

# The Real “Fake News” From Government Media

By [Scott Lazarowitz](#)

Global Research, August 03, 2018  
[Activist Post](#) 31 July 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above

[Facebook](#) has announced its campaign against “fake news.” But, according to some workers’ [own admission](#), conservatives are being censored.

And [Google](#) also wants to censor “fake news.” But [Google also was shown to treat](#) conservative websites, but not liberal ones, as “fake news.”

The same thing seems to be going on with [Twitter](#). And again, conservatives are [complaining](#).

But who is to decide what is “fake news”? Who will be Facebook and Google’s sources for *real* news?

In 2013 the U.S. Senate considered a new a shield law to protect journalists. In the lawmakers’ [attempts](#) to narrow the definition of a journalist, some Senators including **Sen. Dianne Feinstein** only wanted to include reporters with “professional qualifications.”

“Professional” publications such as the *New York Times*, the “Paper of Record,” would apparently be protected.

So one can conclude that the *New York Times* can be a source of “real” news for Facebook or Google, despite all the *Times*’ [errors](#), [screw-ups](#), and [corrections](#), right?

According to [one NYT former reporter](#), the *Times* has been a “propaganda megaphone” for war. Also a [partner with the CIA](#) to promote Obama’s reelection bid.

Or CNN, “The Most Trusted Name in News” which wins its own [“fake news” awards](#) with its [errors](#), [screw-ups](#) and corrections.

During the 2016 U.S. Presidential campaign, there were collusions between then-CNN contributor and DNC operative **Donna Brazile**, who was [outed](#) by WikiLeaks in her giving candidate **Hillary Clinton** questions in advance for a CNN Town Hall.

Other [emails](#) that were leaked to WikiLeaks informed us that reporters obediently followed instructions from the Hillary Clinton campaign on how to cover the campaign. These include reporters from the *New York Times* such as **Maggie Haberman** who said the campaign would “tee up stories for us,” and **Mark Leibovich**, who would email Clinton flunky **Jennifer Palmieri** for editing recommendations.

And Politico reporter **Glenn Thrush** [asked](#) Clinton campaign chairman **John Podesta** for

approval of stories on Clinton. Thrush was then [hired](#) by the *New York Times*. After Thrush was then suspended from *NYT* over allegations of sexual misconduct, the *Times* [ended](#) the suspension, stating that while Thrush had “acted offensively,” he would be *trained* to behave himself. Hmm.

But all this from the 2016 campaign reminded me of the “[JournoLists](#),” the group of news journalists who participated in a private forum online from 2007-2010. The forum was to enable news reporters to discuss news reporting and political issues in private and with candor, but also, it was revealed, to discuss ways to suppress negative news on then-2008 presidential candidate **Barack Obama**.

For instance, according to the [Daily Caller](#), some members of the group discussed their criticism of a 2008 debate in which Obama was questioned on his association with the controversial **Rev. Jeremiah Wright**. The *Nation*’s **Richard Kim** wrote that **George Stephanopoulos** was “being a disgusting little rat snake.” The *Guardian*’s **Michael Tomasky** wrote that “we all have to do what we can to kill ABC and this idiocy.”

**Spencer Ackerman**, then with the Washington Independent and now of the Daily Beast, [wrote](#),

“If the right forces us all to either defend Wright or tear him down, no matter what we choose, we lose the game they’ve put upon us. Instead, take one of them — Fred Barnes, Karl Rove, who cares — and call them racists.”

The *Nation*’s **Chris Hayes** wrote,

“Our country disappears people. It tortures people. It has the blood of as many as one million Iraqi civilians — men, women, children, the infirmed — on its hands. You’ll forgive me if I just can’t quite dredge up the requisite amount of outrage over Barack Obama’s pastor.”

(But has Hayes criticized [Obama’s assassination program](#), or [Obama’s bombings](#) or the [blood on Obama’s hands](#)? Just askin’)

In an open letter, according to the Daily Caller, several of the JournoList members called the ABC debate a “revolting descent into tabloid journalism,” because of the moderators’ legitimate questions on Rev. Jeremiah Wright.

So, in today’s *Bizarro World*, objectively questioning a candidate on a controversial issue is now “tabloid journalism,” but making things up like “Trump-Russia collusions” and repeating the propaganda over and over – that’s *not* “tabloid journalism.”

The JournoLists also included reporters from *Time*, the *Baltimore Sun*, the *New Republic*, Politico, and Huffington Post.

Now, are those the sources of “real news” that Facebook, Google and Twitter want to rely upon to combat “fake news”?

And who exactly were the “JournoLists” promoting? Obama?

Regarding Obama's own [crackdown](#) on actual journalism, Fox News reporter **James Rosen** was accused by the feds of being a "co-conspirator" with State Department leaker **Stephen Jin-Woo Kim** in violating the Espionage Act. Rosen's correspondences with Kim were [seized by Obama's FBI](#), along with Rosen's personal email and phone records. The FBI also used records to track Rosen's visits to the State Department.

Apparently, then-attorney general **Eric Holder** went "judge-shopping" to find a judge who would approve subpoenaing Rosen's private records, after two judges [rejected the request](#).

Commenting on James Rosen and the FBI's abuse of powers, **Judge Andrew Napolitano** [observed](#) that

"this is the first time that the federal government has moved to this level of taking ordinary, reasonable, traditional, lawful reporter skills and claiming they constitute criminal behavior."

And there was the Obama administration's going after then-CBS News investigative reporter **Sharyl Attkisson**, possibly for her reporting on [Benghazi](#) and [Fast and Furious](#). Attkisson finally [resigned](#) from CBS news out of frustration with the company's alleged pro-Obama bias and with CBS's apparently not airing her subsequent reports.

In 2013 CBS News [confirmed](#) that Attkisson's computers had been "accessed by an unauthorized, external, unknown party on multiple occasions." In 2015 Attkisson sued the Obama administration, [claiming](#) to have evidence which proves the computer intrusions were connected to the Obama DOJ.

In Attkisson's latest lawsuit [update](#), after her computer was returned to her following the DOJ Inspector General's investigation, her forensics team now believes her computer's hard drive was replaced by a different one.

Now back to "fake news."

After **Donald Trump** locked up the Republican Presidential nomination in May, 2016, there were significant events in the next two months. Fusion GPS and former British spy **Christopher Steele** colluded to get opposition research on behalf of Hillary Clinton, the FBI applied for FISA warrant to spy on Trump campaign associates, and **Donald Trump, Jr., Paul Manafort** and **Jared Kushner** had a [possibly set-up](#) meeting with a Russian lawyer at Trump Tower.

Also within that same period, the DNC claimed that its computers were hacked but the DNC wouldn't let FBI investigate. The *Washington Post* published an [article](#) claiming, with [no evidence](#) presented, that "Russian government hackers" took DNC opposition research on

Trump.

It was very shortly after the November, 2016 Presidential election that the *Washington Post* published an [article](#) on a “Russian propaganda effort to spread ‘fake news’ during the election.” To escalate the media’s [censorship campaign](#) perhaps?

The campaign against “fake news” coincided with Obama minions at FBI, DOJ and CIA apparently panicking over a possible Trump presidency and their allegedly [abusing their powers](#) to attempt to take down Trump.

So the news media seem to be on a crusade to fabricate “Trump-Russia collusions” and repeat it over and over, and to vilify, ignore and squash actual investigative research and reporting on what exactly the FBI and DOJ bureaucrats have been doing. Call such real investigative reporting “fake news,” “conspiracy theory,” and so forth.

In the end, Facebook, Twitter and Google might want to reconsider relying on the mainstream news media led by the *New York Times*, the *Washington Post* and CNN, and instead include citizen journalists and non-government-sycophant media to provide news and information.

UCLA law professor [Eugene Volokh](#) has noted that the Founders generally viewed the freedom of the Press to apply to every citizen to print, publish or express accounts of events. We really need to highlight that kind of old-fashioned, honest journalism.

\*

**Scott Lazarowitz** is a libertarian writer and commentator. Please visit [his blog](#).

*Featured image is from Pixabay.*

The original source of this article is [Activist Post](#)  
Copyright © [Scott Lazarowitz](#), [Activist Post](#), 2018

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Scott Lazarowitz](#)**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)