that scientists at Porton Down were "*categorical*" about the Russian origin of the nerve agent allegedly used in the March 4 attack against Sergei Skripal, a former double agent, and his daughter in Salisbury. As part of a coordinated show of solidarity with the UK over the attack, Germany announced last month that it would expel four Russian diplomats over Moscow's suspected involvement in the poisoning.

[Screenshot RT](#)

At the moment both the UK government and the media are in denial. The latest statement from Theresa May's office emphasizes that "[the UK government has] knowledge that within the last decade, Russia has investigated ways of delivering nerve agents probably for assassination..." (quoted by the Washington Post, April 4, 2018)

The Media's Double Standards in the Coverage of Important Events. The Skripal Novichock affair versus the Gaza Massacre

A sick Russian double agent and his daughter recovering in hospital, blamed on Vladimir Putin

Versus

14 innocent Palestinians killed and more than 750 wounded

The "Gaza Massacre" is not front page news. It does not make the tabloids. "Israel is not to Blame".

Yet these killings were ordered by the Netanyahu government.

Should these 20 Western countries not contemplate the timely expulsion of Israeli diplomats?

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), Global Research, 2018

Articles by: **Prof Michel Chossudovsky**

About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has undertaken field research in Latin America, Asia, the Middle East, sub-Saharan Africa and the Pacific and has written extensively on the economies of developing countries with a focus on poverty and social inequality. He has also undertaken research in Health Economics (UN Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), UNFPA, CIDA, WHO, Government of Venezuela, John Hopkins International Journal of Health Services (1979, 1983) He is the author of 13 books including The Globalization of Poverty and The New World Order (2003), America's "War on Terrorism" (2005), The Globalization of War, America's Long War against Humanity (2015). He is a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO's war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at crgeditor@yahoo.com

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca