

The “Official” Operative Clique For The Next 9/11?

By [Chaim Kupferberg](#)

Theme: [Terrorism](#)

Global Research, August 20, 2004

legitgov.org 8 August 2004

I'm sure many of you out there are noticing a unified narrative taking shape in advance of the next expected attack. In calibrated drips and dollops, the mainstream media, in partnership with the 9/11 panel, are laying the evidentiary trail pointing to the next “Atta” and his insular cell, which apparently already seems to be “in place” stateside.

Having no access at all to any insider reports, one can almost foretell the Official Legend that will follow in the wake of this clique's first big explosion into the public consciousness – just by reading the mainstream news.

Certainly, three of these major operatives – Adnan Shukrijumah, Abderrouf Jdey, and Aafia Siddiqui – are already being linked together. But Shukrijumah and Jdey are the ones to watch, for they are being intimately seeded into the Padilla/dirty bomb and Ahmed Ressaym/Canadian clique/West Coast threads of 9/11.

Recently, Shukrijumah was mentioned as the guy likely doing surveillance of the New York buildings in the latest terror alert. But that was supposed to be old information. Yet Shukrijumah has been showing up all over the radar in the past year alongside Jdey and Siddiqui.

When Abu Musab Zarqawi was publicly unveiled by Colin Powell before the UN in February 2003, he had yet to make his very public splash as the next Khalid Shaikh Mohammed. But in the opening months of 2004 – as I surmised back in October 2003 – Zarqawi would lay his own foundation in the public mind (particularly by way of the Daniel Pearl-like Berg killing) as the guy tagged to head up the next “big one.”

If the Ressaym-connected Canadian clique is involved, the likely target is the U.S. West Coast. I suspect this is the case due to three items: the bulletin last May that alerted the public to Shukrijumah, Jdey, Siddiqui, Gahdan, el-Maati, and others; a reported sighting the next day of Shukrijumah and Jdey at a Denny's in Colorado (they stated that they were “from Iran” and were en route to the West Coast from New York); the release, two weeks later, of the official 9/11 staff report that now placed Jdey (in cahoots with Moussaoui) as an original member of an aborted 9/11 “second wave” attack on the West Coast; and a reported sighting of Shukrijumah at a nuclear research facility in Ontario (while el-Maati had previously been reported casing nuclear facilities near Ottawa).

Ressaym's Canadian clique was part of the “botched” West Coast millennial operations. No doubt, Canadian operatives like el-Maati are part of this clique. It also seems that – in light of the flurry of strange articles coming out of Canada – that the Syrians are being set up to be the next proxy/patsies, particularly in regards to their “handling” of the el-Maati brothers and their unfortunate cohorts. What are the Syrians doing? Apparently, they seem to be

“extracting” confessions out of some Canadian Muslims – or, unwittingly, playing their part to set up the legends for el-Maati et al. in Canada.

Shukrijumah (aka “the next Atta”) apparently seems to be threaded into the 1993 bomb plots by way of his father’s connection to Clement Hampton-El. But more strangely, it seems that Shukrijumah will make his way into the Oklahoma City Bomb thread by way of his Florida connection with “dirty bomb” guy Jose Padilla. To shore up the Oklahoma thread, we also have the Zarqawi/Moussaoui/ Nick Berg connection.

But let’s step back and take a stab at guessing at what is happening here. The new legends will be used to strengthen the foundations of the old ones. In parallel to the official account, however, the existing counter-legends will also be beefed up. In short, the narratives are getting all too muddled with the growing interlinking chains. The growing complexity of these new interlinking legends will operate to turn off a good portion of those who might be seriously interested in examining the truth behind 9/11.

And what of the rest of us? Will we be locked in a never-ending cycle of polarized argument – with each of us picking his favorite conspiracy thread? How many of us will look at Shukrijumah and see the fingerprints of Iran? How many of us will look at the Canadian clique and sense the hand of Syria behind it all? And if they find that the next operation was pulled off with forged New Zealand passports, how many of us will dig up the newspaper account of the Mossad/ New Zealand passport scandal and go “Aha! Once again the Israelis!”

And what about the anthrax thread? In recent months, a writer by the name of Ross Getman has been posting accounts that read like excerpts from a future Official 9/11 Sequel Report. Going by Getman’s writings, it would appear that a possible Boston connection would involve anthrax by way of Aafia Siddiqui’s connection to Brandeis University and its anthrax facility. Also note that a first sighting of Shukrijumah and Jdey a year ago occurred in Maine, where they were spotted driving with a Massachusetts license plate.

So, to sum up: Shukrijumah seems to be part of a “dirty bomb” or radiological thread, while Siddiqui seems to be part of an anthrax thread. Perhaps the Shukrijumah/Jdey/Siddiqui clique is planning a bi-coastal attack involving radiological materials (or perhaps an attack on a nuclear facility) and a simultaneous attack involving anthrax. Shukrijumah has been marketed as an “Atta-level” pilot.

Whatever the case, a definite evidentiary trail seems to be clearly laid so as to give the impression of the dots connecting up. Shukrijumah was also spotted recently in the Honduras, but I wouldn’t give that one much credence. The Denny’s item seems the most likely to be resurrected post-facto as an example of institutional incompetence and blindness. Perhaps the Honduras item was meant to give the impression that Shukrijumah was not yet in the U.S.

According Getman’s writings, al-Qaeda bigwig Ayman al-Zawahiri attaches significant symbolic value to the dates of September 17 and October 6 (or possibly October 7, as it is the date of entry into Afghanistan). September 17 is the date for the anthrax thread, as well as the signing of the Camp David Accords (i.e. the catalyst for Zawahiri’s original “crusade”). October 6 is the date of the Sadat assassination (which has symbolic value, as many of the Egyptian operatives involved with the 1993 bomb plots were born out of the

Sadat “op” – and then subsequently run as CIA assets in Afghanistan.) So I regard these dates as “danger” dates. But somehow, I find myself wondering if the Official Legend would have far more credibility if any follow-up attack occurred under Kerry’s watch. In other words, maybe all this “pre-election attack” speculation by the government is similar to the “millennial attack” warnings that lulled us into complacency when they didn’t materialize.

In the aftermath of this next one, there will be those who will point to new instances of incompetence and blindness – who will say that the dots couldn’t be connected. Hopefully, perhaps none of the above will ever come to pass. Perhaps Shukrijumah, Jdey, and Siddiqui will never make their “historical” mark – and we’ve all been treated to nothing more than a cunningly orchestrated campaign of fear-mongering by the government.

Whatever the case, it seems that a very clear narrative – with accompanying threads – is being built up for potential use. In the event this particular chapter of the narrative ever goes “operational” ... well, at least you’ll all know that the mainstream media had put the dots in place beforehand.

The original source of this article is legitgov.org
Copyright © [Chaim Kupferberg](http://ChaimKupferberg.com), legitgov.org, 2004

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Chaim Kupferberg](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca