

The Political Spinning of the WikiLeaks Release: Anti-war Whistleblowing or War Propaganda

By [Larry Chin](#)

Global Research, July 30, 2010
30 July 2010

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#),
[US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [AFGHANISTAN](#)

Since the [release of classified US military papers](#) by WikiLeaks, the material has been aggressively spun by various political factions. Meanwhile, virtually no attention has been devoted to investigating the source of this “leak”, or questioning the agenda behind it.

According to the *Associated Press*, a US official who spoke on condition of anonymity stated that the US government is not certain who “leaked” the 91,000 documents to the online whistle blowing web site.

Unlike a previous WikiLeaks exposing the [murder of Iraqi civilians in a US airstrike](#), , nobody has been apprehended, arrested or [pressured](#) by the Pentagon, the CIA or any US agency.

The White House has expressed no intense concern. It did not block the release or deny the material. Government officials, led by President Obama, have almost casually dismissed the expose as nothing new.

The major mainstream newspapers that had full early access to the material—*The New York Times*, *Der Spiegel* and the *Guardian*—also had ample time to frame and steer the discourse surrounding it, and (particularly in the case of the White House-friendly *New York Times*) conduct damage control.

Leak as anti-war fodder

The new material obviously adds to what is already known for years: US forces are mired in a dirty and horrific war, and committing atrocities and war crimes. Corruption is rampant, allies are despicable and untrustworthy, and there appears no end in sight.

For critics of US policy, the expose reinforces their tired call for the war to end. However, the value of these particular papers (in terms of turning public opinion against the war) is questionable. This is not a potent high-level Pentagon Papers-type leak, and today’s society is a far cry from the 1970s.

Today’s acquiescent, ignorant and grossly manipulated mass populace—one that fully embraces and supports the manufactured “war on terrorism”—wholeheartedly supports any and all means to “prevent another 9/11”. A decade of Bush-Cheney criminality and mass murder failed to trigger any interest from a general US population that has been shocked

into servitude, and further brain-addled by ubiquitous corporate right-wing media. Another day, another massacre.

Leak as imperial war propaganda

Where the WikiLeaks papers gain significance is in the detail revealed about the operations of Pakistan's Inter-Services Intelligence directorate (ISI) and, more specifically, the manner in which leading government figures and the media have interpreted these items.

The [ISI is being accused](#) of "undercutting" US operations, "conspiring with' and aiding the "powerfully resurgent" Taliban and Al-Qaeda in Afghanistan, aiding the killing of US forces, and organizing "networks of militants" across the region. An [all-out propaganda attack](#) against Pakistan led by the White House is underway.

Essentially, Pakistan is being branded as a terrorist state and a worthy target of military attack, along with Iran, which is [also fingered](#) by the WikiLeaks for backing Taliban militants within Afghanistan.

[Hamid Gul](#), former ISI chief and [major regional player](#), [accuses the US of orchestrating the expose](#) to shift attention away from the US government's "own failings", in order to "force Pakistan's hand on policy in Afghanistan".

According to Gul "they [the Americans] want to bash Pakistan, at this time to come up with this leak. I refuse to believe it is not on purpose."

The Obama administration, eager for a pretext to escalate the Central Asia/Middle East (resource) war into Pakistan and Iran, has certainly found ammunition with the WikiLeaks expose.

Perhaps not coincidentally, the "leak" occurred just prior to a new \$33 billion/30,000 troop surge for Afghanistan was signed in the US Congress, and ahead of a possible military attack on Iran, which former CIA Director Michael Hayden says is ["inexorable"](#).

The glaring omission

As accusations and attacks on Pakistan and its "terrorist ISI" rise in intensity, not one mainstream media report mentions the fact that [the ISI is a virtual branch of the CIA, and one that operates on behalf of Anglo-American policy](#).

It is fact that the ISI, with full Anglo-American direction, has long been a driving force behind "Islamic militants" and "terrorists" throughout the world, including "Al-Qaeda". The CIA and ISI have cooperatively fomented instability and tension throughout Central Asia and the Middle East, playing all sides for geostrategic gain. This "strategy of tension" is one of the hallmarks of the "war on terrorism". The ISI was also [directly involved](#) with the false flag operation of 9/11.

According to Michel Chossudovsky:

"The ISI actively collaborates with the CIA. It continues to perform the role of a

'go-between' in numerous intelligence operations on behalf of the CIA. The ISI directly supports and finances a number of terrorist organizations, including Al Qaeda."

If the ISI is responsible for terrorism, the funding and aiding of "Islamic militants", and the killing of US forces, logic dictates that its big brethren—the CIA and officials in Washington—are also guilty and involved.

The manner in which the ISI is under fire, while omitting any mention of the ISI's guiding superiors in Washington speaks to a deliberate anti-Pakistan/pro-US bias.

Whose political weapon?

Until the source of this WikiLeaks is revealed, along with the motive for the "leak", all that remains is a political Rohrschach Test, open to interpretation.

The ultimate beneficiary is whatever faction controls the interpretation.

In the end, only Pakistan and Iran have been politically damaged, while the Obama administration has a new pretext to escalate and intensify its continuing resource war.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Larry Chin](#), Global Research, 2010

[**Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**](#)

[**Become a Member of Global Research**](#)

Articles by: [Larry Chin](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca