

# The National Endowment for (Meddling in) Democracy

The quasi-government group follows one rule: the U.S. has an unqualified right to do unto others what others may not do unto the U.S.

By [Daniel Lazare](#)

Global Research, March 10, 2018

[The American Conservative](#) 8 March 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Intelligence](#), [Media](#)  
[Disinformation](#)

*Featured image: Kiev during the NED supported protests that led to the coup of the pro-Russian president, in 2014 (Source: Mstyslav Chernov/Creative Commons)*

*“They’re meddling in our politics!” That’s the war cry of outraged Clintonites and neocons, who seem to think election interference is something that Russians do to us and we never, ever do to them.*

But meddling in other countries has been a favorite Washington pastime ever since William McKinley vowed to “Christianize” the Philippines in 1899, despite the fact that most Filipinos were already Catholic. Today, an alphabet soup of U.S. agencies engage in political interference virtually around the clock, everyone from USAID to the VOA, RFE/RL to the DHS—respectively the U.S. Agency for International Development, Voice of America, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, and the Department of Homeland Security. The last maintains some 2,000 U.S. employees in 70 countries to ensure that no one even thinks of doing anything bad to anyone over here.

Then there is the National Endowment for Democracy, a \$180-million-a-year government-funded outfit that is a byword for American intrusiveness. The NED is an example of what might be called “speckism,” the tendency to go on about the speck in your neighbor’s eye without ever considering the plank in your own (see Matthew 7 for further details). Prohibited by law from interfering in domestic politics, the endowment devotes endless energy to the democratic shortcomings of other countries, especially when they threaten American interests. In 1984, the year after it was founded, it [channeled](#) secret funds to a military-backed presidential candidate in Panama, gave \$575,000 to a right-wing French student group, and delivered nearly half a million dollars to right-wing opponents of Costa Rican president Oscar Arias—because Arias had refused to go along with our anti-communist policy in Central America.

A year later, it gave \$400,000 to the anti-Sandinista opposition in Nicaragua and then another \$2 million in 1988. It used its financial muscle in the mid-1990s to persuade a right-wing party to draw up a “Contract with Slovakia” modeled on Newt Gingrich’s Contract with America; [persuaded](#) free marketeers to do the same in Mongolia; gave nearly \$1 million to Venezuelan rightists who went on to mount a short-lived putsch against populist leader **Hugo Chavez** in 2002; and then funded anti-Russian presidential candidate **Viktor Yushchenko** in Ukraine in 2005, and the later [anti-Russian coup there in 2014](#).

What all this had to do with democracy is unclear, although the NED's role in advancing U.S. imperial interests is beyond doubt. Rather than "my country right or wrong," its operating assumption is "my country right, full stop." If Washington says Leader X is out of line, then the endowment will snap to attention and fund his opponents. If it says he's cooperative and well-behaved, meaning he supports free markets and financial deregulation and doesn't dally with any of America's military rivals, it will do the opposite. It doesn't matter if, like Putin, the alleged dictator swept the last election with 63.6 percent of the vote and was declared the "[clear](#)" winner by the European Union and the U.S. State Department.

If he's "expanding [Russia's] influence in the Middle East," as NED **President Carl Gershman** [puts it](#), then he's a "strongman" and an "autocrat" and must go.

America's own shortcomings meanwhile go unnoticed. Meanwhile, the NED, as it nears the quarter-century mark, is a bundle of contradictions: a group that claims to be private even though it is almost entirely publicly funded, a group that says democracy "[must be indigenous](#)" even though it backs U.S.-imposed regime change, a group that claims to be "bipartisan" but whose [board](#) is packed with ideologically homogeneous hawks like Elliott Abrams, Anne Applebaum, and Victoria Nuland, the latter of whom served as assistant secretary of state during the coup in Ukraine. Historically speaking, the NED feels straight out of the early 1980s, when Washington was struggling to overcome "Vietnam Syndrome" in order to rev up the Cold War. The recovery process began with Ronald Reagan declaring at his first inaugural, "The crisis that we are facing today [requires] our best effort, and our willingness to believe in ourselves and to believe in our capacity to perform great deeds, to believe that together with God's help we can and will resolve the problems which now confront us. After all, why shouldn't we believe that? We are Americans."

The U.S. was apparently not just a nation, but something like a religion as well. Additional input for the new NED in 1983 came from spymaster **William Casey**, CIA director from 1981 to 1987, who, after the intelligence scandals of the 70s, had swung around to the view that certain covert operations were better spun off into what the British call a "quango," a quasi-non-government organization.

"Obviously we here should not get out in front in the development of such an organization," he [cautioned](#), "nor do we wish to appear to be a sponsor or advocate."

It was a case of covert backing for an overt turn.

Others who helped lay the groundwork were:

- Neoconservative ideologue Jeane Kirkpatrick, Reagan's ambassador to the UN, famous for her [argument](#) that "traditional authoritarian governments" should be supported against "revolutionary autocracies" because they are "less repressive" and whose UN aide
- Carl Gershman would become NED president and serves to this day
- Human rights Democrats who believe that America's job is to enforce democratic standards throughout the world, however idiosyncratic and self-serving they may be

- Old-fashioned pluralists who maintained that the power to succeed existed in different groups' working separately toward a common goal, in this case, spreading democracy abroad

The result was an ideologically lethal package that assumed whatever Americans did was democratic because God is on our side, that old-fashioned CIA skullduggery was passé, and that the time had come to switch to more open means.

“We should not have to do this kind of work covertly,” Gershman later [explained](#). “We saw that in the 60s, and that’s why it has been discontinued. We have not had the capability of doing this, and that’s why the endowment was created.”

In the interests of pluralism, the NED adopted a quadripartite structure with separate wings for the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the AFL-CIO, the GOP, and the Democrats, each working separately yet somehow together.

Pluralism helped tamp down debate and also shore up support on Capitol Hill. Liberal Democrats were initially skeptical due to the NED’s neocon tilt. Michigan **Congressman John Conyers Jr.** tried to kill it in 1985, and *The Nation* magazine [complained](#) a few years later that the group served as little more than “a pork barrel for a small circle of Republican and Democratic party activists, conservative trade unionists, and free marketeers who use endowment money to run their own mini State Department.”

But when the House voted unexpectedly to defund the agency in 1993, beneficiaries sprang to its defense. Major-league pundits like **George Will, David Broder, and Abe Rosenthal** “went into overdrive,” according to *The Nation*, as did the heavy hitters of the *Washington Post* editorial page. **Vice President Walter Mondale**, a member of the NED board of directors, worked the phones along with **Lane Kirkland**, George Meany’s successor as head of the AFL-CIO. **Ronald Reagan** wrote a letter, while Senators **Richard Lugar, Orrin Hatch, and John McCain** pitched in as well. So did prominent liberals like **Paul Wellstone, John Kerry, Tom Harkin, Ted Kennedy, and Carol Moseley-Braun**. These people normally couldn’t bear to be in the same with one another, but they were of one mind when it came to America’s divine right to intervene in other nations’ affairs.

The anti-NED forces didn’t stand a chance. Twenty-five years later, the endowment is again under attack, although this time from the right. Gershman started the ball rolling when, in October 2016, he interrupted his busy pro-democracy schedule to dash off a column in the *Washington Post* [accusing](#) Russia of using “email hackers, information trolls and open funding of political parties to sow discord” and of “even intervening in the U.S. presidential election.” Since there was no question whom Russia was intervening for, there was no doubt what the article amounted to: a thinly veiled swipe at a certain orange-haired candidate.

Never one to forget a slight, Trump got his revenge last month by proposing to slash the NED budget by 60 percent. The response was the same as in 1993, only more so. Uber-hawk **Senator Lindsey Graham** pronounced the cut “dead on arrival,” [adding](#):

“This budget destroys soft power, it puts our diplomats at risk, and it’s going nowhere.”

Gershman [said](#) it would mean “sending a signal far and wide that the United States is turning its back on supporting brave people who share our values,” while *Washington Post* columnist Josh Rogin [moaned](#) that the administration was guilty of an “assault on democracy promotion.” The ever-voluble Democratic **Congresswoman Nita Lowey** accused the administration of “dismantling an agency that advances critical goals.”

“The work our government does to promote democratic values abroad is at the heart of who we are as a country,” added **Senator John McCain**.

America is democracy, democracy is America, and, as history’s first global empire, the U.S. has an unqualified right to do unto others what others may not do unto the U.S. Only a “[Siberian candidate](#),” “[a traitor](#),” or “[a Russian stooge](#)” could possibly disagree.

\*

***Daniel Lazare** is the author of *The Frozen Republic: How the Constitution Is Paralyzing Democracy* (Harcourt Brace, 1996) and other books about American politics. He has written for a wide variety of publications from *The Nation* to *Le Monde Diplomatique*, and his articles about the Middle East, terrorism, Eastern Europe, and other topics appear regularly on such websites as *Jacobin* and *Consortium News*.*

The original source of this article is [The American Conservative](#)  
Copyright © [Daniel Lazare](#), [The American Conservative](#), 2018

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Daniel Lazare](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)