

The Media is Ignoring Leaked US-Government Documents on Syria

By [Ian Sinclair](#)

Global Research, February 08, 2017

[The New Arab](#) 6 February 2017

Region: [Middle East & North Africa, USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

Discussing western reporting of the Syrian war, veteran Middle East correspondent Patrick Cockburn recently [noted](#) “fabricated news and one-sided reporting have taken over the news agenda to a degree probably not seen since the First World War”.

Professor Piers Robinson, Chair in Politics, Society and Political Journalism at the University of Sheffield, concurs, [arguing](#)

“We must now seriously entertain the possibility that the war in Syria has involved similar, if not greater, levels of manipulation and propaganda than that which occurred in the case of the 2003 Iraq War”.

An incredibly complex and confusing conflict with hundreds of opposition groups and multiple external actors often keen to hide many of their actions, how can journalists and the public get an accurate understanding of what is happening in Syria?

As governments routinely use their public statements to deceive the public, traditionally leaked government documents have been seen as the gold standard of journalistic sources – a unique opportunity to see what those in power are really thinking and doing behind closed doors.

“Policy-makers are usually frank about their real goals in the secret record”, notes British historian Mark Curtis in his book *Unpeople: Britain’s Secret Human Rights Abuses*.

When it comes to Syria there have been a number of US government documents leaked about US policy in the region. However, though these disclosures were reported by the media at the time, they have been quickly forgotten and have not contributed to the dominant narrative that has built up about the conflict.

As **Professor Peter Kuznick** [noted](#) about the American history he highlighted in *The Untold History of the United States* documentary series he co-wrote with director **Oliver Stone**, “the truth is that many of our ‘secrets’ have been hidden on the front page of *The New York Times*.”

For example, liberal journalists and commentators have [repeatedly](#) stated the US has, as Paul Mason [wrote](#) in the Guardian last year, “stood aloof from the Syrian conflict”. The leaked [audio recording](#) of a meeting between President Obama’s second Secretary of State John Kerry, and Syrian opposition figures last year shows the opposite to be true.

Challenged about the level of US support to the insurgency, Kerry turns to his aide and says: "I think we've been putting an extraordinary amount of arms in, haven't we?" The aide agrees, noting "the armed groups in Syria get a lot of support".

Amazingly, before noting the US had sent an "extraordinary amount of arms" to the rebels, Kerry tells the activists "we can always throw a lot of weapons in but I don't think they are going to be good for you" because "everyone ups the ante" leading to "you all [getting] destroyed".

This explanation of the logic of escalation is repeated later in the meeting by Kerry's aide, who notes "when you pump more weapons into a situation like Syria it doesn't end well for Syrians because there is always somebody else willing to pump more weapons in for the other side".

A classified 2012 Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) [report](#), published by the right-wing watchdog Judicial Watch, provides important context to Kerry's remarks. In the heavily redacted document the DIA - the intelligence arm of the US Department of Defense - notes "the Salafist, the Muslim Brotherhood, and AQI (al-Qaida in Iraq) are the major forces driving the insurgency in Syria" and "The West, Gulf countries and Turkey support the opposition".

Speaking at a 2013 Jewish United Fund Advance & Major Gifts Dinner - the transcript of which was published by Wikileaks - former US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton [confirmed](#) that US ally Saudi Arabia "and others are shipping large amounts of weapons - and pretty indiscriminately - not at all targeted toward the people that we think would be the more moderate, least likely, to cause problems in the future".

It gets worse. Discussing the crisis, the DIA report notes "There is the possibility of [the opposition] establishing a declared or undeclared Salafist Principality in Eastern Syria... and this is exactly what the supporting powers to the opposition want, in order to isolate the Syrian regime."

This appalling revelation was seemingly [confirmed](#) by General Michael T Flynn, the Director of the DIA from 2012-14 (and now National Security Advisor to President Trump), in a 2015 interview with Al-Jazeera's Mehdi Hasan - and also, it seems, by Kerry when he told the Syrian activists:

"The reason Russia came in [to the conflict] is because ISIL [the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant] was getting stronger. Daesh [another name for ISIL] was threatening the possibility of going to Damascus and so forth... And we know that this was growing. We were watching. We saw that Daesh was growing in strength. And we thought Assad was threatened. We thought, however, we could probably manage - you know, that Assad might then negotiate, but instead of negotiating he got Putin to support him."

In summary, the leaked information wholly contradicts the popular picture of western benevolent intentions let down by President Obama's ineffective leadership and inaction.

Instead the evidence shows the US has been sending an "extraordinary amount" of weapons to the armed insurgents in Syria in the full knowledge that Salafists, the Muslim Brotherhood, and al-Qaeda in Iraq were the "major forces" driving the insurgency. They did this understanding that sending in weapons would escalate the fighting and not "end well for Syrians".

Furthermore, the US has long known that its regional ally Saudi Arabia and other Gulf states have been supporting extremists in Syria. And, most shocking of all if true, both Kerry and the DIA report seem to show the US allowed forerunners to IS to expand and threaten the Syrian government as this corresponded with the US's geo-strategic objectives.

More broadly, by highlighting how the US welcomed the growth of IS in Syria, the leaks fatally undermine the entire rationale of the "War on Terror" the West has supposedly been fighting since 2001. These are, in short, bombshells that should be front page news, with lengthy investigative follow ups and hundreds of op-eds outraged at the lies and hypocrisy of western governments.

Instead, the disclosures have disappeared down the [memory hole](#), with the huge gap between the importance of the revelations and the lack of coverage indicating a frighteningly efficient propaganda system.

It is worth noting however, that as an observer and newsreader – rather than seasoned expert – there may well be important context or information of which I am unaware, which provides a different take on the leaked material. This would lessen its importance and, therefore, justify the media having largely ignored it.

Of course, the best way of confirming the accuracy and importance of the leaks is for the media to do its job and thoroughly investigate the disclosures, to devote significant resources and manpower to the story, and to ask awkward and searching questions of established power.

I'm not holding my breath.

Ian Sinclair is a freelance writer based in London and the author of *The March that Shook Blair: An Oral History of 15 February 2003*. He tweets [@IanJSinclair](#)

The original source of this article is [The New Arab](#)
Copyright © [Ian Sinclair](#), [The New Arab](#), 2017

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Ian Sinclair](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long as the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca