

The Mainstream Media Now Acknowledges that The PCR Test Is Flawed

By [Jo Macfarlane](#) and [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)

Global Research, March 14, 2022

[Daily Mail Online](#) 12 March 2022

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#) and Twitter at [@crglobalization](#)

Introductory Note

From the very outset of this crisis in January 2020, the media has deliberately ignored the scientific evidence concerning the PCR test.

The results of the PCR test routinely tabulated by the WHO have been used to justify the lockdown policies imposed on more than 190 member states of the United Nations. Economic and social chaos has been triggered Worldwide.

*Media disinformation has prevailed for more than two years despite the fact that the WHO and the CDC (with the usual innuendos) have confirmed what was known from the very outset in January 2020, namely that **the RT-PCR test used to justify every single policy mandate including lockdowns, social distancing, the mask, confinement of the labor force, closure of economic activity, etc. is flawed and invalid.***

*The WHO issued its Mea Culpa more than a year ago on January 20, 2021. A few months later, [the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention \(CDC\)](#) (July 21, 2021) called for the **withdrawal of the PCR test as a valid method for detecting and identifying SARS-CoV-2. As of December, 31 2021, the PCR test is no longer considered valid by the CDC in the U.S.***

For more details see: [CDC No Longer Recognizes the PCR Test As a Valid Method for Detecting “Confirmed Covid-19 Cases”?](#)

Michel Chossudovsky, Global Research, March 14, 2022

Below are excerpts of Daily Mail Online article entitled:

Did Flawed PCR Tests Convince Us COVID Was Worse Than It Really Was? Britain's Entire Response Was Based on Results - but One Scientist Says They Should Have Been Axed a Year Ago

by Joe MacFarlane

March 12, 2022

It has been one of the most enduring Covid conspiracy theories: that the 'gold standard' PCR tests used to diagnose the virus were picking up people who weren't actually infected.

Some even suggested the swabs, which have been carried out more than 200 million times in the UK alone, **may mistake common colds and flu for corona.**

If either, or both, were true, it would mean many of these cases should never have been counted in the daily tally - that the ominous and all-too-familiar figure, which was used to inform decisions on lockdowns and other pandemic measures, was an over-count.

And many of those who were 'pinged' and forced to isolate as a contact of someone who tested positive - causing a huge strain on the economy - did so unnecessarily.

Such statements, it must be said, have been roundly dismissed by top experts. **And those scientists willing to give credence to such concerns have been shouted down on social media, accused of being 'Covid-deniers', and even sidelined by colleagues.**

But could they have been right all along?

Today, in the first part of a major new series, The Mail on Sunday investigates **whether 'the science' that The Government so often said they were following during the pandemic was flawed, at least in some respects.**

In the coming weeks we will examine if Britain's stark **Covid death figure was overblown. We will also ask if lockdowns did more harm than good.**

Were the pandemic infection figures deliberately 'sexed up' to scare people in complying with lockdown rules?

But this week, we tackle the debate around Covid tests, and examine whether there is any truth to the claims that they were never fit for purpose.

(emphasis added)

[To read complete article, click here.](#)

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

Featured image is from Shutterstock

The original source of this article is [Daily Mail Online](#)

Copyright © [Jo Macfarlane](#) and [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), [Daily Mail Online](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jo Macfarlane](#)
and [Prof Michel](#)
[Chossudovsky](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca