

The New York Times Whitewashes Israeli Minister's Call for Genocide

By [Ali Abunimah](#)

Global Research, May 18, 2015

[Electronic Intifada](#) 15 May 2015

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)

In-depth Report: [PALESTINE](#)

A week ago, I speculated that we might soon be reading a puff piece from *New York Times* Jerusalem bureau chief [Jodi Rudoren](#) on [Naftali Bennett](#), the new Israeli education minister who is [proud of his record of killing Arabs](#).

My thought was inspired by Rudoren's [December 2013 makeover](#) for Israel's then foreign minister [Avidgor Lieberman](#) in which she claimed that the settler from Moldova had lost his "abrasive" style and turned over a new leaf as a "conciliatory diplomat."

What's the ETA for a [@rudoren](#) puff piece on how [@naftalibennett](#) has become a cheeky, conciliatory statesman?

— Ali Abunimah (@AliAbunimah) [May 7, 2015](#)

As recently as this March, [Lieberman called for the beheading of Palestinians](#).

But Rudoren surprised me. Instead of going for Bennett, [she has produced a puff piece](#) on Israel's new justice minister [Ayelet Shaked](#), Bennett's colleague in the Jewish Home ([Habayit Hayehudi](#)) party.

Last July, The Electronic Intifada made Shaked world famous by [translating her Facebook post calling for genocide of Palestinians](#).

But Rudoren's piece takes its time to even get to that issue. First we learn that Shaked "danced ballet, was active in the Scouts and excelled at math." We learn from admirers that she "is a person not of words, but of hard work."

"Accusations"



Ayelet Shaked (Wikipedia)

Finally, in paragraph 21, Rudoren gets to the small matter of Ayelet’s call for mass murder:

A flash point came last June, when Ms. Shaked posted on Facebook a never-published article by a settler activist who had died. It described the entire Palestinian people as “the enemy,” called youths who become “martyrs” while attacking Israelis “snakes” and said their mothers should “go to hell” with them.

Bloggers accused her of [promoting genocide](#). She deleted the post and wrote an [op-ed article](#) attacking the attackers.

“It was a mistake,” Ms. Shaked said in the interview, a day before her swearing-in. “I’m doing a lot of mistakes, like every human being.”

Although Rudoren does link to The Electronic Intifada’s post, she presents the facts about Shaked’s statements dismissively as a matter of mere accusations from “bloggers” and gives Shaked’s response equal weight.

Apart from a few quoted words, Rudoren did not actually assess what Shaked wrote – or her response, in which the minister suggests falsely that her words were mistranslated by The Electronic Intifada, “a website dedicated to daily and hourly vilification of my country.”

Judge for yourself

Shaked’s June post, in which she reproduced and endorsed the words of late Israeli settler activist Uri Elitzur, is horrific. Almost any sentence stands out for its bloodlust. Here’s just a few of them:

The Palestinian people has declared war on us, and we must respond with war. Not an operation, not a slow-moving one, not low-intensity, not controlled escalation, no destruction of terror infrastructure, no targeted killings. Enough with the oblique references. This is a war. Words have meanings. This is a war. It is not a war against terror, and not a war against extremists, and not even a

war against the Palestinian Authority. These too are forms of avoiding reality. This is a war between two people. Who is the enemy? The Palestinian people. Why? Ask them, they started.

Then ...

And in our war this is sevenfold more correct, because the enemy soldiers hide out among the population, and it is only through its support that they can fight. Behind every terrorist stand dozens of men and women, without whom he could not engage in terrorism. Actors in the war are those who incite in mosques, who write the murderous curricula for schools, who give shelter, who provide vehicles, and all those who honor and give them their moral support. They are all enemy combatants, and their blood shall be on all their heads. Now this also includes the mothers of the martyrs, who send them to hell with flowers and kisses. They should follow their sons, nothing would be more just. They should go, as should the physical homes in which they raised the snakes. Otherwise, more little snakes will be raised there.

This is nothing short of a rationale for exterminating Palestinian civilians by defining them, from cradle to grave, as “enemy combatants.”

Can we imagine Rudoren allowing someone who incited the murder of Israeli Jewish civilians in similar terms to shrug it off as just a human foible, a “mistake”?

This is just another of the ways *The New York Times* helps whitewash Israel’s state-sponsored violence and racism.

Apologizing to cancer

In the news today: Likud lawmaker [Miri Regev](#) has been appointed as Israel’s new culture minister.

In 2012, David Sheen [ranked Regev No. 5 on his list of Israel’s “racist ringleaders.”](#) Most notoriously that year, Regev told an Israeli mob that African asylum-seekers were “a cancer in the body” of the nation.

Following outrage at the mob violence – a “pogrom,” Sheen calls it – that Regev helped incite, Regev apologized. But not to Africans for calling them “cancer.” Rather, [she apologized to cancer sufferers for comparing them to Africans.](#)

Perhaps another glowing puff piece from Rudoren is already in the works?

The original source of this article is [Electronic Intifada](#)
Copyright © [Ali Abunimah](#), [Electronic Intifada](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Ali Abunimah](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca