

The Military-Industrial Complex is in Love with Trump

By Steven MacMillan

Global Research, June 01, 2017

New Eastern Outlook

Region: Middle East & North Africa, USA Theme: Militarization and WMD, US NATO

War Agenda

Trump's first trip to a foreign country as US President was anything but dull. During his time in Saudi Arabia, there was sword dancing, glowing orb madness and a whole other host of strange behaviour. In between all of this weirdness however, there was one thing that was entirely orthodox for a US President to do when in Arabia: agree to arm the Saudis to the teeth.

The US and Saudi Arabia sealed the <u>largest arms deal</u> in US history during Trump's visit, a deal worth approximately \$350 billion over the next decade, although **Senator Rand Paul** is expected to try and <u>block</u> a portion of the deal. Trump's slimeball son-in-law, **Jared Kushner**, was <u>heavily involved</u> in the negotiations, reportedly helping to get the Saudis a better deal.

This agreement once again reveals that Trump will serve the military-industrial complex well during his reign in office, as the share price of the giant war contractors <u>soared</u> after news of the deal broke. In the words of the former US congressman and host of the Liberty Report, **Ron Paul**, the military-industrial complex was the special interest that gained the most from Trump's Saudi visit:

"This trip I would consider not a diplomatic trip. This was well-staged to serve a few special interests; and I would say the most powerful special interest that the President has kowtowed to would be the military-industrial complex. It's up to 350 billion dollars over the next 10 years and who knows what, and Trump is just excited about this" (from 3:55 into the show).

The US-Saudi arms deal comes a month and a half after Trump launched 59 Raytheon-made Tomahawk cruise missiles at a military base controlled by the Syrian government, one of the main powers fighting against ISIS and associated 'moderate rebels.' The <u>illegal</u> military action led to an immediate <u>surge</u> in the value of Raytheon shares, as well as in the stocks of other war contractors whose technology was also used in the missile launch.

Since Trump's inauguration on the 20th of January, the former real estate mogul has clearly shown a prominent militaristic side, a side which elates the military-industrial complex. In fact, some of the giants of the military-industrial complex contributed to Trump's record-breaking inaugural fund, with both Boeing and Lockheed Martin donating \$1 million each, according to a US filing.

Trump's first prosed budget – for the fiscal year that begins on the 1st of October – is yet more confirmation that we can expect a continuation of the perennial wars under the 'anti-

interventionist' commander-in-chief. The budget includes a 10% increase for the Pentagon (yes, the same Pentagon that couldn't account for \$6.5 trillion during the 2015 fiscal year), which will put the national security budget at over \$600 billion if it is approved.



Tomahawk cruise missile (Source: Medium)

To put that in perspective, Russia's defence budget has been well under \$100 billion for years, with the 2017 budget slashing defence spending to around \$50 billion. Trump's proposed budget also includes 100 Tomahawk cruise missiles from Raytheon, helping to replenish the ones used to strike a sovereign country that is at the forefront of the real war on terrorism.

As I warned in an <u>article</u> published all the way back in August 2016, the idea peddled by Trump's zealot supporters during the election campaign that Trump was somehow an antiwar, anti-interventionist candidate, was, and still is, total nonsense. Trump will continue the long tradition of US Presidents who pursued policies that enriched the military-industrial complex at the expense of an infinite number of human lives.

Dwight D. Eisenhower's warning over half a century ago could not have been more prescient, as the military-industrial complex is one of most powerful special interests that rules the US today. Unfortunately, Americans did not heed Eisenhower's warning, which he expressed in his 1961 farewell address:

"This conjunction, of an immense military establishment and a large arms industry, is new in the American experience. The total influence – economic, political, even spiritual – is felt in every city, every state house, every office of the federal government. We recognise the imperative need for this development, yet we must not fail to comprehend its grave implications... In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists, and will persist. We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our liberties or democratic processes

Steven MacMillan is an independent writer, researcher, geopolitical analyst and editor of <u>The Analyst Report</u>, especially for the online magazine <u>"New Eastern Outlook"</u>.

Featured image: New Eastern Outlook

The original source of this article is <u>New Eastern Outlook</u>
Copyright © <u>Steven MacMillan</u>, <u>New Eastern Outlook</u>, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Steven
MacMillan

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca